

Oral Hearing

Day 5 – Tuesday 29 September 2022 (Closed)

Being heard before: Ms Christine Smith KC (Chair)

Dr Sonia Swart (Panel Member)

Mr Damian Hanbury (Assessor)

Held at: Bradford Court, Belfast

Gwen Malone Stenography Services certify the following to be a verbatim transcript of their stenographic notes in the abovenamed action.

Gwen Malone Stenography Services

PAGE

<u>I NDEX</u>

EVIDENCE SESSION:	Patient 1's Daughter	4
DISCUSSION RE HOUSE	EKEEPING	36

1	THE INQUIRY RESUMED ON THURSDAY, 29TH SEPTEMBER 2022 AS	
2	FOLLOWS:	
3		
4	CHAIR: Morning everyone.	
5	MR. WOLFE KC: Good morning, Chair, members of the	:18
6	Panel. Your witness this morning is Patient 1's Daughter.	
7	She's accompanied this morning and sitting behind her	
8	by her mother Patient 1's Wife, the wife of the late Patient 1	
9	Patient 1, and her husband. So I have explained the	
10	process to her before coming in and I understand that	:18
11	you, Chairman, and perhaps Dr. Swart would have some	
12	questions for her and then I would pick up on any	
13	issues that remain.	
14	CHAIR: Thank you very much, Mr. Wolfe. Patient 1's Daughter,	
15	Patient 1's Daughter can I at the outset say how sorry we are for $_{11}$:18
16	the loss of your husband and father and how grateful we	
17	are that you have agreed to come today to express your	
18	feelings and views about the standard of care that he	
19	received in the Southern Health and Social Care Trust.	
20	I will be asking you some questions, as Mr. Wolfe has $_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}$:19
21	indicated, as will Dr. Swart and as will Mr. Hanbury	
22	and then Mr. Wolfe may have some questions for you at	
23	the end as well. Don't be afraid to say if you want a	
24	break, we can take a break at any time so don't be	
25	afraid to ask for that. There are no right or wrong $_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}$:19
26	answers here. This is just your opportunity to tell	
27	us, the Inquiry, what you want us to know about your	
28	late father.	

1			You have received a bundle of papers and if at any	
2			stage you want to refer to those can I ask you to refer	
3			to the number in the top right-hand corner so that we	
4			all know which page you're referring to. And I can	
5			assure you also that we have read the entirety of the	11:19
6			papers and are familiar with them so you don't have to	
7			go into too much detail if you don't want to.	
8				
9			I also need to remind you that the Inquiry is not in a	
10			position to make any decision about the clinical, the	11:20
11			standard of clinical care that your father received.	
12			That is obviously being looked at both by the Trust and	
13			by the General Medical Council. I'm now going to ask	
14			you, if you wouldn't mind, taking the oath	
15			THE WITNESS: Yes.	11:20
16			CHAIR: Thank you.	
17				
18			Patient 1's Daughter WAS THEN SWORN AND GAVE HER EVI DENCE AS	
19			FOLLOWS:	
20				11:20
21	1	Q.	CHAIR: Thank you, Patient 1's Daughter. Just by way of	
22			background, your late father was diagnosed in August	
23			2019 with prostate cancer and that was then discussed,	
24			as we understand it, in October 2019 at a	
25			multidisciplinary meeting and there was a	11:21
26			recommendation made at that multidisciplinary meeting	
27			which was not followed through and he received a	
28			different type of treatment.	
29				

Thank you very much for the very detailed questionnaire that you have provided to the Inquiry. We find that very helpful in understanding what happened to your father and thank you too for providing us with his diary entries. Again they were helpful in putting a picture together for us.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

1

2

3

4

5

6

Maybe if I can just ask you, Patient 1's Daughter, in your own words to tell us first of all how he came to be treated in the Southern Health and Social Care Trust?

11:21

Α. Yes, he came to be treated because I remember he had watched the BBC Newsline programme. I wasn't aware of this at the time but he'd seen somewhere, and I suppose there had been sort of fairly well known faces in the media talking about prostate cancer and the importance of being vigilant about it because there isn't a screening programme as such. He had asked for a PSA test through his GP and I remember him saying to me his GP was ambivalent about doing it but he did do it. dad was fairly vigilant about his health so it didn't overly surprise me when he told me that because, to be honest, I thought he was off on some other track or some disease that he maybe imagined that he had had. But anyway, it turned out that he had done the right He had communicated to me, I remember I was going on holiday, that he had met with Mr. O'Brien and that there was a suspicion of malignancy and that he would be getting further tests done and that was really

11:22

11:22

his entry into the system, as it were.

1	2	Q.	And you have recorded in good detail, in very good	
2			detail, in the questionnaire the treatment that he	
3			received and how his illness progressed. Now, just for	
4			the benefit of everyone else, those can be found at	
5			PAT-001350 through to 001354. And you also describe	11:23
6			how he kept a diary during that time where he recorded	
7			appointments and feelings about his condition and	
8			treatment at that time also?	
9		Α.	Yeah.	
10	3	Q.	Is there anything that you want to add to what you have	11:23
11			described in the questionnaire or anything that you	
12			would like to clarify or expand upon maybe?	
13		Α.	Just point me to the page number again please.	
14	4	Q.	PAT-001350 it starts at in the questionnaire. Sorry,	
15			that's your narrative account. I should say it starts	11:24
16			at that page and then if you go on to PAT-001351, you	
17			set out a timeline, effectively, of all of the	
18			treatment	
19		Α.	Yes.	
20	5	Q.	In recording that you also record what he had recorded	11:24
21			in his diary?	
22		Α.	No, I think I'm happy for that to be adopted as my	
23			evidence.	
24	6	Q.	Okay, thank you. We know from what you do tell us in	
25			the questionnaire that things were not helped by the	11:24
26			Covid situation in terms of the attendances at hospital	
27			first of all and then when he did become very ill,	
28			about you being able to be with him. Is there anything	
29			more that you want to say about that situation?	

