

3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Unclear ? should be on list for right orchidopexy
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No


Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name		
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Scrotal swelling
Patient Summary	December 2015 ultrasound – inguinal hernia Incontinent after TURP in 2016 (3 grams) Ultrasound August 2019 normal

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A

3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		?discharged now
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Unknown
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i>		Maybe not Not clear why he had TURP or why incontinent after

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		?
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Possibly

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	PSA 5
Patient Summary	Ultrasound October 2019 34ml prostate MRI December 2019 PIRADS 3 lesion For surveillance Referred back to GP No PSA since December 2019

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>		N/A

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Discharged
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	Ca lung December 2019 CT OK No record of cystoscopy

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		

	management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		No cystoscopy
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Unknown

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Urinary infections
Patient Summary	CT May 2020 – bilateral renal stones No follow-up plan

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? (<i>'Secure Clinical Management</i>		No

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria and UTI
Patient Summary	CT scans October/November 2018 normal Has not had cystoscopy

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		

	management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Should have had cystoscopy
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes but should have had cystoscopy
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the UST	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	UTI - coliform
Patient Summary	Ultrasound October 2019 normal Elevated PSA 13.3. Fell to 9.84 on 27/09/21 bit not repeated since

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		No

	management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		No – needs further PSA check
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Renal stones
Patient Summary	May 2015 CT – right ureteric calculus December 2015 PUT right VUJ stone March 2016 CT stone passed July 2019 lower left ureteric stone – still there on PUT in August 2019 Was to be offered ESWL but no documentation or further X Rays

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes

2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes until 2019 Not clear if stone treated
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A

6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No



Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	January 2019 Gleason 4+4 ca prostate MRI T2/3 February 2019 started bicalutamide 150 December 2019 AO'B letter states radiotherapy not indicated but referred in January 2020 Why not considered for surgery? Late referral for radiotherapy

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations)		Yes

	<i>and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with</i>		N/A

	<i>current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Unclear
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Yes
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Unclear

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS. Non visible haematuria
Patient Summary	CT September 2018 normal Ultrasound September 2019 normal No cystoscopy

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Incomplete
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	September 2019 ultrasound – renal cysts and residual of 199ml No follow up July and August 2020 raised PSA – letter asking for recheck in December but no result

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>		N/A

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		No
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		No follow up in 2016 No action after raised PSA in July 2020
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		?

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	November 2008 urethral dilatation. Repeated in September 2019 with hydrodistension Was due review by AO'B in December – cannot see any record June 2019 residual was only 9ml so probably does not need further treatment Under gynaecology since

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>		N/A

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Not needed
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		No

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Difficult to understand rationale for hydrodistension and further urethral dilatation in 2019
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Probably not

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Right PUJ obstruction
Patient Summary	Right kidney 43% function in 2012. In 2021 has fallen to 28% She failed to attend follow-up appointments until November 2018. January 2019 renogram suggested right obstruction. Not followed up until review in August 2020

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and		N/A

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Yes AO”B failed to action January 2019 renogram result and check differential renal function
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2010 CT shows left renal stone 2011 CT shows stone at pelvic brim No imaging or treatment since (ultrasound request suggests he passed stone in 2012)

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A

3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		No
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		I think he needs at least a plain film to be sure that stone has passed

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		No - no follow up imaging to ensure stone has passed
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Initially yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Yes – no imaging
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Unknown

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	UTI
Patient Summary	Ultrasound January 2020 normal No letters on system

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		

	<i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No letters seen
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 104	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	November 2014 CT bilateral renal stones. Stone in left ureter with hydronephrosis. Fragmented and ureter stented. 2016 further stone with hydronephrosis July 2019 stone at right PUJ – bilateral renal stones Further CTs show stones Treatment clearly ongoing but not documented well between 2014 and 2020 No concerns as long as under review

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm</i>		Yes

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from</i>		N/A

	<i>guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Non visible haematuria
Patient Summary	Had lower tract symptoms CT January 2019 – tiny renal stone CT October 2020 no stone Awaiting cystoscopy

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A

3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		No – should have had cystoscopy in 2019
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i>		

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Probably not

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the UST	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	July 2019 ultrasound – 2cm renal lesion Further imaging suggested but no follow up

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		No

	management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Further imaging of renal lesion
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Possibly

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the UST
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Right hydronephrosis
Patient Summary	June 2019 CT - 11mm ureteric stone 20/06/19 right ureteric stent Further procedure December 2019 – no records found – needs checking Also did she have 6/12 with stent?

