

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>June 2018 CT left renal tumour Unfit for surgery August 2019 ultrasound – no change in size</p> <p>Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	June 2019 TURBT – bladder perforation pT1G3 – BCG September 2019 CT normal Bone scan October 2019 normal Under review

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Solitary kidney stented May 2019          CT May 2019 no obstruction          Further stone problems managed Ok          No concerns</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Gleason 6 Ca prostate PSA 4.3/5.6 MRI September 2019 – no nodes Radiotherapy 2020

#### Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

**Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.**

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	CT January 2019 normal Found to have Ca prostate under Mr Haynes

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		<b>Yes</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>October 2019 CT normal Normal cystoscopy Discharged</p> <p>Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small> – heart failure</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<b>Discharged</b>
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Non visible / visible haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>April 2019 ultrasound normal</p> <p>May 2019 CT small stones – splenic flexure narrowing – referred to general surgery</p> <p>Normal cystoscopy</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded, and</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>January 2016 ultrasound – residual 142          Treated with Tamsulosin          Further symptoms – awaiting urodynamic investigation</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No letter in 2016 but OK after 2019</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Small renal lesion 2016 CT's 2018 and 2019 – no change

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		<b>yes</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Pain and weight loss
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>July 2019 12cm renal tumour – metastatic Referred to oncology</p> <p>Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		<b>N/A</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Testicular pain
<b>Patient Summary</b>	January 2020 testis ultrasound normal No records

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small> <div style="background-color: black; width: 100%; height: 40px;"></div>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Raised PSA	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	April 2019 ultrasound normal PSA under review	

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		<b>Yes</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Dysuria/haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>July 2015/2017 ultrasounds normal December 2019 ultrasound normal</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Discharged</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Possibly not (oxybutinin for recurrent infections) but no harm done</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small> [REDACTED]	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>	[REDACTED]	
<b>Date of Birth</b>	[REDACTED]	

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Ultrasound December 2018 OK</p> <p>CT January 2019 – parapelvic cysts only</p> <p>Normal cystoscopy</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<b>Discharged</b>
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Left ureteric stone CT June 2019 shows it has moved down ureter August URS</p> <p>CT August 2020 no stones seen Discharged</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Discharged</b>
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No letters re 2019 procedure</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Renal colic
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>February 2016 CT – left ureteric + right renal stones URS + Lithotripsy</p> <p>October 2018 CT obstructing right ureteric stone</p> <p>January 2019 CT – stone migrated to mid ureter Laser lithotripsy + stent</p> <p>May 2020 CT right ureteric stones with hydronephrosis – treated and then clear</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>October 2018 CT - ? PUJ obstruction + stones          Awaiting pyeloplasty          Recurrent stones</p> <p>? pyeloplasty needs expediting if possible</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
2	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Post DXR for Ca prostate. Parkinsons. LUTS</p> <p>Ultrasound January 2019 – renal cysts</p> <p>Detrusor overactivity – mirabegron – placed on list for Botox</p> <p>Reviewed 2021 – for ISC</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Ultrasound February 2017 – normal urinary tract 2019 haematuria. Normal CT and cystoscopy Discharged

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Bladder tumour – surgery delayed by ther treatment pTa TCC

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		<b>Yes</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS Raised PSA
<b>Patient Summary</b>	PSA 5.1 MRI August 2019 no target lesion PSAD 0.14 Ultrasound January 2020 -renal cysts Discharged

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i>	N/A	

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Testicular swelling
<b>Patient Summary</b>	May 2019 ultrasound – epididymal cyst No treatment needed

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Testicular pain
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>March 2019 mild right epididymitis</p> <p>Left calcinosis</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Patient 1	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>June 2019 PSA 19 on finasteride Gleason 4+3 disease October 2019 bone scan normal Could not tolerate bicalutamide 150 – changed to 50mg daily. PSA fell to 2.2 then rose to 5.7 in March 2020.</p> <p>June 2020 started leuprorelin July 2020 mild hydronephrosis CT extensive lymphadenopathy</p> <p>Died <span style="background-color: black; color: white; font-size: x-small; padding: 2px;">Personal information redacted by the USI</span></p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>

2	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>No should have started LHRHan much earlier</b>

6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>?</b>



<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>2013 T4b colon cancer January 2019 bilateral hydronephroses Stents inserted</p> <p>Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Ultrasound 2017 normal Haematuria CT September 2019 normal upper tracts Normal cystoscopy Discharged