Α.	It just absolutely didn't help matters at all and it	
	was very difficult because when we realised he was	
	terminally ill, his disease and his decline progressed	
	extremely quickly. And, you know, we wanted to do our	
	best for him to try to care for him at home insofar as	11:25
	we could but his disease was complex, it was hard to	
	manage. And unfortunately there was this element of if	
	I go into hospital I'm not going to be able to see you	
	and I'm going to die and I'm not going to come back out	
	and I'll never get to speak to you again. That was a	11:25
	real fear and that was something that we certainly	
	struggled with. And ultimately in his final days,	
	whilst I had been raising concerns because I could see	
	that my Dad was deteriorating rapidly and was being	
	reassured about that and, I mean, they were short	11:25
	staffed, they were doing their best. But, you know,	
	ultimately I suppose it just meant that the final	
	conversation you had with him was a lot sooner than it	
	necessarily would have been, had you have been able to	
	have been there with him more. That was a great	11:25
	difficulty for us and for him. And indeed when he was	
	in hospital in Daisy Hill for a week in June that was	
	difficult for him because we weren't able to get in.	
	He actually lay in the back of the car on the way down	
	because he really was in quite a state at that stage.	11:26
	He phoned me a number of times whilst he had been	
	admitted, he was crying, he was in pain, the TURP	
	procedure didn't appear to have worked. They tried to	
	remove the catheter which he was extremely keen to try	

1			and get rid of, albeit I don't think he stood much of a	
2			hope at that point we now know, but that was a major	
3			factor in all of this for us. And it certainly had an	
4			adverse effect, whilst of course we understood why it	
5			needed to be that way, it was really difficult.	11:26
6	7	Q.	It added to the difficulties that you were	
7			experiencing?	
8		Α.	Very much so.	
9	8	Q.	You just referred there to that he had the TURP	
10			operation in June 2020?	11:27
11		Α.	Yes.	
12	9	Q.	And it was after that you had an appointment with	
13			Mr. Haynes I think in July 2020?	
14		Α.	Yes, we pushed for that.	
15	10	Q.	That was the first time sorry, you say you pushed	11:27
16			for that?	
17		Α.	We did.	
18	11	Q.	Maybe if you just explain a little bit about that?	
19		Α.	Yes. Dad had been rescanned as I understand it in or	
20			around maybe towards the end of June, after he had come	11:27
21			back out of Daisy hill. He was really quite ill at	
22			that stage, you could see it, he was in bed a lot, he	
23			was freezing cold. And really a period of a couple of	
24			weeks had went by and we still hadn't had any scan	
25			results and we were trying to make contact with Mental	11:27
26			Health Services because he really was in a terrible	
27			condition. And then I understood he received a call,	
28			he'd phoned me after that to say he had received a call	
29			to say that look we think that the disease might have	

Τ			travelled. The way bad had put it to me was 'I think	
2			they are going to try to map a way forward for me and	
3			we'll see where we go'.	
4	12	Q.	Can I just pause you there now, can you recall who that	
5			call came from?	11:28
6		Α.	I think it was a female as I understand it. I don't	
7			think it was Mr. O'Brien. I don't think it was	
8			Mr. Haynes. I don't think my Dad necessarily knew	
9			their name but I don't, being honest. But we then	
10			went to Craigavon to meet with Mr. Haynes, both myself	11:28
11			and my Mum and my Dad, on the 14th July.	
12	13	Q.	And that was the first time that you understood that	
13			his disease was in fact terminal?	
14		Α.	It was, absolutely. I mean in retrospect you look back	
15			and you think, you know, how could it not have been	11:28
16			more obvious? I think my Dad knew there was something	
17			seriously wrong with him. I think he thought he was	
18			going mad, to be perfectly honest, because he felt	
19			terrible, we now know why but he hadn't been told that	
20			he was as ill as he indeed was. He had been through	11:29
21			surgery so, you know, we'd nothing really outside what	
22			he was telling us to believe that he was indeed that	
23			ill. And Mr. Haynes had communicated to us that the	
24			disease had spread. He said the first sign of that	
25			really would have been when he had required the urinary	11:29
26			catheter in the March of 2020, that that would have	
27			been the first sign that this disease was progressing.	
28			He'd had weight loss, so really the signs had been	
29			there. At that stage he had explained to Dad that,	

1			look, you know, radiotherapy isn't an option, your	
2			chance for curative treatment here, it is no longer on	
3			the table. We asked for a prognosis. Dad had asked	
4			why he hadn't been given or received radiotherapy,	
5			would that have made a difference? I think he sort of	11:29
6			struggled to accept that radiotherapy was no longer on	
7			the table. He gave him a prognosis, doing the best he	
8			could, of in or around about 18 months. But he said we	
9			would be going to Altnagelvin the next day to meet with	
10			the urological oncologist and that we could discuss	11:30
11			that in further detail. That was a really, really,	
12			really difficult day for us and for Dad. He just kept	
13			saying 'I don't know how I have ended up in this	
14			situation, I thought it was going to get sorted out'	
15			and I think he was reasonable in that belief.	11:30
16	14	Q.	When you say he was reasonable in that belief, is that	
17			because of what he had been led to believe as it were?	
18		Α.	Well, I mean we weren't naive, it's a vicious,	
19			unpredictable disease. But, you know, the way it had	
20			been communicated to me was, look, you know, I'm here,	11:30
21			I'm in good hands, I'm in the right place, I have done	
22			the right thing, I have got diagnosed, you know, I have	
23			a good chance of getting to the other side of it. You	
24			know, it would be nicer if I had been further down the	
25			Gleason Scale but I'm not but, you know, I'm in the	11:31
26			system and I'm getting my treatment and hopefully we'll	
27			be able to get it sorted out. I don't think he took it	
28			as a guarantee of course but I think he had a	
29			reasonable belief that he had a good shot at curative	

1			treatment.	
2	15	Q.	And just in terms of the treatment before the operation	
3			in June, we know that he was prescribed Bicalutamide?	
4		Α.	Yes.	
5	16	Q.	Can you maybe describe some of the effects of that on	11:31
6			him?	
7		Α.	I can. As soon as he started to take it he felt really	
8			terrible. He was feeling dizzy. He was feeling sick.	
9			If I'm honest we didn't over indulge those concerns.	
10			We were telling him look, you've been prescribed them,	11:31
11			you have got to take it, it's in your interest. He, I	
12			understand, had communicated that to Mr. O'Brien. I do	
13			remember going to the house one evening and Dad being	
14			quite annoyed because he had received a letter from	
15			Mr. O'Brien, it isn't within the bundle. As I remember	11:32
16			reading it, and I can't quote it, but I do remember the	
17			gist of it was that the symptoms that my Dad had been	
18			experiencing he had found to be unusual and not what he	
19			would have expected and having been practising for	
20			quite some time he'd never really heard those	11:32
21			particular concerns. I think my father felt his hair	
22			was thinning, there was other things, and my Dad was	
23			quite annoyed about that because I think he had found	
24			it dismissive, but albeit he sort of tried to tolerate	
25			it as best as he could and that's what he did. I know	11:32
26			he had asked to stop taking it for a short period of	
27			time when they were going on holiday at the end of	
28			November of 2019, but apart from that he took his	
29			medication.	