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A

3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Long delay (from June to December 2019) before definitive treatment
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Non visible haematuria
Patient Summary	October 2019 ultrasound normal ? Followed at Craigavon

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		

	management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		No cystoscopy
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	CT March 2016 – adrenal nodule Cystoscopy normal 2018 UTI - normal ultrasound

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		

	management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		No follow-up of adrenal lesion
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Renal stone
Patient Summary	4mm lower pole stone. Stable appearance on 2018/2019 CT scans ? AO'B has placed on list for URS - ?not necessary

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		

	management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes but ? not correct to perform ureteroscopy
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal information redacted by the UST
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	Normal cystoscopy Normal CT (tiny renal stone) Clinically prostate nodule – PSA not checked

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Discharged but needs PSA

	management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		check
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes for haematuria MRI better for prostate nodule
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes but needs PSA check
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	August 2013 small exophytic renal lesion – surveillance Continued surveillance despite MDM recommendation for surgery in 2018 Lesion slightly larger in 2020 – no further plan Needs review

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>		N/A

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2020 Gleason 3+4, T3a Referred for radiotherapy CT April 2019 for haematuria – no upper tract cause

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the UST
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Non visible haematuria
Patient Summary	July 2019 CT normal Cystoscopy – cystitis No concerns

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Testis lump/non visible haematuria
Patient Summary	Normal testis ultrasound scan in 2019 Normal cystoscopy and renal ultrasound Therefore discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Discharged

	management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	Ultrasound April 2019 satisfactory Reviewed August 2019 - discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? (<i>'Secure Clinical Management</i>		Discharged

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Recurrent UTI's Ultrasound March 2019 – OK Cystoscopy normal Discharged May 2019

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Discharged

	management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the UST
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	CT May 2020 – left ureteric stone and bilateral renal stomes 18/05/20 left ureteroscopy – no follow up arranged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Unknown primary Stent in right ureter Changed October 2019 Died Personal Information redacted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice)</i>		N/A

	and guidance)		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		Yes

	<i>clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Right ureteric stone stented and URS in March 2019 Died (Personal Information redacted by the USI) (? Cardiac) No issues

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? (‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the <i>current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current</i>		N/A

	<i>best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?		Yes

	<i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Bladder outflow obstruction
Patient Summary	Ultrasound August 2019 – large residual. Normal upper tracts Under PSA review

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Ultrasound April 2019 for stress incontinence - normal

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? (<i>'Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current</i>		

	<i>patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Testicular swelling Dementia, chair-bound Ultrasound April 2019 shows some improvement Orchiectomy planned but too unwell
Died	Personal Information redacted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		N/A

	management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Haematuria July 2019 Metastatic renal cell cancer to brain Died Personal Information redacted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management</i>		N/A

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Testis ultrasound May 2019 cancelled by patient On antibiotic for epididymitis

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? (<i>'Secure Clinical Management</i>		N/A

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Incontinence after TURP ? just a dribble Ultrasound April 2019 normal Urodynamics planned

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		No diagnosis
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	Bosniak 2F cyst – under surveillance MR planned 2022

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? (<i>'Secure Clinical Management</i>		Yes

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the UST
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	History of radiotherapy for Ca bladder Now metastatic endometrial cancer Known bilateral hydronephroses Under oncology

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		

	management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Testicular swelling
Patient Summary	April 2019 normal ultrasound

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? (<i>'Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current</i>		Discharged

	<i>patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Right upper quadrant pain
Patient Summary	CT October 2019 – 5cm complex renal mass Reviewed at MDM January 2020 2021 CT normal

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A

3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Discharged
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Haematuria CT January 2019 normal. Normal cystoscopy Testicular discomfort – ultrasound February 2019 – nad.

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Discharged

	management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Filling defect left upper pole ? myeloma (referred) APER 2017 Very difficult to assess previous history of suggestion that he had previous bladder tumour but minimal documentation Unlikely to be any harm

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if</i>		N/A

	<i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?		