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with</i>	N/A	

	<i>current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small> [REDACTED]	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>	[REDACTED]	
<b>Date of Birth</b>	[REDACTED]	

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Testis trauma
<b>Patient Summary</b>	September 2019 and February 2021 normal testes

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>G3 bladder cancer – T2 N0 M0                  2019 radiotherapy                  Recurrence 2020 but unfit for surgery                  No concerns</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>                      ('Reasonable' to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>                      ('Appropriate' to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>	N/A	

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	PSA 70
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>July 2020 bone scan suggests degenerative changes only</p> <p>Treated with bicalutamide 150</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Pelvic SCC – chemoradiotherapy Bilateral nephrostomies – changed to plan</p> <p>Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical</b></p>		

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>October 2019 short history of night sweats/malaise Metastatic renal cell cancer</p> <p>Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical</b></p>		

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>December 2018 – metastatic prostate cancer PSA 607 LHRH an started May 2019 PSA rise</p> <p>Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>February 2017 PSA 153 Gleason 9 tumour          Started ADT but omitted by GP          Restarted 2019          Biochemical relapse. Poor performance status so no further treatment</p> <p>Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
2	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Hartmann's CT April 2019 showed bilateral hydronephroses Bilateral stents – changed in November 2019 Brain metastases</p> <p>Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	2016 pyelonephritis. Ultrasound small renal cysts 2020 UTI's – ultrasound no change

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	September 2019 CT no stone, normal kidneys Urgency – recommended mirabegron

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>pTa G2 TCC bladder 2015 Intermittent haematuria No concerns</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>November 2019 obstructing VUJ stone Removed at ureteroscopy on 29 November Discharged</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Symptoms of obstruction          Normal ultrasound in August 2019          No correspondence in record – unable to comment further</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical</b></p>		

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	October 2019 CT shows left ureteric calculus Stone removed with stent on string Discharged

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	August 2019 PCNL and stent removed Normal nephrostogram

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	RUQ pain
<b>Patient Summary</b>	November 2019 renogram mild PUJ left Minimal function right kidney Ultrasound October 2020 – left renal pelvis full Renal function OK

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>	<b>Not clear if follow-up planned</b>	

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	October 2018 Gleason 3+4 tumour PSA 68 Referred for radiotherapy Cystogram after TURBT March 2019 – no leak

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Right ureteric calculus March 2019 CT May 2019 shows stone passed

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b>  <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Scrotal pain
<b>Patient Summary</b>	MRI February 2019 cancelled by radiologist Ultrasound showed scrotal haematoma Discharged

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>	Discharged	

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Bladder outflow obstruction Ultrasound March 2020 normal Now under lung MDM

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal information redacted by the USI
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>	
<b>Date of Birth</b>	

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>pT4 UTUC. Nephrectomy July 2019</p> <p>Died <span style="background-color: black; color: white; font-size: 8px; text-align: center;">Personal information redacted by the USI</span></p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current</i>		

	<i>patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

**Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.**

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	UTI
<b>Patient Summary</b>	October 2019 ultrasound showed new renal lesion CT December 2019 – 1.6cm renal cyst No concerns

#### Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current</i>		<b>Discharged</b>

	<i>patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

**Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.**

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	2019 T1b Gleason 6 tumour February 2020 PSA 7.9
<b>Died</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>

#### Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
2	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
3	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	June 2019 CT – right hydronephrosis with VUJ stone Right URS no stent Ultrasound June 2020 no stones – normal kidneys

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
2	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
3	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>Discharged</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Ultrasound March 2019 normal (cyst)</p> <p>Normal cystoscopy</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b></p>		<b>Discharged</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Ultrasound February 2017 normal Normal cystoscopy

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>	Discharged	

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small> [REDACTED]	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>	[REDACTED]	
<b>Date of Birth</b>	[REDACTED]	

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Left scrotal swelling
<b>Patient Summary</b>	October 2019 ultrasound – post vasectomy appearance No concerns

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<p><b>? Discharged – no letters</b></p>
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<p><b>Yes</b></p>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<p><b>N/A</b></p>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		<p><b>Yes</b></p>

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Ultrasound April 2019 normal PSA 4.9 – not followed up

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		<b>No</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		<b>Needs PSA checked</b>

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>Unknown</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>G3 TCC bladder          June 2018 CT normal upper tracts          BCG          Investigations for raised PSA</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Yes but cystoscopy overdue</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Raised PSA
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>MRI February 2020 no target lesion</p> <p>No PSA result since January 2020 (GP was asked to repeat it)</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>	N/A	