1	17	Q.	Can I just ask do you still have that letter at all?	
2		Α.	I haven't come across it since he has died but I could	
3			have a look for it. I do have the hospital notes, I	
4			just never really wanted to go through them, to be	
5			honest, but I could probably make enquiries about that.	11:33
6	18	Q.	I appreciate that, Patient 1's Daughter, and if you do come across	
7			it, if you could share it with the Inquiry we would be	
8			grateful?	
9		Α.	Yes, no problem.	
10	19	Q.	You describe how you then saw the oncologist on 15th	11:33
11			July?	
12		Α.	Yes.	
13	20	Q.	And how difficult that appointment was for you as a	
14			family. At that stage you were told by the Cancer	
15			Nurse Specialist at that meeting, do you recall that,	11:33
16			or was it then?	
17		Α.	I think that was on 14th July when we met with	
18			Mr. Haynes. There was a Cancer Nurse Specialist	
19			present at that meeting and that was the first I'd	
20			heard about a Cancer Nurse Specialist.	11:33
21	21	Q.	Your father had never been assigned a Cancer Nurse	
22			Specialist?	
23		Α.	No.	
24	22	Q.	From diagnosis?	
25		Α.	No. I never heard him mention it. I mean that would	11:33
26			have been presumably his first port of call whenever	
27			the catheter had gone in and, you know, I never was	
28			aware of anybody being assigned to him in that regard.	
29	23	0	There was a Urology Nurse Specialist with whom he had	

Τ			some contact?	
2		Α.	Yes. I'd seen that in his diary entries but, to be	
3			honest, throughout that time, I mean I didn't live with	
4			my Mum and Dad and the Government advice at the time	
5			was to stay away so really through that period of time	11:34
6			from April to probably the end of May my contact with	
7			my Dad was standing in a garage bringing food a couple	
8			of times a week, do you know. So, you know, I know he	
9			was very upset through that time. I know every day you	
LO			would speak to him and it would be 'have you heard from	11:34
L1			Mr. O'Brien? 'I haven't heard from Mr. O'Brien'. And	
L2			that, that seemed to go on for a long time. And he was	
L3			making trips to A&E which we were trying to discourage	
L4			him to do because of Covid and little did we know that	
L5			Covid probably didn't pose much of a risk to him as	11:35
L6			what he was dealing with, you know, but hindsight's a	
L7			wonderful thing.	
L8	24	Q.	well, sadly, he did deteriorate quite rapidly and died	
L9			unfortunately in August of 2020?	
20		Α.	Yeah.	11:35
21	25	Q.	During the days shortly after his death you talk about	
22			a telephone call that you received. Could you tell us	
23			a little about that please?	
24		Α.	Sure. I can't be precise as to when that telephone	
25			call was. I think it was I don't think I was back	11:35
26			at work because I went back to work about three and a	
27			half weeks after Dad had died, it was certainly before	
28			that, and I remember there being a number of people in	
29			our house at the time which would make me think it was	

1			relatively soon thereafter. He had spoken to my Mum	
2			initially and my Mum had put me on. I think she was	
3			worried that he would start talking	
4	26	Q.	When you say he?	
5		Α.	Sorry, Mr. O'Brien, sorry. And I think that my Mum was	11:35
6			concerned that she wouldn't be able to communicate with	
7			him and understand the medical terms and she had put me	
8			on. It was strange, if I can just set the scene for	
9			it, because all I had heard from March 2020 to June	
10			2020 was my Dad wanting to hear from Mr. O'Brien and	11:36
11			here I was having a conversation with him after all of	
12			those months, albeit I appreciate he had seen him in	
13			the interim time. My Dad was now dead and I was	
14			sitting having that telephone call outside the room	
15			that his coffin had lay in only maybe a week or so	11:36
16			beforehand. So that was a really strange thing and all	
17			I could think of was you need to speak to Dad because	
18			he's the person that really needs to have the questions	
19			answered. And, whatever about this conversation, we	
20			can't reverse time, we are where we are. And I	11:36
21			remember that was sort of my feeling about it. He	
22			expressed his condolences and he had said he was	
23			particularly fond of my Dad and I had said my Dad had	
24			felt the same, maybe not albeit towards the end of his	
25			treatment, end of his life, but I think it is important	11:37
26			that I do say that, that he, his interactions with him	
27			on a personal level had only ever been anything but	
28			positive and reassuring and I appreciated him	
29			expressing his condolences to us. He told me some	

personal stories. We talked a bit about loss generally	
and then he asked me if I had any questions about the	
care that my father had received. I had asked him,	
sorry, Mr. O'Brien, if we now know that the first	
sign that the disease was progressing when he required	11:37
the urinary catheter in March 2020, why did that not	
then precipitate some sort of action or a restaging? I	
don't think I necessarily put it like that at the time,	
but I suppose I was saying why was he not red-flagged,	
I think that was the terminology I had used. He asked	11:38
me what I meant by that and I just really repeated the	
same thing to him again. I would be doing the	
conversation a disservice to say that I really remember	
what his answers were in any great detail, albeit that	
the gist of it was that he had had appropriate care and	11:38
really little beyond what he had had would have made	
any difference. I appreciate we're not here to discuss	
issues of causation, but that's really probably, if I'm	
honest, what I wanted to hear because any alternative I	
couldn't have really lived with. We are, as it turns	11:38
out, but certainly at that time I had I'm fairly	
certain that I had asked him about whether or not,	
knowing what we know now, that he was almost certainly	
terminally ill at the time that the procedure was	
carried out. You know, should that really have	11:38
happened? Should he have been rescanned before that?	
And if they had found that he had been terminally ill	
at that stage, you know, would that still have been	
recommended treatment because it struck me as being	

futile and that my Dad had been put through that	
operation entirely unnecessarily. That was a question	
that I had, I asked him that as well. I do appreciate	
that my Dad was particularly keen to have the catheter	
removed. I mean I think we're all in agreement about	11:39
that, he was, he just absolutely detested having that.	
He found it undignified and he really, really struggled	
with it. But albeit if there wasn't, you know, a	
really good chance that he could have had a successful	
removal of it, I still think he would have opted not to	11:39
have it removed. I asked him that and then I asked him	
as well about maybe had the cancer been more aggressive	
from the outset because I was starting to wonder	
whether or not my Dad had tried to protect me on some	
level by sort of, you know, downplaying, you know, the	11:40
prognosis and diagnosis that he had been given. He did	
explain to me that, no, that the biopsy was	
representative. He went into a lot of detail about how	
a biopsy is carried out and the samples that are taken,	
how it is done and that they were satisfied that that	11:40
was representative. And then I had mentioned to him	
about we knew that then there was Gleason 10 Grade, I'm	
not going to pretend to know anything about this, but,	
you know, later on in the samples that had been taken	
after the TURP procedure and I think, you know, I had	11:40
wondered after that conversation, albeit I don't think	
that Mr. O'Brien was saying that my Dad's disease had	
been more aggressive at the outset than they originally	
thought I think I took that just being fair having	