	<i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Ca cervix
Patient Summary	Has regular stent changes. Last one in February 2021 No management concerns

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the UST
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	MRI January 2020 PIRADS 1 MDM June 2020 -biopsies benign April 2021 PSA very slowly rising (7.2) – under review

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Metastatic endometrial sarcoma June 2020 ureteric stent
Died	Personal Information redacted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? (<i>'Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current</i>		Died

	<i>patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	CT June 2018 ureteric stone Stented Uteroscopy in October June 2019 CT clear

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	Bilateral hydronephroses High pressure chronic retention - catheterised Died Personal Information redacted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		

	<i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Slowly enlarging renal lesion since 2011 For ablation No concerns

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Bladder outflow obstruction 2020 and 2021 ultrasounds cancelled by patient

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		

	<i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Left renal stones
Patient Summary	Stones passed All X-Rays reviewed and signed off Discharged January 2020

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Discharged

	management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	PSA 11.6
Patient Summary	May 2019 ultrasound – 100ml gland PSA fell spontaneously Followed up with serial PSA's

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A

3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Left abdo pain
Patient Summary	Ultrasound September 2019 – grit in kidneys CT December 2019 normal Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A

3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Discharged
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Hodgkins lymphoma 2014 ureteric stent Ultrasound February 2019 normal

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Left AML embolised in 2011 2019 CT shows slight enlargement since 2017 Another MRI planned

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	UTI
Patient Summary	March 2019 ultrasound normal urinary tract – ?ovarian cyst No follow up required

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		

	management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Left inguinal pain
Patient Summary	Ultrasound January 2020 small left hydrocoele No letters

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		

	management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Ultrasound January 2020 normal PSA 76 but fell to 1.6 on antibiotics Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and		N/A

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Discharged
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the UST	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	August 2019 ultrasound – bilateral stones and left hydronephrosis Left URS and stent – stent removed Metastatic colon cancer

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>)		N/A

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2011 non-visible haematuria. Normal ultrasound September 2018 small renal cortex lesion November 2019 CT lesion barely visible

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A

3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Non visible haematuria
Patient Summary	Ultrasound August 2014 normal Septemebr 2014 CT normal Cystoscopy normal CT January 2019 normal Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>		N/A

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Discharged
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Non visible haematuria
Patient Summary	March 2020 CT normal Normal cystoscopy Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A

3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Discharged
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal information redacted by the UST
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	November 2015 ultrasound – bladder 1300ml Right epididymitis December 2015 ultrasound PVR 986ml 2020 High pressure chronic retention No follow up after 2015 diagnosis of chronic retention No electrolytes in 2015

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes

2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes – on list for TURP
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A

6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Yes
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Not known



Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Non visible haematuria
Patient Summary	Ultrasound April 2019 mild hydronephrosis Cystoscopy normal CT August 2019 normal Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A

3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	2011 T1c Gleason 3+4 Ca prostate M0 MRI T2a October 2012 started bicalutamide 50mg 2013 brachytherapy 2021 PSA undetectable

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>		Yes

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		No – bicalutamide 50
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	No urology records or X-Rays

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		

	<i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	CT November 2018 right ureteric stone and small left renal stone January 2019 ureteric stone has passed January 2020 no change

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and		N/A

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal information redacted by the UST	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Recurrent prostatitis
Patient Summary	August 2019 ultrasound normal Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		

	management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Epididymo-orchitis – confirmed on ultrasound in May 2020 Antibiotics and discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A

3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Urge incontinence
Patient Summary	March 2019 ultrasound normal Anticholinergic

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		

	management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS PSA 5.9
Patient Summary	January 2019 ultrasound Bosniak 2 cyst. MRI no target PSAD 0.16 Discrepancy between March 2019 letter and MRI findings and no PSA since needs repeat PSA +/- biopsy

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with</i>		N/A

	<i>current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		Yes

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Testis swelling
Patient Summary	Failed to keep 2 appointments September 2019 mild testicular microlithiasis

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		

	management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the UST	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Left nephrectomy 2013 Retention. Bladder biopsies – squamous metaplasia 2014 prostate chippings ? leukoplakia December 2014 filling defects in right upper tract Not called for ureteroscopy until 2018 2018 CT staghorn calculus July 2019 failed ureteroscopy CT January 2020 small renal stones Slight loss of renal function since 2014

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A

6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Yes – with solitary kidney further investigation should have happened in 2014/5
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No



Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	I cannot see any urological problem

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? (<i>'Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current</i>		Yes

	<i>patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	October 2020 left VUJ stone CT January 2021 no change removed urteroscopically and stent removed No action needed

