



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	No urology radiology or letters found

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management	



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	UTI
Patient Summary	Ultrasounds March and June 2020 normal No letters

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	2Discharged



	management plan currently in place?('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No letters
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



WIT-06357

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
Name		
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	July 2015 CT right renal and ureteric stones URS- December 2015 CT clear May 2019 CT distal ureteric stone – fragmented ESWL planned (Later formed staghorn)

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based		N/A



	practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



WIT-06360

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2012/2018 ultrasounds normal urinary tracts March 2019 ultrasound – residual 80ml Started self -catheterisation ?why - stopped 2021 UDs show DO ?+ obstruction – residual 404 so recommended CISC again

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based		N/A



	practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

1		
consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		
Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)		Yes
Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)		No
On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Νο
	and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the	and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	January 2012 right pyeloplasty 2016 recurrent UTI's Renogram – no function in left kidney Detrusor overactivity – on anticholinergics / mirabegron

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based		N/A

0	
-	SC

	practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		
Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)		Yes
Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)		No
On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Νο
_	time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the	and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



WIT-06366

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
Name		
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	CT scan – FD in bladder TURBT pTa G2 tumour Last check October 2020 clear

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	Yes



	management plan currently in place?('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes

 requirement
 for
 further

 Received from Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22.
 Annotated by the Urology Services Inquiry.



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title Professor		
Date of Appointment		



WIT-06369

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Acute retention
Patient Summary	
	Ultrasound August 2019 normal

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? (Secure Clinical Management	Discharged



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Danasa I Isfamatika andasta I Iwaka 110	
Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	UTI
Patient Summary	Ultrasound June 2019 normal

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	Discharged

Received from place Rane on 29/03/22 Annotated by the Urology Services Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	/ to ine	Details
		Y / N / Unable to Determine	
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο
	 approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
Name		
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Loin pain
Patient Summary	CT December 2016 VUJ stone CT February 2017 – stone passed 2017 ultrasounds show right renal stones ESWL March 2019 March 2019 – multiple left ureteric stones URS and lithotripsy and stent – removed in May CT June 2020 – small bilateral stones Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



Southern Health and Social Care Trust

2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Discharged
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A

 rationale fully noted?

 Received from Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the Urology Services Inquiry.



6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο



Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Right nephrectomy 2013 pT1a RCC May 2020 haematuria CT no upper tract cause

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



WIT-06382

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	January 2019 CT right renal and left ureteric stones March 2019 left stone has passed March 2020 several right renal stones – under review

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



	requirement for finite-	<u> </u>	
	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
Name		
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS / haematuria
Patient Summary	May 2019 ultrasound normal January 2020 CT normal upper tracts MRI ? prostatitis Under review

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and	N/A



	rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

	<u> </u>	
	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	tle Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
Name		
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

pTa TCC bladder since 1996 2019 MD recommended MMC .ast check clear
2(

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		
Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)		Yes
Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)		No
On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Νο
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	UTI
Patient Summary	Ultrasound April 2020 – renal stone May 2020 CT multiple stones, sclerotic bones but MRI OK

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		
Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)		Yes
Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)		No
On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Νο
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
Name		
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	November 2018 CT – abnormal kidney and pleural effusion
	Bone scan January 2019 metastases
	Personal Information redacted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



	requirement for further	
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Personal Information redacted by the USI
Name	
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	November 2019 – CT – multiple renal calculi 2020- patient declined ESWL Further ultrasound arranged for 2022

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	Yes



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	0 9	Details
		Υ / N / Unable to Determine	
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο
	 approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information reducted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	March 2020 CT – no upper tract cause for bleeding September – ultrasound normal(urinary catheter) Cystoscopy deferred in August as patient unable to understand procedure and of little potential benefit

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Discharged
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		No but reasonable not to perform cystoscopy
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		
Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)		Yes
Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)		No
On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Νο
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



WIT-06403

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	
	Ultrasound December 2019 normal Normal cystoscopy Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	N/A

Received from Place Rane on 29/03/22 Annotated by the Urology Services Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



WIT-06406

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Descend in formation and a trailing in a 101	
Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Urine infections
Patient Summary	Ultrasound August 2019 – large residual and diverticula Symptoms improved on medication Under review

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	Yes



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	Νο
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
Name		
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	February 2020 high volume Gleason 3+4 tumour, PSA 25 March 2020 started bicalutamide 150 Bone scan May 2020 – no metastases Radiotherapy completed October 2020

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		
Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)		Yes
Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)		No
On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Νο
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



WIT-06412

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
Name		
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	CT December 2019 – multiple liver metastases Likely pancreatic primary
	Died Personal Information redacted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3 Received fr	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management om Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by th	e Uroloav S	N/A ervices Inquiry.