1			recollected on that telephone conversation and that's	
2			why I had then asked for the initial sample to be	
3			retested, but I don't think he was saying that. I	
4			don't think he is saying that. And that's something	
5			maybe that I should have corrected at a later stage	11:41
6			but, you know, I'm saying that now.	
7	27	Q.	Fair enough. You describe how you found that	
8			conversation with Mr. O'Brien reassuring?	
9		Α.	Yeah.	
10	28	Q.	And you appreciated him ringing at that time?	11:41
11		Α.	I did, yeah.	
12	29	Q.	And do you still feel that way now?	
13		Α.	I don't know if I'm honest. We were vulnerable. We	
14			were emotional. We were in shock, to be perfectly	
15			honest. I took it for what it was at the time and I	11:41
16			did appreciate, I did appreciate it as to why it was	
17			made or, whether or not it was genuine, I think that's	
18			a matter for Mr. O'Brien.	
19	30	Q.	Well, you were later contacted by the Trust and I think	
20			that was in September 2020. Can you describe how that	11:42
21			first contact came about?	
22		Α.	Yeah, I can. I had gone back to the office and I had	
23			received a call from Patricia Kingsnorth and she had	
24			communicated to me that she said you'll recall that	
25			when we had met with Mr. Haynes in July, 14th July,	11:42
26			that he had indicated that he would be making a report	
27			in relation to the care that Dad had received. I think	
28			the way it was communicated to me was that there had	
29			been a leak within the Trust to the media, that there	

1			were concerns over Mr. O'Brien's practices and that	
2			that was on the front page of the Irish News and they	
3			want to give us a heads up. That was the first	
4			communication that I had. So of course I left the	
5			office and went and bought the Irish News. She had	11:43
6			said that they wanted to meet with Mum and I and they	
7			were really reluctant to give us any information until	
8			we met with her and Dr. Dermot Hughes in ***********************************	
9			few weeks after that.	
10	31	Q.	I think you, in fact, had two meetings with the Trust	11:43
11			but at that first meeting, when did you first learn	
12			that your father's care was the subject of a Serious	
13			Adverse Incident?	
14		Α.	At the first meeting with the Southern Trust.	
15	32	Q.	And was the SAI process explained to you?	11:43
16		Α.	Yes, it was.	
17	33	Q.	And were you invited to be involved in that process,	
18			for example were the Terms of Reference of the Serious	
19			Adverse Incident, did you have any input into that?	
20		Α.	I don't think we did, no.	11:43
21	34	Q.	But they did certainly explain to you what would be	
22			happening in terms of that?	
23		Α.	Yes.	
24	35	Q.	And how did you find that meeting and the communication	
25			that surrounded that?	11:44
26		Α.	I understood why we couldn't be told anything in	
27			advance, albeit it was frustrating, but when we met	
28			with them they were very transparent. I would say,	
29			that was Patricia Kingsnorth and Dermot Hughes, and to	

1			the point probably of blunt about the failures in the	
2			care that my father had received. It was quite	
3			confronting to have to receive that information to the	
4			extent, and it's not within the records, but Dr. Hughes	
5			had communicated to Mum and I that the Bicalutamide	11:44
6			medication can sometimes cause a tumour flare and that	
7			that's what they believed could possibly have happened.	
8			Now, I didn't expect to see it anywhere written down	
9			afterwards, and I haven't, but that's what was said.	
10			We were told that there were concerns with the	11:45
11			practices for a long time, albeit maybe I played slight	
12			devil's advocate with that. I couldn't understand if	
13			there had been concerns for so long and they were so	
14			serious then, you know, why were they only being	
15			highlighted now and why were they continuing to employ	11:45
16			somebody if this was their view of their practices? It	
17			just didn't make an awful lot of sense to me.	
18	36	Q.	You then had a later meeting, I think in November 2020?	
19		Α.	Yeah.	
20	37	Q.	And, again, that was before the SAI report was	11:45
21			concluded and can you recall that meeting and	
22		Α.	I can, although for some reason I can't recall it in	
23			any great detail. It was on Zoom. I don't know, I was	
24			actually getting married only a couple of weeks after	
25			that and that felt like a particularly nightmarish time	11:46
26			is the only way I can describe it. I don't have any	
27			particular I have read the notes of it but as for	
28			what was said and what was discussed, I couldn't tell	
29			VOII	

2	38	Q.	you received?	
3		Α.	No, no.	
4	39	Q.	Then it was in Spring 2021 that you received the SAI	
5		~-	report?	11:46
6		Α.	Yes.	
7	40	Q.	And the conclusions of that. And, again, can I just	
8			ask you about the effect that that had on you as a	
9			family when you received that?	
10		Α.	Yeah. It was horrendous. It's the stuff of nightmares	11:46
11			to be perfectly honest. It just had a devastating	
12			effect on us both. We were still in lockdowns at that	
13			stage. We were grieving. The loss and then we had	
14			this additional layer and the person that we really	
15			wanted to speak to about it is no longer here and, you	11:47
16			know, it was too little too late is the best way I	
17			could put it. We understood why that needed to be	
18			communicated to us. We welcomed the transparency and	
19			the fact that it was done in that frank manner but	
20			really any outcome that didn't result in my Dad being	11:47
21			taken back to the summer of 2019 and given a second run	
22			at his treatment, you know, just there was nothing good	
23			could come of this for us. It's been a very, very	
24			difficult thing to have to live with it, albeit we try.	
25	41	Q.	I appreciate that. Can I just check with you, after	11:47
26			the report was received did you have a further meeting	
27			with members of the Trust and perhaps with the	
28			representatives of the Department of Health to discuss	
29			that and to discuss the Inquiry being set up?	

1		Α.	Yes, we did. Yeah, we did.	
2	42	Q.	Is there any comment you want to make on any of that?	
3		Α.	No. It was just procedural, you know, we didn't really	
4			get into the detail of anything. It was very brief but	
5			no.	11:48
6	43	Q.	And you also received some communications from the	
7			Chief Executive, the then Chief Executive of the Trust,	
8			Mr. Shane Devlin, sort of appraising you of what was	
9			happening as a result of the SAIs, I think two letters	
10			maybe from him at some point. You describe very	11:48
11			graphically, and you have described today, the impact	
12			that all of this had on your family and that can be	
13			found at PAT-001362 to 64. I'm not going to ask you to	
14			repeat that, unless there's anything in particular that	
15			you would wish the Inquiry to know about that?	11:49
16		Α.	I think I have covered it all.	
17	44	Q.	One other thing just that I wanted to raise with you is	
18			the bundle of material included a letter that was sent	
19			by Mr. O'Brien to your father's general practitioner in	
20			July of 2020?	11:49
21		Α.	Mmm.	
22	45	Q.	And that's in the bundle at PAT-001503 and I know that	
23			that letter can I ask first of all when you first	
24			became aware of that letter, when you first saw it?	
25		Α.	When we received the bundle of documents.	11:49
26	46	Q.	From the Inquiry or	
27		Α.	Was it prior to that? No, it must have been prior to	
28			that because we've referred to it in the, I think our	
29			solicitor had I hadn't seen all of the notes but I	