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		N/A

	<i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	January 2020 right testicular swelling February – right orchidectomy pT2 Referred to oncology Ultrasound finding of small renal lesion – noted by oncologists and under surveillance

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A

3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	December 2019 ultrasound for obstruction OK Under urology still

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? (<i>'Secure Clinical Management</i>		Yes

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Bladder extrophy
Patient Summary	Under London DMSA June 2019 shows improved function on right Under nephrologists and Mr Thompson in Belfast

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		None needed

	<i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	CT September 2019 bilateral renal stones, right ureteric obstruction Stent and URS in November Now undergoing ESWL

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the UST	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Haematuria December 2018 CT normal Cystoscopy normal

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management</i>		Discharged

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	September 2019 ureteroscopy for stone Currently under stone team for further treatment No concerns

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and		N/A

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	November 2018 solid bladder tumour Planned palliative radiotherapy Died Personal Information redacted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		

	<i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	August 2014 ultrasound residual 173 Haematuria – CT March 2016 normal upper tracts Cystoscopy normal 2018 limited TURP -26 grams Ultrasound 2019 residual 55ml Patient declined further surgery as OK

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with</i>		N/A

	<i>current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Discharged
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		Yes

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 7	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	June 2016 CT small renal mass – discussed at MDM – for surveillance CT's 2017, 2018 no change March/ November 2019 and December 2020 mass enlarged Radical nephrectomy

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with</i>		N/A

	<i>current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		Yes

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	December 2019 CT – bilateral stones with one at R PUJ Treated by URS x2 Latest CT (2021) small stones in left kidney

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and		N/A

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2010 Gleason 6 Ca prostate 2013 Gleason 4+3 one core Active surveillance 2016 rise in PSA MRI scan – no obvious progression 2020- progression referred for radiotherapy No letters for most of 2010-2020

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>		N/A

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Very few letters
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	January 2020 ultrasound normal No letters

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		?

	management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Right renal colic
Patient Summary	September 2017 CT ? stone passed Suspected PUJ obstruction not confirmed by renogram Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i>		N/A

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Right loin pain
Patient Summary	August 2015 CT normal Referred re prostate – normal DRE and PSA 4.8 LUTS – ultrasound September 2019 normal

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A

3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the UST
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	TURP 2010 Suprapubic pain 2014 ultrasound normal LUTS – 2019 ultrasounds OK S/B Mr Young in 2020 No concerns

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i>		N/A

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Retention
Patient Summary	April 2020 normal upper tracts TWOC successful

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		

	management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No


Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name		
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	Ultrasound 2019 normal TURP – T1b Gleason 6 Ca prostate PSA OK to March 2021

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A

3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	February 2017 bladder injury during C section Cystogram March 2017 no leak Haematuria – non-visible Saw AO'B in February 2019 CT September 2020 no upper tract cause

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i>		N/A

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Yes Saw AO’B in February 2019 No scan until September 2020
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	AKI
Patient Summary	July 2019 – left ureteric and renal stone Stented For readmission in March 2020 but did not happen ? Not admitted yet Needs review

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with</i>		N/A

	<i>current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		Yes

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	UTI's
Patient Summary	Ultrasound August 2019 normal

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		

	<i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	November 2019 CT – renal cyst otherwise OK Normal cystoscopy Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A

3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal information redacted by the UST	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	December 2013 ultrasound normal Recurrent UTI's November 2016 ultrasound residual 96ml February 2019 CT normal No further plan – should she have had cystoscopy?

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>		N/A

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		No
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	February 2020 Gleason 3+4 Ca prostate PSA 23 N0 Referred for radiotherapy

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		

	management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	September 2020 ureteroscopy for stone Discharged No follow-up needed

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Discharged

	<i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Incidental Gleason 6 tumour at TURP in 2013 – on surveillance PSA has stayed low Ultrasound in 2020 OK Renal function stable

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A

3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2016 cystectomy and urostomies for bladder cancer Previous pelvic radiotherapy Developed stenoses – now has right JJ stent and left nephrostomy Under BCH for repeat changes in June 2021

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A

3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Testis pain (acute)
Patient Summary	Testis explored May 2019 – epididymo-orchitis Ultrasound June 2019 – OK Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		N/A

	<i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Non-visible haematuria
Patient Summary	Ultrasound May 2019 normal Cystoscopy normal Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		N/A

