



UROLOGY SERVICES INQUIRY

USI Ref: Section 21 Notice No.41 of 2022

Date of Notice: 29th April 2022

Addendum Witness Statement of: Dr Neta Chada

I, Neta Chada, will say as follows:-

1. I wish to make the following amendments to my existing response, dated 24th June 2022, to Section 21 Notice number 41 of 2022.
2. At paragraph 8.4 WIT-23774 I have stated, *'I had no direct contact with the Medical Director (Dr Richard Wright) other than when I was asked to engage in the investigation process when the previous Case Investigator had to be replaced'*. Having considered the extract from Dr Wright's witness Statement to the Inquiry at 7.3 iii (which can be found at TRU18427- TRU 18428), Dr Wright states, *'Dr Chada (the new case Investigator) was an Associate Medical Director with extensive experience in carrying out similar MHPS investigations. I would have interacted with her on multiple occasions over the relevant time period, however, not specifically in relation to the Urology MHPS investigation. I do recall asking her on at least one occasion how the MHPS investigation was proceeding and hearing that the investigation was behind schedule because of difficulty in agreeing interview dates with Mr O'Brien. I was not surprised or unduly concerned as in my experience this is a common area of difficulty with MHPS investigations.'*
3. I did not recall this discussion until I read Dr Wright's statement. I do, however, now recall that I did speak to Dr Wright informally about how the investigation was progressing at an AMD meeting (Associate Medical Directors/Management meeting) that we were both attending. I explained that it was slow and outlined



Urology Services Inquiry

the reasons for this. This was not an in-depth discussion and was essentially a mention 'in passing'.

Statement of Truth

I believe that the facts stated in this witness statement are true.

Personal Information redacted by the USI

Signed:

*Dr N Chada, FRCPsych.
Consultant Psychiatrist*

Date:

20.03.2023



UROLOGY SERVICES INQUIRY

USI Ref: Section 21 Notice No.41 of 2022

Date of Notice: 29th April 2022

Addendum Witness Statement of: Dr Neta Chada

I, Neta Chada, will say as follows:-

1. I wish to make the following amendments to my existing response, dated 24th June 2022, to Section 21 Notice number 41 of 2022.
2. At paragraph 5.1 WIT 23771 I have stated *In this particular case, appropriate advice was appropriately sought from a specialist within the field (the Clinical Director, Mr Young) in cases where questions of clinical judgement were raised.* This should be changed to *In this particular case, appropriate advice was appropriately sought from a specialist within the field (the ~~Clinical Director~~ **Clinical Lead**, Mr Young) in cases where questions of clinical judgement were raised.*
3. At paragraph 11.3 (WIT-23778), I have stated '*I am not aware of the parameters under which Mr O'Brien returned to work, or whether they were adhered to. This was not my role under MHPS.*' This should be changed to '*I am not aware of the **exact** parameters under which Mr O'Brien returned to work but I was aware that there was an action plan in place relating to the areas of concern. **I was told that the action plan was** or whether they were adhered to **during my investigation.** ~~This~~ **Monitoring adherence to the action plan** was not my role under MHPS.*'
4. At paragraph 18.4 (WIT-23787). I have stated '*I am unaware of how he progressed on his return as I was not advised of that.*' This should be changed to



Urology Services Inquiry

'I am unaware of how he progressed ~~on his return~~ after I completed my investigation as I was not advised of that.'

Statement of Truth

I believe that the facts stated in this witness statement are true.

Personal Information redacted by the USI

Signed:

***Dr N Chada, FRCPsych.
Consultant Psychiatrist***

Date:

22.03.2023