1			had seen that letter before, I couldn't be specific	
2			about when but no, it was before we received the	
3			bundle.	
4	47	Q.	There is a particular paragraph which is actually on	
5			the screen in front of you, it's at the top of that	11:50
6			page?	
7		Α.	Yes.	
8	48	Q.	That I know that you wanted to say something about?	
9		Α.	I do. I'm aware of that conversation between	
10			Mr. O'Brien and my father. I should say, although it	11:50
11			reflects differently in the SAI, I don't dispute that	
12			he found him vague, that's really for him to make that	
13			determination. I don't actually doubt that he was	
14			vague because he was in a really poor condition at that	
15			stage. I do, however, wish to challenge the suggestion	11:50
16			that he was displaying a significant degree of memory	
17			loss. I'm not sure you could make that determination	
18			in a short conversation in any event, but I really	
19			don't think that was the case for my father. I don't	
20			accept that there was any sort of cognitive decline. I	11:50
21			think we have to appreciate he was speaking,	
22			Mr. O'Brien was speaking to somebody there who was	
23			extremely ill and their only access to healthcare,	
24			apart from the TURP procedure, between March 2020 and	
25			June of 2020 was via A&E. So, I was disappointed to	11:51
26			read that characterisation and we don't accept that	
27			there was a deterioration in cognitive function, no.	
28				

22

29

The point following on from that where it's stated that

he did not particularly wish to have any treatment for	
his prostatic carcinoma in late 2019, preferring to go	
on holiday in December 2019, deferring initiation of	
any treatment until after he returned, I would	
challenge that as well. I don't think it's clear as to	11:51
whether or not the treatment Mr. O'Brien is referring	
to is the Bicalutamide, which he shouldn't have been on	
in any event, or the commencement of radiotherapy. But	
I do remember my father speaking to me after that	
appointment and stating that I've asked Mr. O'Brien is	11:52
it okay for me to go on holiday, they went away for	
five days at the end of November 2019, and would that	
interfere with anything. He said no, this is how my	
Dad put it to me, you'll not be starting your	
radiotherapy until probably the early spring, because	11:52
you're on this medication and the idea is we want to	
get your PSA driven down through the floor before you	
would commence that. So if he is referencing	
radiotherapy there, I think that's disingenuous and it	
wouldn't have prevented him from making the referral to	11:52
the radiological oncologist anyway. I was disappointed	
to note that. I haven't seen any contemporaneous note	
that my father had asked for his treatment to be	
deferred. I accept it's in the diary entries and I	
remember him saying that he wanted to stop taking it	11:53
for a short period of time whilst he was going on	
holiday. But it's the characterisation of him being in	
denial or lacking insight. My Dad was diagnosed on	
foot of him being diligent enough to ask for a PSA	

1			test, that's how this diagnosis came about. This	
2			wasn't somebody who was flippant with their health or	
3			that was in denial or lacking insight. He knew he had	
4			prostate cancer and I want to be really clear about	
5			that. If he had have been told you are going to see an	11:53
6			oncologist the following week he would have been there.	
7			If he had been told you're commencing radiotherapy next	
8			week, let me tell you he'd have been the first person	
9			in that cancer centre	
10	49	Q.	Thank you very much, Patient 1's Daughter Is there anything that	11:54
11			we haven't covered or that isn't covered in the bundle	
12			of papers that we have that you wanted to let the	
13			Inquiry know or say at this stage, this is your	
14			opportunity?	
15		Α.	I think I've covered everything and thank you for the	11:54
16			opportunity today.	
17	50	Q.	We really do very much appreciate you coming along and	
18			speaking to us because we know it isn't easy.	
19		Α.	Thank you.	
20			CHAIR: I'm going to hand over and ask Dr. Swart if she	11:54
21			has anything she would like to ask you.	
22	51	Q.	DR. SWART: Thank you. Thanks again, it gives	
23			significant colour to hear from people, but your notes	
24			are very good anyway.	
25				11:54
26			You describe the meeting with the Trust about the	
27			Serious Incident, you had several meetings, and then	
28			the report. I can imagine that would have been very	
29			shocking for you and difficult?	

1		Α.	Mmm.	
2	52	Q.	What, in those meetings, shocked you the most about the	
3			care, as it was described? What was the biggest thing	
4			that sort of really wrenched at you?	
5		Α.	The use of the unlicensed medication. That was the	11:55
6			most shocking thing. Because that, of course, was the	
7			only treatment that my father ever received. So, to be	
8			told that, at best, it wasn't going to do anything for	
9			him and, at worst, it had in actual fact made things	
10			worse for him, I think that was the most shocking	11:55
11			thing.	
12	53	Q.	And what about the whole issue of the multidisciplinary	
13			team meetings, had you been aware of those until that	
14			time?	
15		Α.	No, I hadn't. My father had never discussed it. I	11:55
16			wasn't aware of what the treatment plan would have been	
17			beyond what my father had understood it to be. So I	
18			didn't know what recommendations had been made or what	
19			should have been done on foot of those recommendations,	
20			I had no idea until that meeting.	11:55
21	54	Q.	And when it came through to the report, and the lessons	
22			learnt are fairly clearly set out and stark and there	
23			was quite a lot of emphasis on that Multidisciplinary	
24			Team meeting, wasn't there, and the recommendations.	
25			How did you feel about that, when you read that, the	11:56
26			fact that the right things had been recommended but	
27			didn't happen, what impact did it have on you?	
28		Α.	It shocked me. And I wouldn't say I was particularly	
29			naive or anything like that. But it did shock me and I	

1			remember saying at the time, he's not the first person	
2			who has presented with prostate cancer or prostate	
3			cancer at this stage. You know, it's not formulaic but	
4			also it's not, this isn't unchartered territory either.	
5			There should have been a plan in place and you would	11:56
6			have expected those fairly basic measures to have been	
7			implemented. I was shocked. I knew other people who	
8			had came to our home after my Dad had been diagnosed	
9			and treated by Mr. O'Brien successfully and had	
10			absolutely nothing negative to say about him or their	11:57
11			experiences with the Southern Trust, so this was quite	
12			a shock.	
13	55	Q.	And if you were sitting with the Trust Board today and	
14			you had to give them three recommendations, what would	
15			you choose to highlight to them about things that you	11:57
16			feel perhaps they need to understand more about? Is	
17			there anything you'd like to say, 'please know this',	
18			in addition to the pain and suffering that the family	
19			has had which is obviously shocking?	
20		Α.	If I'm honest no, because I think it's known to them	11:57
21			already. I don't think there's anything new in any of	
22			this and that's my belief.	
23			DR. SWART: Thank you.	
24			CHAIR: Mr. Hanbury?	
25	56	Q.	MR. HANBURY: Thank you very much, Patient 1's Daughter, for your	11:58
26			evidence, very compelling.	
27				
28			I just want to dig a bit deeper on the consultation	
29			your father had with Mr. O'Brien after the	