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Ureteric TCC managed endoscopically CT November 2020 no tumour seen

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current		Yes



	patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	၀ ခ	Details
		Y / N / Unable to Determine	
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	Νο
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	Ultrasound March 2020 – normal No urology letters found

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management	Yes



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	Νο
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		





This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Urine infection September 2019 ultrasound normal Cystoscopy normal Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and	N/A



	rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		





This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Renal cyst
Patient Summary	Large renal cyst drained in 2018 Small cyst since September 2020 ultrasound -no change No follow-up required

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	? Discharged



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	





This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	CT December 2018 obstructing ureteric stone Stented December 2018 Ureteroscopy and stent removal March 2019

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	Discharged

Received from provide Rane on 29/03/22 Annotated by the Urology Services Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



WIT-06430

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Deliver OF	
Name	Patient 85	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Ileal conduit (IC)
	Haematuria June 2020 left ureteric calculus CT 4/8/20 stone still present PCNL August 2020 Stent removed in December - discharged

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based		N/A

0	
H	ISC

	practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Discharged
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Undescended testis
	Ultrasound February 2019 shows testis in groin

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? (Secure Clinical Management	Discharged



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Urosepsis
Patient Summary	
	August 2019 TURP Small renal stones and recurrent UTI's Under review

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	Yes

Received from provide Rane on 29/03/22 Annotated by the Urology Services Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes

 Contifm diagnosis / diagnoses /)

 Received from Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the Urology Services Inquiry.



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

News	Personal Information redacted by the USI
Name	
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	September 2019 stone impacted at PUJ URS and stent Stent removed October 2020

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	Discharged

Received from provide Rane on 29/03/22 Annotated by the Urology Services Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	





This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Urinary infections
Patient Summary	
	March 2019 ultrasound – parapelvic cysts Normal cystoscopy (urethral stenosis) Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	Discharged



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No
	 confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



WIT-06445

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
Name		
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Bladder outlet obstruction
Patient Summary	
	Ultrasound April 2020 – renal cysts, PVR 236 ml PSA 12.7 MRI April 2020 Likert 2 April 2021 PSA 12.8

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes

 Image: Time of review, was there a

 Received from Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the Urology Services Inquiry.



requirement for further		
investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		
Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)		Yes
Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)		No
On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Νο
	 confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the 	confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



WIT-06448

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	
	CT October 2019 normal
	Bladder biopsies – cystitis
	Bladder ultrasound June 2020 normal

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	2Discharged



	management plan currently in place?('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		





This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	APER June 2019 CT July 2019- bilateral hydronephrosis – catheterised Ultrasound showed resolution of upper tract dilatation

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο
L		l

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	MS with suprapubic catheter Bladder spasms treated with Botox March 2020 haematuria – normal ultrasound Renal function OK No concerns

Regarding the patients current care

	4			
	1	Is the present diagnosis /		Yes
		diagnoses reasonable?		
		('Reasonable' to consider if		
		diagnosis / diagnoses is		
		consistent with investigations		
		and examinations carried out		
		to date, is there a requirement		
		for further investigations /		
		examinations to confirm		
		diagnosis / diagnoses?)		
f	2	Are the current medications		N/A
	-	prescribed appropriate?		N/A
		('Appropriate' to consider if		
		prescribing is consistent with		
		current best evidence based		
		practice, are any deviations		
Re	ceived fro	from guidance 29/15/20 rded at and th	e Uroloav S	ervices Inquiry.



	rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		





This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Left ureteric stone -passed September 2019 – stone absent from ureter. Small bilateral renal stones Discharged July 2020

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis /	Yes
	diagnoses reasonable?	
	('Reasonable' to consider if	
	diagnosis / diagnoses is	
	consistent with investigations	
	and examinations carried out	
	to date, is there a requirement	
	for further investigations /	
	examinations to confirm	
	diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
2	Are the current medications	N/A
	prescribed appropriate?	
	('Appropriate' to consider if	
	prescribing is consistent with	
	current best evidence based	
	practice, are any deviations	
	from guidance recorded and	
	rationale fully noted?)	



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	UTI's
Patient Summary	
	February 2019 ultrasound normal
	Haematuria
	March 2020 CT normal
	Normal cystoscopy

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and	N/A

e.	
	SC
	DC/

	rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Discharged
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes	
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No	
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο	

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	No urological records

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	

Received from place Rane on 29/03/22 Annotated by the Urology Services Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	Left bladder diverticulum Urinary infections on ISC Was due to have diverticulum resected
	Died Personal Information reducted by re- usi ? cause

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		
Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)		Yes
Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)		No
On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Νο
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the	 investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Panproctocolectomy June 2018 CT two obstructing stones Ureteroscopic extraction June 2019 CT two renal stones Under review

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with	N/A



	current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

	diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes	
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No	
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No	

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		





UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Left megaureter October 2018 ? distal ureteric calculi Renogram no obstruction July 2019 decision to remove stones at URS but not admitted / Became pregnant . EVAC Under review now

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?	N/A



	prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

	('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	Yes
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

	Clinical Professional Reviewing Care			
	Name Krishna Sethia			
Title Professor		Professor		
Date of Appointment				
Re	Received from Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the Urology Services Inquiry.			



UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2011 raised PSA – to see AO'B in 2012. No letter in 2012 2019 TURP Post TURP stricture

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and	N/A



	rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

7	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haemospermia
Patient Summary	Litracound longer (2010 normal
	Ultrasound January 2019 normal

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management	



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	





UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	December 2019 residual 676ml Renal function OK 2021 still high residual No letters/plan

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance, recorded, and,	N/A

e.	
	SC
	JC//

	rationale fully noted?)		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care			
Name	Krishna Sethia		
Title Professor			
Date of Appointment			



UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Pyelonephritis
Patient Summary	
	April 2018 CT left VUJ stone -lasered
	Repeat scan -perirenal abscess
	Aspirated and January 2019 CT shows it resolved

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm	Yes
2	diagnosis / diagnoses?) Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

2017 raised PSA Started on bicalutamide 50
2019 biopsies Gleason 4+3 low volume MDM stopped bicalutamide October 2020 PSA rising (6.3)

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based		N/A

0	
H	ISC

	practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		No – bicalutamide 50 was wrong
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	 Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care			
Name	Krishna Sethia		
Title	Professor		
Date of Appointment	Date of Appointment		



UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2014 CT – left renal cyst Partial nephrectomy pT1a RCC Follow-up CT's annually – no recurrence

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance, recorded and.	N/A

e.	
	SC

	rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care			
Name	Krishna Sethia		
Title	Professor		
Date of Appointment	Date of Appointment		



UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Bladder cancer/ Post radiotherapy fistula to pubis
	Palliative care
	(No MDM record seen)
	Died Personal Information redacted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	N/A



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	CT May 2019 – no upper tract cause Cystoscopy and cytology normal No action required

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently		N/A



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο
	 approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



WIT-06499

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

ultrasound January 2021 normal tion needed

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management	Yes



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Complex history Under BCH CT December 2020 shows normal right kidney

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	Yes



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



Was the clinical management		
approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)		Yes
Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)		No
On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Νο
	('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the	('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	August 2019 CT upper ureteric stone Managed by URS / lithotripsy Remains under review

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	Yes



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	/ to ine	Details
		Y / N / Unable to Determine	
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information reducted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	High pressure chronic retention – TURP 2015
	Ultrasound July 2019 258ml residual Under review since

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	Yes



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	May 2020 CT Right renal and ureteric stones July 2020 ureteric stone passed For review in one year

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	Yes



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
Name		
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Testicular pain
Patient Summary	
	Ultrasound February 2019 normal

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure, Clinical, Management	Discharged



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Metastatic prostate cancer PSA 276 On degarelix March 2019
	Died Personal Information redacted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		N/A



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
Name		
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	
	Ultrasound September 2019 residual 140ml On finasteride - discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management	Discharged



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



Was the clinical management	Yes	
approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	res	
Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No	
On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No	
	Clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the	Clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)NoWere there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information reducted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	September 2019 haematuria – normal ultrasound Normal cystoscopy - discharged

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently		Discharged



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
Name		
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Right epididymal swelling
Patient Summary	
	Several ultrasounds confirm static lesion

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management	Yes



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Patient 64	
Name		
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Gleason 4+3 Ca prostate ?N1
MRI August 2018 no target
MRI March 2019 – 10mm target + 10mm node
Bicalutamide 150
Radical radiotherapy

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with	N/A



	current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

	diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	
	CT August 2019 – no upper tract abnormality Normal cystoscopy Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
Name		
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Left renal colic
Patient Summary	February 2019 CT left ureteric stone Ureteroscopy – stent removed CT March 2020 – residual renal stones February 2021 ultrasound normal

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based		N/A

0	
H	ISC

	practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

r		
	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care			
Name	Krishna Sethia		
Title	Professor		
Date of Appointment			



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
Name		
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	November 2019 ultrasound – epididymal cysts December 2019 ultrasound – large diverticulum Cystoscopy – no mucosal lesion Decided to wait

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based		N/A

0	
H	ISC

	practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	 Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Name Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Patient 96	
Name		
H&C Number	*	
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Regarding the patients current care