	<i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	August 2018 URS for stone CT August 2019 no ureteric stone No urology notes found but OK
Died	Personal Information redacted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		N/A

	<i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2016 metastatic seminoma Left testis microlithiasis Ultrasound January 2021 OK Under oncology

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No


Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name		
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	July 2019 orchiectomy for pT1 seminoma Carboplatin Under oncology No concerns

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Left ureteric and bilateral renal stones CT October 2020 left stent March 2021 right stent removed. Left tone removed + stent Due further URS

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A

3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	March 2019 CT normal Cystoscopy normal Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		N/A

	<i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the UST	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	August 2019 ultrasound normal MRI November 2019 no target (on Dutasteride) PSA stable October 2020

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		

	<i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Scrotal swelling Ultrasound May 2019 normal left testis – right epididymis swollen – no perfusion Missed torsion – orchidectomy and left orchidopexy

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Not needed

	management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Urinary infections
Patient Summary	Ultrasound May 2014 – right duplex 2019 persisted Klebsiella infection – normal ultrasound Not seen since

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		? Discharged

	management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Haematuria Ct urogram December 2019 – normal upper tracts but lung lesion – T1 carcinoma Under oncology Normal cystoscopy

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A

3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i>		

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	July 2019 T1a Ca prostate Gleason 3+4 MRI December 2019 T1c, anterior focus Active surveillance

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Recurrent cystitis
Patient Summary	Ultrasounds 2016 and June 2020 normal No urology letters but no concerns

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		

	<i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	No urology X-Rays or notes found

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Non visible haematuria
Patient Summary	CT January 2020 – normal upper tracts Normal cystoscopy Due follow-up for red patch on glans penis – no record of this happening

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>)		N/A

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		? awaiting follow-up
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Mumps orchitis
Patient Summary	Failed to attend for repeat ultrasound

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Discharged

	<i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Loin pain CT March 2019 6mm right ureteric stone May 2019 no change Stent inserted July 2019 URS and stent replaced – removed 31/07/19 June 2020 repeat URS and lithotripsy

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes

2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A

6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No



Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	T4 Ca prostate PSA 58 Started on bicalutamide 150 Declined further investigation or change of treatment Outpatient appt arranged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i>		N/A

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	? Epididymitis
Patient Summary	Ultrasound February 2020 normal

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Discharged

	<i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the UST	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	June 2014 ultrasound – small epididymal cysts/hydrocoele June 2015 ultrasound normal urinary tract July 2019 CT – bilateral renal stones URS Further PUJ stone in 2021 treated by URS and lithotripsy

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A

6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No



Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	January 2017 bladder ultrasound normal April 2019 ultrasound normal Maximal drug treatment – discharged March 2020

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A

3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Discharged
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Left flank pain
Patient Summary	February 2018 CT – right renal stone January 2019 CT – no change

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Cystectomy with ileal conduit Complex history with conduit becoming obstructed – recurrent infections 2017 stone treated with ESWL Conduit revised April 2018 No concerns

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with</i>		N/A

	<i>current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Being seen in clinic
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		Yes

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	February 2019 renal trauma Embolisation of pseudo aneurysm lower pole vessel Urine leak drained Stent removed July 2019 September 2019 ultrasound – no residual collection No concerns

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if</i>		N/A

	<i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?		Yes

	<i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Testicular pain
Patient Summary	Ultrasound April 2020 normal testes No letters

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		

	management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Renal cyst
Patient Summary	No change from 2017-2019 ? overactive bladder -improved with physio

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		

	management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Renal colic
Patient Summary	December 2014 CT distal left ureteric calculus January 2015, May 2017 ultrasound normal CT August 2017 distal ureteric stone March 2018, June 2020 stone not visible Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>		N/A

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	UTI
Patient Summary	February 2020 ultrasound – residual 43ml otherwise normal No letters

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		

	<i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 77
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Already undergoing structured review for management of Ca prostate

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		

	management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2012 – possible stone causing left upper tract obstruction. TURP 2017 – deteriorating renal function. No hydronephrosis on ultrasound Referred for dialysis. Asymptomatic multiple myeloma

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if</i>		N/A

	<i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?		Yes

	<i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal information redacted by the UST
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Retention NOOnan syndrome
Patient Summary	Long term suprapubic catheter Could not tolerate ISC Botulinum toxin Difficult/complex problem. Referred to reconstructive network