Τ			multidisciplinary meeting, the second one after all the	
2			scans had been done?	
3		Α.	Yes.	
4	57	Q.	A lot of drugs we prescribe do have side effects	
5			including the Bicalutamide, so on that one do you have	11:58
6			a recollection that he was, that that was explained or	
7			there was an offer made of an alternative hormone	
8			treatment?	
9		Α.	I don't remember my Dad discussing an alternative	
10			treatment being recommended to him. I'm sorry to jump	11:58
11			ahead, but the only thing that I can recall was further	
12			down the line I think it was in the turn of the year he	
13			had mentioned the possibility of brachytherapy and Dad	
14			had sort of explained to me that that was, that might	
15			be an option for him. But in terms of, you know, a	11:59
16			hormone deprivation therapy, then no. I mean, I wasn't	
17			present at those but certainly nothing like that was	
18			ever communicated to me afterwards, yeah.	
19	58	Q.	Again at that consultation with respect to the	
20			radiotherapy which you've already said, was there a	11:59
21			conversation about the timing of a referral? Was your	
22			father he would have been happy to have been	
23			referred at that point, there was nothing that you	
24			perceived that he was reluctant in any way?	
25		Α.	Absolutely not, no. He understood that he would be	11:59
26			getting his PSA level down to a certain level and at	
27			that point he would be receiving radiotherapy. I know	
28			that there is reference in his diary entries, if you	
29			want me to take you to the relevant page.	

1	59	Q.	No.	
2		Α.	I know you've read it. That he was being referred to	
3			an oncologist in the January and it does state that	
4			quite clearly, "refer to oncologist", and I think	
5			that's backed up by the clinical notes.	12:00
6	60	Q.	I think the anticipation was but the reality	
7		Α.	Yes, he was told he was being referred, yeah.	
8	61	Q.	Okay, thank you. And we also know that prostate	
9			cancer, there often are options and it's helpful to	
10			have someone else in the room to help with the	12:00
11			decision-making. Again it brings us back to the Cancer	
12			Nurse Specialist role. I mean were you aware that was	
13			offered or he saw anybody else to have any additional	
14			support?	
15		Α.	I'm not aware of it. As I say, I was, like a lot of	12:00
16			people, off the radar a bit during that time in terms	
17			of being actually present and calling in, as I normally	
18			would have done. But certainly my father never	
19			mentioned it. I know if he could have got somebody on	
20			the end of a phone who could have spoke to him about	12:01
21			the fact that he had a catheter in that he absolutely	
22			did not want, he would have explored that, he would	
23			have been straight on to them. So, no, I don't believe	
24			he ever had access to that service at that stage.	
25	62	Q.	Okay, thank you. So, can I now bring you on to the	12:01
26			time when your father sadly went into urinary retention	
27			and had to be catheterised and those conversations	
28			there.	
29		Δ	Vac	

1	63	Q.	He had a conversation with Mr. O'Brien a month or two	
2			after it happened and the PSA had gone up. Did your	
3			father tell you about the conversation he had with	
4			Mr. O'Brien at the time about why that might all be	
5			happening?	12:01
6		Α.	No. I was aware that the PSA was going up and then a	
7			few weeks I think that was in the February because I	
8			remember Dad making a comment like, 'oh, trust me to	
9			have to be an enigma' or something like that because he	
LO			wasn't responding. Then I don't think there was any	12:02
L1			communication and then he requires the catheter in	
L2			March, it was the day of the lockdown, 23rd March,	
L3			because he phoned me at work and asked me to bring him	
L4			to A&E. And after that I don't think there was a	
L5			discussion with anybody at all. I know that he was	12:02
L6			meant to have a consultant appointment with	
L7			Mr. O'Brien, I think it was at the end of April of	
L8			2020, which didn't go ahead. I think it had been	
L9			communicated to him it might end up being a telephone	
20			consultation but I know that that didn't go ahead	12:02
21			either. I do know that by the time that he had spoke	
22			to Mr. O'Brien, I think it was at the beginning of	
23			June, Mr. O'Brien had apologised for not being in touch	
24			and very much the impression Dad had got that he had	
25			been under a lot of pressure himself and that the had,	12:02
26			I think the way Dad had put it to me, he had been	
27			pulled in every direction. He had woken up on a day	
28			and didn't know what hospital he was going to be in	
29			that day. We had a degree of sympathy for that as	

1			well, that he was under a lot of pressure. But that's	
2			certainly the first communication that I think my Dad	
3			had with Mr. O'Brien since February of 2020, yeah,	
4			that's right.	
5	64	Q.	Just to push you a little bit more on that, at that	12:03
6	04	Q.	point he starts a hormone injection; that's correct?	12.03
7		Α.	In June?	
8	65	Q.	Yeah?	
9	0.5	,	Yeah.	
	6.6	Α.		
10	66	Q.	So how did your father perceive the reason for that,	12:03
11			the additional	
12		Α.	That his PSA level had began to rise. I don't remember	
13			him having a great deal of discussion as to why he was	
14			getting that. That's not to say that that didn't	
15			happen but certainly he didn't relay that to me. But,	12:03
16			again, I don't think I could overstate, you know, the	
17			way Dad was at that time. I mean he was really in a	
18			very, very bad way. He was on anti-anxiety medication.	
19			He was taking sleeping tablets. He was restless. He	
20			really was in a very, very poor condition by that	12:04
21			point.	
22	67	Q.	And there was an intention of Mr. O'Brien to do, which	
23			he writes, to do a CT scan after the TURP operation?	
24		Α.	Yes.	
25	68	Q.	The order of that, was there a reason, from your	12:04
26			father's point of view, it happened in that there	
27			was no, you don't remember a	
28		Α.	I don't remember how that I think it was maybe that	
29			the PSA was, I think Dad said the PSA was going up and	

1			he was getting rescanned and but as for any detail	
2			around that, no, I don't remember that.	
3	69	Q.	You eloquently say about all the catheter problems?	
4		Α.	Yeah.	
5	70	Q.	So he would have seen a specialist nurse, not a cancer	12:05
6			nurse for the catheter?	
7		Α.	I understand.	
8	71	Q.	Was there a link with her in the Department that was	
9			relatively easy? You say	
10		Α.	No.	12:05
11	72	Q.	your dealings were mainly through?	
12		Α.	It was A&E.	
13	73	Q.	A&E.	
14		Α.	He would get to a point that he would decide do you	
15			know what, I can't deal with this anymore, I am going	12:05
16			to A&E to get this out. I mean he was driving through	
17			the night at times. He was driving on the wrong side	
18			of the road. He really, really was in a terrible	
19			condition by this stage. That was his only access to	
20			healthcare. There really was no he was contacting,	12:05
21			as I understand it, Mr. O'Brien's secretary. I know he	
22			sent an e-mail. That's what he had recorded. I	
23			remember him saying that to me at the time. Yeah, his	
24			only access to care was through A&E. There certainly	
25			was no he didn't have access to any nurse.	12:06
26	74	Q.	Okay. Then he has a slight prolonged stay after the	
27			TURP operation, a bit of a fever and things. Just a	
28			couple of things, was that where he first met	
29			Mr. Haynes, he attended him in hospital	