	4	In the present diamagie (M
	1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?		Yes
		('Reasonable' to consider if		
		diagnosis / diagnoses is		
		consistent with investigations and examinations carried out		
		to date, is there a requirement		
		for further investigations /		
		examinations to confirm		
		diagnosis / diagnoses?)		
	2	Are the current medications		N/A
		prescribed appropriate?		
Rece	eived fro	on (ARR 19 Briate on 2903/20 Raiderd by th	e Urology S	ervices Inquiry.



	prescribing is consistent with	
	current best evidence based	
	practice, are any deviations	
	from guidance recorded and	
	rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical	
	management plan currently	
	in place?	
	('Secure Clinical Management	
	Plan' to consider if the current	
	patient treatment pathway is	
	optimal and in line with current	
	best evidence based practice	
	and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure	
	clinical management plan in	
	place please document	
	immediate actions required	
	to be taken	
I		

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

	('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care			
Name	Krishna Sethia	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	Professor	
Date of Appointr	nent		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2011 Gleason 4+3 Ca prostate T2 ,PSA 18.4 Treated with radiotherapy PSA July 2020 0.01 (off hormaones) Ultrasound scan 2019 – normal urinary tract

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	GP follow up for PSA



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Left renal colic
Patient Summary	CT October 2019 shows stone Ureteroscopic removal October 2019 Stent removed November Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management	Discharged



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	Νο
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	December 2018 lower ureteric stone Not visible on February 2019 scan Discharged March 2019

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? (Secure Clinical Management	Discharged



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



Was the clinical management	
approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο
	 ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
Name		
H&C Number	-	
Date of Birth	_	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	November 2019 Gleason 3+4 tumour 15% On surveillance Repeat MRI October 2020 – no change PSA stable (October 2020) No clear plan beyond October 2020 – should continue with PSA checks

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Not clearly stated to GP/patient
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management	Yes
	approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	IES
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Bladder outflow obstruction Normal ultrasound May 2019 No correspondence found but no action was indicated

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		N/A
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3 Received fr	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management om Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by th	ie Urology S	N/A ervices Inquiry.



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based	
	practice and guidance available at that time.)	
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Mild LUTS Ultrasound May 2019 normal Reviewed in December and discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	Yes
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	N/A



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο
	 approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
Name		
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Neurogenic bladder CT October 2019 bilateral hydronephrosis Renogram 2020 no change Under review

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	Yes



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
Name	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	Large pelvic sarcoma causing bilateral hydronephrosis Nephrostomies No treatment possible
	Died Personal Information reducted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	N/A



	management plan currently in place?('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Ultrasound 2011 cysts – no letter
	Mitrofanoff under regular review

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management	Yes



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Ca bladder on surveillance Bilateral renal stones CT September 2019 – no change CT October 2020- stone migrated into ureter causing hydronephrosis URS march 2021

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Haematuria requiring transfusion TURP Ultrasound February 2020 normal

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	N/A



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Data of Divite		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Ultrasound detection of 3mm testis lesion Stable on follow up scans to 2020
	No concern

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	Discharged

Received from Place Rane on 29/03/22 Annotated by the Urology Services Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2019 epididymitis
	Ultrasound February 2020 – normal testes

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management	Discharged



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Metastatic colon cancer Left hydronephrosis Stent inserted January 2020
	Died Personal Information redacted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently		N/A



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)		Yes
Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)		Ν
On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Νο
	 approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the 	 approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Complex history of renal/ureteric stones October 2019 ureteroscopy and lithotripsy and stent Stent removed Under review

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	Yes



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care Krishna Sethia Name Professor Title **Date of Appointment**



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	Renal cyst
	Februry 2019 CT ordered but declined by radiologist - ? no benefit
	No further action taken ?correct as not fit for surgery

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	Discharged



	management plan currently in place?('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No
	 approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care Name Krishna Sethia

Itanio	
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
Name		
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	PSA May 2020 410 Bone scan June 2020 diffuse metastases Treated with hormones
	Died Personal Information reducted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3 Received fr	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management om Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by th	e Urology S	ervices Inquiry.