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with</i>		N/A

	<i>current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		Yes

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal information redacted by the UST
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	January 2015 CT left ureteric stone Discharged as "stone probably passed" On waiting list for 4 years Laser lithotripsy 2019 – stent removed Further renal stones – not seen since 2019

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with</i>		N/A

	<i>current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		NO
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		Yes

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Yes. Unacceptable delay for ureteroscopy
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Unknown

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	Ultrasound March 2019 normal Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		

	management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	May 2019 CT normal Cystoscopy normal

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		

	management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the UST	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	Ultrasound January 2020 normal No letters

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		

	management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	CT January 2019 right ureteric calculus CT March 2019 states left ureteric calculus CT June 2019 right stone impacted at VUJ and calyceal rupture Stent inserted Ureteroscopy July 2019

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with</i>		N/A

	<i>current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		Yes

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	PSA 5.5 MRI September 2020 PIRADS 3 lesion Patient prefers monitoring PSA to biopsy PSA stable

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the UST
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	Cystoscopy in March 2018 – red patches TURP August 2018 – BPH Ultrasound January 2020 – left hydrocoele – result not sent to GP

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		No but no action required

	management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		unless symptomatic
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	December 2019 PSA 27 Biopsies – high volume Gleason 4+3 Started bicalutamide 150 Radical radiotherapy completed November 2020 No concerns

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A

3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	January 2020 PSA 19 Biopsies Gleason 4+4 Radical radiotherapy with ADT Ultrasound February 2021 OK

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	August 2019 left nephrectomy for chronic pyelonephritis CT November 2020 ?hernias Discharged December 2020

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Discharged

	management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Bladder outflow obstruction on medication 2021 ultrasound OK No action required

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Urinary tract infections Ultrasound February 2020 normal No review but none needed if OK

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A

3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		N/A
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	February 2019 normal CT March 2019 TURBT pTaG2 Check cystoscopies clear – last one in September 2020

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Right radical and left partial nephrectomy for tumour CT May 2019 – simple cysts Reviewed in December 2020

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the UST	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haemospermia
Patient Summary	Ultrasound October 2019 – minimal hydronephroses – unchanged since 2012 Normal renal function Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		N/A

	management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	November 2019 CT normal (renal angle pain) Patient DNA'd

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management</i>		N/A

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	PSA 6.8 TRUS biopsies 2012 negative Ultrasound October 2019 normal 75g prostate March 2021 PSA 6.3

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Ca prostate Gleason 6 on surveillance MDM April 2018 – slight increase in size Radical radiotherapy 2019

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Testicular teratoma 1990 Ultrasound July 2019 – normal left testis Discharged February 2020

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Discharged

	management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Acute retention Ultrasound March 2020 – bladder empties well No follow-up indicated

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Not required

	<i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the UST
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	October 2020 ultrasound – right testicular tumour Seminoma Under oncology

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Rising PSA
Patient Summary	Ultrasound December 2019 shows 100g prostate PSA 2019 4.1 No action required

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		?Discharged

	<i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Testicular swelling
Patient Summary	Ultrasound April 2021 – right epididymal cyst – nil else June 2019 tiny stone in R kidney on CT

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Discharged

	<i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	TCC bladder
Patient Summary	2018 Cis Then ? invasive disease MDM 2020 – for radiotherapy Bone scan 2020 clear Follow up plan OK

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Haematuria Ultrasound February 2020 – normal kidneys Normal cystoscopy Normal renal function Assume GP has checked for proteinuria

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and)</i>		N/A

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Discharged
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	Renal lesion seen on CT. Biopsy. Nephrectomy Metastatic renal cell carcinoma Under oncology

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? (<i>'Secure Clinical Management</i>		Yes

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Visible haematuria
Patient Summary	June 2020 CT and cystoscopy normal Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? (<i>'Secure Clinical Management</i>		Discharged

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the UST
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	July 2019 CT – R kidney OK, left poor view Repeated December 2019 – normal left kidney Normal cystoscopy Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Discharged

	management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Bilateral ureteric stones Failed to pass so treated ureteroscopically

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Renal failure CT 2014 hypodense lesion in right kidney – ultrasound simple cyst CT December 2020 left renal carcinoma MDT discussion- ? conservative management

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A

3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	January 2019 ultrasound renal cysts – solid/cystic lesion March 2019 no change Not fit for any intervention Died Personal Information redacted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>		N/A

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Died
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	