1		Α.	That is the first time he mentioned Mr. Haynes to me.	
2	75	Q.	Because the letters came about	
3		Α.	Towards the end of his discharge in Daisy Hill, yes.	
4	76	Q.	Also was there any referral made to the cancer nurses	
5			when he was an inpatient?	12:06
6		Α.	Yes, I think there was because they tried to take the	
7			catheter out whilst he was in Daisy Hill, it was	
8			unsuccessful. Then I think the plan was when he would	
9			come out that the urology nurse would call out to the	
10			house and try him again. I do remember him saying	12:06
11			that.	
12	77	Q.	There was no discussion about any other mechanisms of	
13			draining the bladder, you know, other types of catheter	
14			or ways to put it in the bladder differently from	
15		Α.	Not as far as I'm aware but, again, he really was, he	12:06
16			wasn't in a great state, yeah.	
17	78	Q.	Just one last question. Was there any link, as far as	
18			you are aware, from the inpatient nurses or the cancer	
19			nurses who the ward nurses I should say to the	
20			palliative care nurses in the community made from the	12:07
21			hospital?	
22		Α.	Absolutely none.	
23			MR. HANBURY: Thank you.	
24			CHAIR: Mr. Wolfe, is there anything else you would	
25			like to ask?	12:07
26	79	Q.	MR. WOLFE KC: Just one area I want to pick up on	
27			arising out of Mr. Hanbury's question. Patient 1's Daughter if	
28			you look at the bundle in front of you at PAT-001400	
29			you'll find your late father's diary entry for 27th	

1			January 2020?	
2		Α.	Yes, I have that.	
3	80	Q.	You have that. And that diary entry appears to have	
4			been written following a review consultation with	
5			Mr. O'Brien on that day at the South Western Area	12:08
6			Hospital and it reads:	
7				
8			"Referred to oncologist @ City Hospital."	
9				
10			And then just before I ask you the question, if you	12:08
11			turn to PAT-001454, and there you will find	
12			Mr. O'Brien's corresponding note for that day and under	
13			the heading "plan" he has written:	
14				
15			"Increase Bicalutamide to 100 milligrams daily."	12:09
16				
17			And then:	
18				
19			"Write to Dr. Mitchell."	
20				12:09
21			And then there are a couple of radiotherapy-type	
22			treatments. Can I ask you this: Was there a	
23			conversation between you and your late father or within	
24			the family more generally about an expectation that	
25			radiotherapy would be part of his treatment?	12:09
26		Α.	Absolutely. He understood that he would be receiving	
27			radiotherapy and I found text messages on his phone to	
28			friends in the spring of 2020 saying 'I'm waiting on	
29			radiotherapy'.	

1	81	Q.	And while that may have been the plan on 27th January,	
2			there's no evidence before this Inquiry that I'm aware	
3			of and we're obviously continuing with our work, that	
4			such a referral actually happened. Now, has the family	
5			ever received an explanation as to why that never	12:10
6			happened or does it have an understanding as to why it	
7			didn't happen?	
8		Α.	Never received an explanation as to why the referral	
9			for radiotherapy didn't happen. I had somewhat of an	
10			explanation from Mr. O'Brien when I spoke to him on the	12:11
11			phone as to why radiotherapy may have not been	
12			appropriate at the stage that the catheter was	
13			inserted, because he didn't want him to be going for	
14			radiotherapy with an indwelling catheter, but I suppose	
15			that's a separate point.	12:11
16	82	Q.	In terms of earlier in the process, you now know about	
17			the Multidisciplinary Team or meeting process?	
18		Α.	Yeah.	
19	83	Q.	They made a recommendation on 31st October 2019 which	
20			provided for hormone treatment or chemical castration	12:11
21			as it's referred to?	
22		Α.	Mmm.	
23	84	Q.	And radiotherapy. So, in that period, say from end of	
24			October until the end of January 2020, or indeed before	
25			things got much worse in June, was there any	12:12
26			explanation forthcoming as to why radiotherapy hadn't	
27			happened?	
28		Α.	No.	
29	85	0	And during your conversation with Mr. O'Brien, nossibly	

1			in late August following your father's death, you've	
2			explained in your evidence that the broad gist of it	
3			was that Mr. O'Brien had delivered all appropriate	
4			treatment and nothing else would have made a	
5			difference; is that the broad gist of it?	12:12
6		Α.	It is. I should add to that that I did ask a question	
7			at the end, I remember thinking about it, that if	
8			nothing could have made any difference was taking his	
9			prostate out an option, was surgery an option? I now	
10			realise it probably wasn't at his age, it's not for me	12:13
11			to comment on that. I did ask that question and he	
12			replied 'no'.	
13	86	Q.	So, did you, during that conversation, get into the	
14			radiotherapy issue with him?	
15		Α.	I'm fairly sure I did ask would it have made any	12:13
16			difference if he'd had radiotherapy sooner. And,	
17			again, the broad gist of the answer was no. And then	
18			there was a discussion around the fact that he had	
19			required the urinary catheter which would have caused	
20			difficulties for him receiving radiotherapy. He did	12:13
21			give me, Mr. O'Brien did give me a fair bit of detail	
22			around that but I would be doing it a disservice to try	
23			and recall it in any detail.	
24	87	Q.	So is it fair to say, Patient 1's Daughter, knowing what the	
25			multidisciplinary meeting prescribed as the recommended	12:14
26			form of treatment for your father, is it your family's	
27			fundamental question: Why didn't that happen and how	
28			could the Trust have failed to realise until quite late	
29			in the day that it hadn't happened?	

1		Α.	Yes, indeed.	
2	88	Q.	Is that fundamental to you?	
3		Α.	Very fundamental.	
4			MR. WOLFE KC: Thank you.	
5			CHAIR: Patient 1's Daughter and Patient 1's Wife , Patients 1's Son-in-Law , thank	12:14
6			you all very much for attending today. We do very much	
7			appreciate you taking the time to come and speak to us	
8			and hopefully whenever we do reach our conclusions and	
9			make recommendations, what you have told us will bear	
10			fruit in those recommendations, so thank you very much	12:15
11			indeed. If you don't mind I'm going to ask if	
12			Ms. Marshall will take you from the room, I have some	
13			housekeeping matters that I need to deal with the	
14			representatives. Thank you.	
15			THE WITNESS: No problem. Thank you.	12:15
16				
17			DI SCUSSI ON RE HOUSEKEEPI NG	
18				
19			MR. MILLAR: There was a point that arose this morning	
20			in the evidence that I just wanted to clarify. If you	12:16
21			look at line 10.1, just after that, 10.1, 10.2.	
22			CHAIR: We don't have CaseView in front of us	
23			Mr. Millar, if you maybe want to read the portion.	
24			MR. MILLAR: I think the question you raised, Madam	
25			Chair, was whether in June Patient 1 was prescribed	12:16
26			Bicalutamide in advance of his operation.	
27			CHAIR: I don't believe that was my question but I'm	
28			subject to what is in the transcript.	
29			MR. MILLAR: The point is I think there was just a	