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA 11.1
Patient Summary	
	MRI March 2020 - no target PSAD 0.11 Renal ultrasound normal LUTS treated PSA April 2021 5.3 (on finasteride) - stable

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	Yes



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care Name Krishna Sethia

Itallio	
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2015 CT showed left renal stones November 2019 ultrasound several left renal stones No correspondence in records

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	Unknown



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Low abdominal pain. Incontinent after 2 TURP's
Patient Summary	Ultrasound January 2015 normal CT – diverticular disease, 3.7 cm AAA Ultrasound February 2019 – normal bladder 4.1cm AAA Referred to vascular team

Regarding the patients current care

bonable? b consider if diagnoses is investigations as carried out a requirement restigations / to confirm	diagnosis / diagnoses consistent with investigation and examinations carried of to date, is there a requireme for further investigations examinations to confin	Yes
opriate? consider if onsistent with idence based ny deviations	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider prescribing is consistent wi current best evidence base practice, are any deviation of from guidance, recorded and	N/A
Description	diagnoses reasonable?('Reasonable' to consider diagnosis / diagnoses consistent with investigation and examinations carried of to date, is there a requireme for further investigations examinations to confin diagnosis / diagnoses?)Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider prescribing is consistent with current best evidence base	N/A

e.	
	SC
	JC//

	rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	PSA 4.3
Patient Summary	Ultrasound February 2020 prostate volume 87ml Nasopharyngeal cancer

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management	Discharged



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Ca prostate
Patient Summary	Radiotherapy in 2003 January 2019 TURP Gleason 9 Chemotherapy given Bone scan April 2020 OK MRI September 2020 - ? local extension Under oncologists

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based		N/A



	practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

_	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes	
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No	
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο	

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name Krishna Sethia		
Title Professor		
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Urinary infection
Patient Summary	June 2019 normal ultrasound Normal MRI (for penile swelling) Has phimosis – for circumcision.

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	Yes



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Left epididymal cyst excised November 2019 Ultrasound January 2020 OK Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
Name		
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Ca prostate Haematuria
Patient Summary	
	2017 TURP (1 gram) – Gleason 10 tumour On ADT
	Ultrasound March 2019 – no upper tract lesion (cysts)

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and	N/A



	rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	Father APCKD CT April 2019 – multiple renal cysts Normal cystoscopy eGFR 58 Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and	N/A



	rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		
Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)		Yes
Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)		No
On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Νο
_	and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the	and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
Name		
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	No urology XRays or letters

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?	



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Ureteric stones
Patient Summary	
	November 2019 CT obstructing ureteric stone. Ureteroscopy no stone seen ? passed

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care Krichna Sathia Namo

Name	Krishna Selnia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
Name		
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Ca bladder 2010. Discharged 2016 Non visible haematuria CT April 2019 normal Normal cystoscopy

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based		N/A



	practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Discharged
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Tuberose sclerosis Multiple AML's AML embolised in 2011 – stable in size since

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	Not clear if being followed up



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	Ultrasound November 2019 – VUJ stone CT December 2019 normal
	No letters

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from quidance recorded and	N/A

e.	
	SC
	JC//

	rationale fully noted?)		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

7	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	Ultrasound March 2019 226ml residual, 93ml prostate PSA stable – being monitored by GP

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



		r	
	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Under Mr Glackin Haematuria. Hyperdense renal cyst and pTa G2 bladder tumour Due cystoscopy in 2021 - no record thereof

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations		N/A

e.	
	SC
	JC//

	rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes	
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No	
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο	

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2013 – duplex right kidney. Small stones bilaterally Reflux on right grade 2-3 Right kidney 86% February 2019 lithotripsy. CT 2019/2021no residual stone

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based		N/A

0	
H	ISC

	practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Right PUJ obstruction managed by nephrostomy since 2015 Changed regularly

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	Yes



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Incontinence Ultrasound June 2019 normal
	No follow-up letters

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	N/A



	management plan currently in place?('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
Name		
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	December 2018 left renal stones and ? mass ?AML Serial CT's Reviewed by stone team December 2020 and agreed decision not to treat stone (frailty and no symptoms). On nitrofurantoin.
	Died Personal Information redacted by the USI - sepsis

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	N/A
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	October 2019 ultrasound ? prostate mass MRI November 2019 – no tumour PSA normal

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	Discharged



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Left flank pain
Patient Summary	CT June 2018 bilateral hydronephrosis – secondary to outflow obstruction TURP December 2018 Stent removed CT May 2019 – dilatation resolved

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Discharged
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based	Yes
	practice and guidance available at that time.)	
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 101	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	August 2019 PSA 79 rT3a N0 M0 Ca prostate Started on hormones and referred to Oncology

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	Yes



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	T1b Gleason 4+3 Ca prostate Started on bicalutamide 150 and referred for radiotherapy after MDM MRI November 2019 and bone scan 2020 OK

Regarding the patients current care

	1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm		Yes
		diagnosis / diagnoses?)		
	2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?		N/A
		('Appropriate' to consider if		
		prescribing is consistent with		
		current best evidence based		
		practice, are any deviations		
Rec	eived fro	from guidance // Ecorded and th	e Urology S	ervices Inquiry.



	rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

_	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 62	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Invasive Ca bladder
Patient Summary	Cystoprostatectomy and right nephroureterectomy 2018. Cutaneous ureterostomy May 2019 CT – FD left lower pole calyx- small lesion lasered Stent changed regularly