1	confusion about the drug. Certainly the transcript	
2	shows that was the question but I think, Madam Chair,	
3	you may not have meant to ask that question because I	
4	think the drug that was prescribed was a different	
5	drug, the Leuprorelin, if that is the correct	12:17
6	pronunciation. It probably doesn't matter terribly	
7	much but it is just for the record to be clear, it	
8	seems the drug prescribed was not Bicalutamide but	
9	another drug.	
10	CHAIR: I'm happy to be corrected on that, Mr. Millar,	12:17
11	if I have got that wrong and it certainly would not	
12	have been my intention to mislead in any way as to what	
13		
14	MR. MILLAR: I am just keen to make sure the Inquiry's	
15	record is correct.	12:17
16	CHAIR: In light of that there is something I do need	
17	to say about Tuesday's evidence which was the evidence	
18	you'll recall of Patient 35's Son . Unfortunately we	
19	neglected to ask Patient 35's Son to affirm. I propose to	
20	share the transcript with Patient 35's Son and at some	12:17
21	stage in November ask him to Zoom in for a very short	
22	period of time to have him affirm and to adopt his	
23	evidence, unless you wish to confirm that that is not a	
24	necessary step to take and that you're content that his	
25	evidence stands as if it were sworn.	12:18
26	MR. MILLAR: That's a matter for the Inquiry,	
27	Madam Chair. I have no point to take about that.	
28	MR. LUNNY KC: For the Trust I'll take formal	
29	instructions but we were here we witnessed it T	

1	would have absolutely no difficulty with his evidence	
2	standing without him having to come back. I'll confirm	
3	that.	
4	CHAIR: Thank you very much, Mr. Lunny. Mr. Reid.	
5	MR. REID: A similar position to Mr. Lunny. We can	12:18
6	take instructions but I can't see any issue arising.	
7	CHAIR: We'll certainly consider the position and I may	
8	take a certain course just to correct the record if	
9	it's necessary, but thank you for that.	
10		12:18
11	Just then I promised on Tuesday also that I would give	
12	you an update at the end of today's evidence session	
13	and say something more about our November hearings.	
14		
15	Further information will be provided formally in	12:18
16	writing to each core participant, however in ease of	
17	everyone I consider it appropriate to indicate what is	
18	planned from now until Christmas and then early in	
19	2023.	
20		12:19
21	Information about the timetable will be regularly	
22	updated on the Inquiry's website. Our public hearings	
23	will commence at 10:00 a.m. on 8th November when I will	
24	say something publicly about our work and some of this	
25	may well repeat what I've already expressed to you all	12:19
26	in June, but it will be the first time that members of	
27	the public will have access to our hearings and learn	
28	about what we have been doing so I make no apology for	
29	being repetitious.	

1		
2	You will each be required, on that date, to announce	
3	your appearances again because, again, that has not	
4	been done publicly. And as you can see our public	
5	gallery space is very limited so I would encourage	12:19
6	those of you who can do so to follow proceedings via	
7	the Inquiry's website. The proceedings will be	
8	streamed almost live in that there will be a short	
9	delay in the broadcast and that means that those of you	
10	who are accessing the CaseView transcript at the same	12:19
11	time will notice that it is ahead of the live stream.	
12		
13	Following my opening comments, Mr. Wolfe KC will	
14	deliver Inquiry counsel's opening. He currently	
15	advises me that is he is likely to conclude that on 9th	12:20
16	November and then I will ask the legal representatives	
17	for each Core Participant to deliver a short opening	
18	statement on 10th November and the timetabling for that	
19	is as follows:	
20		12:20
21	The first statement will be at 10:00 a.m. from the	
22	representative for the Southern Health and Social Care	
23	Trust. I should say that you will be allocated one	
24	hour to deliver that opening statement. It can be	
25	shorter if you wish, I'm not encouraging you to use the	12:20
26	entire time, but certainly there will be an hour	
27	available to you. Following that we will have a short	
28	break and then the representatives for Mr. O'Brien have	

29

the opportunity to deliver their opening statement at

1	11:15 a.m. and finally the Department of Health at	
2	12:30 p.m.	
3		
4	The following week we will start to hear evidence from	
5	non-patient witnesses commencing on 15th November. We	12:21
6	will hold three days of hearings that week. There will	
7	then be a one-week non-sitting week before we sit again	
8	on 29th November, again for three days and then on 6th	
9	December for three days. We currently intend to sit on	
10	13th December but that is only if we need to and that	12:21
11	the witnesses scheduled between 15th November and 8th	
12	December, if they haven't concluded we will sit on 13th	
13	December.	
14		
15	In 2023 we will not sit again until 24th January when	12:21
16	we will hold a private patient hearing on that day and	
17	then sit again in public on 25th and 26th and again for	
18	three days beginning on 31st January.	
19		
20	As far as possible the plan will then be to take a	12:22
21	two-week break before sitting for two weeks. That will	
22	then be followed by two non-sitting weeks.	
23		
24	In hearing weeks we will sit on three days each week	
25	until Easter, usually beginning on Tuesdays.	12:22
26		
27	I want to stress that the timetable is subject to	
28	change and changes may need to be made at short notice	
29	in order to facilitate witness availability. We will	

1	endeavour to give as much notice of any changes to the	
2	timetable as we can, but you should be prepared for us	
3	to sit at short notice. For example, it may be that we	
4	will be required to sit on a Friday one week or on a	
5	Monday another week in order to facilitate witnesses.	12:22
6		
7	We have already advised the first witnesses of the	
8	dates they are required to attend to give evidence and	
9	once we have confirmation of their attendance you will	
LO	be advised in writing. However, in future you will not	12:23
11	receive written confirmation and will need to check the	
L2	website. You should check the Inquiry website	
L3	regularly and in any case check the witness timetable	
L4	ten days in advance to allow you to provide Inquiry	
L5	counsel with any questions or issues you wish raised	12:23
L6	with the witnesses seven working days in advance of	
L7	their evidence. That's in accordance with the Inquiry	
L8	protocol.	
L9		
20	You all should have access to the witness bundle. You	12:23
21	will receive updated individual bundles in advance of	
22	the witnesses being called to give evidence and, again,	
23	we hope to provide those as far in advance as possible	
24	and in any event we hope to certainly do so ten days	
25	before they are called to give evidence.	12:23
26		
27	If you do have any questions about this or anything	
28	else of an administrative nature, please do not	
29	hesitate to contact Ms. Marshall or her team. Any	

1	questions of a legal nature should be directed to
2	Ms. Donnelly and her team.
3	
4	I look forward to seeing you all again bright and early
5	on 8th November when we will start at 10:00 a.m. Thank 12:24
6	you all very much.
7	MR. LUNNY KC: Thank you, Chairman.
8	
9	THE INQUIRY WAS THEN ADJOURNED UNTIL TUESDAY 8TH
10	NOVEMBER 2022 AT 10: 00 A. M.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	