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based		N/A



	practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	 Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Ca bladder diagnosed 2016 -declined cystectomy Treated with radiotherapy in 2017 Tumour recurred 2019 bilateral hydronephroses – nephrostomies Palliative care
	Died Personal Information redacted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	N/A
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	December 2013 nephrectomy for pT2a RCC
	CT's December 2016, December 2019 no recurrence

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Not clear but safe to discharge
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Retention
Patient Summary	November 2019 ultrasound normal Voided OK after TWOC

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Chronic retention
Patient Summary	January 2019 CT small non-obstructing renal stones Under review

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	Yes



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Left renal colic
Patient Summary CT July 2019 obstructing ureteric calculus	
Further CT in August showed stone had passed	

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	N / le to mine	Details
		Y / N / Unable to Determine	
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes	
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No	
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No	

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Gleason 6 prostate cancer 2008 2013- rising PSA started bicalutamide 50 TURP 2014 – benign. MDM stopped bicalutamide PSA 2019 1.2 New renal lesions 2021

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		NO – bicalutamide 50mg not indicated
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Non visible haematuria
Patient Summary	
	January 2019 CT – normal (cysts)
	Normal cystoscopy

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Left nephrectomy 2002 for pT3b tumour Metastatic disease confirmed 2020 and has been referred to Oncology Under review there

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	Yes



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title Professor		
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	June 2019 CT multiple bilateral renal stones
	Died Personal Information redacted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3 Recei	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice om Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by th	e Urology S	ervices Inquiry.



	and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22, Annotated by th		Yes



	clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title Professor		
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Pain
Patient Summary	Ultrasound November 2019 – 2cm renal lesion Bone scan November 2019 normal Biopsy renal cell tumour Referred for ablation

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

		_
Name	Patient 69	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	CT – right hydronephrosis Tumour in lower ureter Renogram February 2020 – no function in R kidney July 2020 nephroureterectomy

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	December 2019 CT small renal stone Ultrasound normal Normal cystoscopy

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS PSA 7.4
Patient Summary	Ultrasound March 2020 normal MRI – PIRADS 3 PSAD 0.19 PSA now 1.36 No letters

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis /	Yes
	diagnoses reasonable?	
	('Reasonable' to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>	
	consistent with investigations	
	and examinations carried out	
	to date, is there a requirement	
	for further investigations / examinations to confirm	
	diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
2	Are the current medications	N/A
	prescribed appropriate?	
	('Appropriate' to consider if	
	prescribing is consistent with	
	current best evidence based	
	practice, are any deviations	

e.	
	SC
	JC//

	rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No letters
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	November 2018 right ureteric calculus URS and stent January 2019 laser and ESWL Referred to stone service June 2019 left ureteric calculus and hydronephrosis

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based		N/A

0	
H	ISC

	practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		
Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)		Yes
Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)		No
On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Νο
	and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the	and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	July 2019 TURBT - T2 G3 TCC Cystoprostatectomy February 2020 MDM December 2020 – for ureteroscopy -deferred at February follow-up CT April 2021 – stable disease Under BCH for follow-up

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance, recorded and.	N/A

Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	 No letter after CT scan in April but should be coming
If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

NO.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes

 diagnosis
 diagnoses
 is

 Received from Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the Urology Services Inquiry.



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		
Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)		Yes
Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)		No
On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Νο
	and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the	and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	November 2017 nephroureterectomy pTa G2 Multiple bladder recurrences January 2020 Bladder clear in September 2020 Radiology OK

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	Yes



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2018 – PSA 21 Biopsies – high volume Gleason 3+4 Treated with radiotherapy and ADT December 2020 PSA 0.2 Due review in December 2021

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management	Vaa
	approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Multiple comorbidities Indwelling catheter Urosepsis 2018 Ureteric stent November 2018. Multiple renal stones (not present in 2015) ITU admissions in May 2019 for sepsis. Stents and ESWL in May 2019
	Died Personal Information redacted by sepsis

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2 Received f	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with from Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by th	e Urology S	N/A ervices Inquiry.



	current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Died
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Very difficult to know but he was clearly very high risk for sepsis and had multiple stones. Management was probably OK
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

	diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care				
Name	Krishna Sethia			
Title	Professor			
Date of Appointment				



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Ultrasound April 2020 for bladder outflow obstruction No evidence of being assessed by Urology April 2021 PSA 1.3

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	No diagnosis
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	None exists

Received from Place Rane on 29/03/22 Annotated by the Urology Services Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		None

 Confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?
 I

 Received from Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the Urology Services Inquiry.



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2015 – Gleason 3+4 tumour M0 Radiotherapy completed June 2016 6/12 ADT 2019 Haematuria July 2019 CT showed 4mm ureteric calculus Ureteroscopy and stent (removed) November 2020 PSA 0.2

Regarding the patients current care

	1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm		Yes
		diagnosis / diagnoses?)		
	2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?		N/A
		('Appropriate' to consider if		
		prescribing is consistent with		
		current best evidence based		
		practice, are any deviations		
Re	ceived fro	from guidance 29/Ke/20 rded and the	e Urology S	ervices Inquiry.



	rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title Professor		
Date of Appointment	Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	Sclerotic lesion on CT Gleason 4+5 tumour M1 Treated with hormones. Not suitable for chemotherapy Bone scan January 2020-bone metastases
	Died Personal Information redacted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	N/A



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes

 requirement
 for
 further

 Received from Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22.
 Annotated by the Urology Services Inquiry.



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care Krichna Sothia Namo

Name	Krishna Selnia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	PSA 12 in February 2020 Has now fallen to 6
	MRI not done as only one PSA reading at time
	No symptoms. Seen in December 2020 – for surveillance

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?		N/A
	('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with		
	current best evidence based		
	practice, are any deviations		
Racaiver	tromariguidance kecorded and the	e Urology S	envices Inquiny



	rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

_	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care			
Name	Krishna Sethia		
Title	Professor		
Date of Appointment	Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	January 2020 2.3cm renal lesion Complicated problems with brain abscesses but CT in 2021 shows lesion to be stable – under surveillance

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	Yes



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care Name Krishna Sethia

Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Possible PUJ obstruction
	Patient cancelled renogram in February 2021 and requested no follow-up
	Awaiting review or painful bladder and cystoscopy

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	Voc



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care Krishna Sethia Name Professor Title **Date of Appointment**



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

-	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
Name		
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Haematuria
	Upper tracts normal
	Cystocopy deferred due to Covid
	Died — cardiac problems

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	N/A



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Renal stones 2018 Left parapelvic cyst March 2020 Renogram shows good function in left kidney Due review for ongoing pain

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



	1	
	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	September 2019 T1a Gleason 6 cancer Ultrasound August 2018 OK
	Died Personal Information rediscipited by the USI -subdural haemorrhage

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3 Received fr	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management om Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by th	ie Urology S	N/A ervices Inquiry.



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	PSA 26 February 2019 MRI PIRADS 2 Placed on list for TURP and started on finasteride PSA April 2021 0.8

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	Yes



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
Name		
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Nocturia
Patient Summary	
	Ultrasound February 2019 shows 225 residual eGFR>60

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? (Secure Clinical Management	Not required



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	
	Superficial TCC bladder 2015 – no recurrence Ultrasound for bladder outflow obstruction November 2019 – normal. No change in residual of 150ml Under surgeons for appendix tumour

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



		I	
	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Ye	S
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No	
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No	

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Bosniak 2 cyst in left kidney 2014 Annual CTs since Latest CT discussed at MDT and patient discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	N/A



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes – but should have been discussed at MDT earlier
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
Name		
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	November 2019 Ovarian mass, right hydronephrosis Died - carcinomatosis

Regarding the patients current care

	1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm		Yes
	0	diagnosis / diagnoses?)		
	2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
	3	Is a secure clinical		N/A
		management plan currently in place?		
Re	ceived fro	('Secure Clinical Management	e Urology S	ervices inquiry.



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Haematuria CT August 2019 - ? bladder lesion Raised PSA (29) TURP February 2020 PSA fell to 14 but January 2021 is 16.6 Under observation

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and	N/A



	rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	 Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	July 2019 lap partial nephrectomy T3a Under CT review No issues

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	Yes



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	April 2019 right renal lesion MDT recommend MRI MRI November – cysts Repeat CT November 2020 not done as ? patient unfit for any procedure Seen by Urology in February 2021 – for MRI
	(pT1G3 TCC bladder – cystoscopy 2019 clear, further cystoscopy being arranged)

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with	N/A



	current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes (some delay)
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

	diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Cholecystitis/sepsis
Patient Summary	
	No urological problems – managed by general surgeons

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management	



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	Biopsies June 2018 benign Ultrasound February 2019 normal PSA September 2020 1.28

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	Discharged

Received from Place Rane on 29/03/22 Annotated by the Urology Services Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
Name		
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Non visible haematuria
Patient Summary	Patient failed to attend twice
	Subsequent radiology OK Normal cystoscopy May 2021

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	Dischargod



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care Krishna Sethia Name Professor Title **Date of Appointment**



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Right testicular swelling (hernia)
Patient Summary	
	Ultrasound November 2019 normal testis

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current		Discharged



	patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	0 9	Details
		Υ / N / Unable to Determine	
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)		
Patient Summary	2015 CT 1cm renal stone September 2019 stone 1.3cm Ureteroscopic fragmentation and bladder diverticulectomy Stents removed July 2020 stone 8mm (in cortex)	

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and	N/A



	rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment	Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)		
Patient Summary	pTa G2 TCC bladder 2015 Ultrasounds 2019/2020 for LUTS are all ok	

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management	Yes



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Y	es
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	N	0
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	N	0

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	Ultrasound August 2019 normal On waiting list for TURP

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management	Yes



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	Ν
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Non visible haematuria
Patient Summary	Ultrasound March 2019 normal (and CT normal)

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current		Discharged



	patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	/ to ine	Details
		Y / N / Unable to Determine	
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	BPH
Patient Summary	Ultrasound scans in 2018 and 2021 normal
	Died Personal Information reducted by the USI Ca stomach

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3 Receive	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management of from Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by th	e Uroloay S	



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes	
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No	
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No	

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	November 2019 PSA 15 Gleason 3+4 tumour Started on bicalutamide
	Died Lower GI bleed

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Voiding symptoms
Patient Summary	January 2020 – ultrasound normal

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?	?Discharged



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2016 CT – small renal stones April 2019 CT – no change

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	Yes



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria	
Patient Summary	April 2019 CT – normal	
	Cystoscopy normal	

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	Yes



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria - persistent	
Patient Summary		
	February 2016 CT normal December 2017 and December 2019 CT's normal	
	Advice letter says he had 2 cystoscopies so OK	

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



requirement for further investigations / examinations to		
confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		
Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)		Yes
Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)		No
On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Νο
	 Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the 	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	January 2020 Hartmann's and partial cystectomy February cystogram showed bladder healed
	Died Ca colon

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Testicular pain
Patient Summary	Pain for 24 hours January 2020 ultrasound – infarcted left testis Orchiectomy and contralateral fixation

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	Discharged



	management plan currently in place?('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care Krishna Sethia Name Professor Title **Date of Appointment**



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	MS No specific urological issues CT March 2020 requested by AO'B cancelled – bowel issues
	Died bronchopneumonia

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Left renal colic
Patient Summary	
	CT February 2019 shows ureteric stone Removed at URS Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Discharged
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	February 2019 CT left hydronephrosis with upper ureteric stone March 2019 stone the same Left URS – no ureteric stone on March 2020 CT Small renal stone

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based		N/A

HSC	Southern Health and Social Care Trust	WIT-06830
3	practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes (repeat CT 2020)
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	April 2012 ultrasound normal Acontractile bladder Ultrasound 2019 – thickened bladder with diverticula On ISC

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and	N/A



	rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Unclear
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

_	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes	
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No	
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No	

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	February 2016 pT1G3 TCC bladder Re-resection clear Given BCG and maintenance No cystoscopies after November 2017 until 2020 but Significant cardiac comorbidity
	Recurrence of tumour 2020 CT May 2020 – nodal disease Bilateral nephrostomies
	Died Personal Information redacted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2 Received f	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with om Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by th	e Urology S	



	current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	N/A
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Lapse in performing cystoscopies but patient probably unfit for major surgery so outcome would be unaffected
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

	diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	Yes
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	
	June 2012, April 2016, ultrasounds normal June 2019 ultrasound – left renal stones No change in May 2021

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



requirement for further nvestigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ("Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based oractice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants		Yes
Approach taken reasonable? "Reasonable" to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Nere there unreasonable		
		. .
control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) "Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / ntervention that was received based on best evidence based oractice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess f these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)		No
On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment		Νο
f C S A C S	these were within the Consultants control or due to ystematic issues e.g. length of vaiting lists)	these were within the consultants control or due to ystematic issues e.g. length of vaiting lists) On balance, did the patient uffer any harm or detriment s a result of any of the

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Left loin pain	
Patient Summary	December 2019 CT normal Discharged	

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	Discharged



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	
	Ultrasound January 2020 normal
	Tamsulosin

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



	na stale a stalectica i na memori esperante esperante i sua constante en tata com e su su su su su su su su su	
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
Name		
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Renal colic
December 2018 distal right ureteric stone+ small left renal stone
January 2019 right stone has passed
CT July 2020 no stones

Regarding the patients current care

1		Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2		Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and.		N/A
Recei	ived fro	from guidance 29/Kecorded and the	e Urology S	ervices Inquiry.



	rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Υ / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is		Yes



HSC Southern Health and Social Care Trust

	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	Νο

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care		
Name Krishna Sethia		
Title Professor		
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Ca prostate on surveillance Bleeding after TRUS biopsy – CT May 2019 OK Remains under review

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	Yes