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<b>Not followed up in April/May 2020 as planned?</b>
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	September 2019 CT – obstructing ureteric stone URS and stent – removed Discharged

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small> <div style="background-color: black; width: 100%; height: 20px;"></div>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	T1b RCC – nephrectomy August 2019 CT March 2021 – no recurrence

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		<b>Yes</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Recurrent UTI's
<b>Patient Summary</b>	June 2016 and September 2019 ultrasounds normal

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>	? Discharged – no letters	

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	June 2019 Gleason 4+3 Ca prostate Bone scan negative Radical prostatectomy Recurrence for radiotherapy

**Regarding the patients current care**

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
2	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded, and</i>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Ultrasound May 2016 – right epididymal cyst          October 2016 ultrasound – no testis injury          April 2019 ultrasound – normal testes          Discharged</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Discharged</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>	
<b>Date of Birth</b>	

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Renal colic
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>CT January 2019 – left ureteric and right renal stone</p> <p>CT March 2019 – no significant change. Left URS and stent. Stent removed in April Right stone treated with ESWL</p> <p>Planned XRay in 2020 has not happened but ? no need</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>? Discharged</b>
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	PSA 15
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>October 2019 ultrasound prostate 40ml          MRI PIRADS 4 – Gleason 3+4 tumour February 2020          Radiotherapy</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Renal colic
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>December 2015 CT – mid ureter stone – passed on plain film two days later</p> <p>September 2019 CT left renal stone – asymptomatic, under review</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded, and</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Retention
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>July 2020 ultrasound – parapelvic cysts otherwise normal</p> <p>No letter from AO'B but letter written n July 2020</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>January 2020 CT – upper ureteric stone Stented URS April 2020 – stone free</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>January 2019 Gleason 10 Ca prostate          Started ADT          Long term catheter due to voiding problems          PSA 0.03</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Urinary infection
<b>Patient Summary</b>	February 2019 normal ultrasound Discharged

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>	Discharged	

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Testicular pain
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Ultrasound January 2020 – small cyst otherwise OK</p> <p>No letters but no action needed</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>2014 radical nephrectomy April 2019 – metastatic disease – referred to oncology</p> <p>December 2017 CT showed no metastases Small lesions seen in January 2019</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	March 2019 ultrasound normal Normal cystoscopy No concerns

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>LUTS          April 2019 ultrasound normal          Raised PSA – normal in May 2020          No issues</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical</b></p>		<b>None needed</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Renal colic
<b>Patient Summary</b>	CT 2016 suggests recent passage of stone Further infections Ultrasound 2019 normal

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>Discharged</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Pyelonephritis
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Recurrent UTI's Renal stones – discharged 2019</p> <p>December 2020 ultrasound – normal kidneys</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b></p>		<b>Discharged</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Non visible haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	March 2013 and September 2019 ultrasounds normal Normal cystoscopy

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Urogenital sinus anomaly
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Complex history Mitrofanoff Kidney transplant Under renal physicians

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS
<b>Patient Summary</b>	August 2019 ultrasound normal Discharged 2021

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<b>Discharged</b>
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria, pain
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>CT March 2019 small ureteric stone          Ureteroscopy and repeat CT – no stone          Discharged</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
2	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
3	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small> [Redacted]	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>	[Redacted]	
<b>Date of Birth</b>	[Redacted]	

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>CT April 2019 – chance finding of 1.5cm renal lesion          Ultrasound suggests dense cyst          CT July 2019 – hyperdense cyst – no enhancement          Discharged</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Ultrasound November 2019 – renal cyst, small residual No letters

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No letters</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>July 2018 ultrasound normal</p> <p>July 2019 Left PUJ stone - lithotripsy</p> <p>July 2020 CT normal</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>December 2015 and 2019 CT – no upper tract lesions</p> <p>December 2020 MRI PIRADS 3 lesion – Gleason 6</p> <p>Urethral strictures. No bladder tumour</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>May 2013 ultrasound right renal cyst and mild hydronephrosis          March 2020 CT left VUJ stone          URS and stent – removed on day 2</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS
<b>Patient Summary</b>	July 2015 testis ultrasound OK February 2020 ultrasound normal Elevated PSA MRI normal

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Renal colic
<b>Patient Summary</b>	December 2015 CT – left PUJ stone Fragmented and stented ESWL 2020 haematuria – normal ultrasound and cystoscopy

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>March 2017 CT right ureteric stone          Laser lithotripsy and stent – removed April 2019          LUTS – normal ultrasound - discharged</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>	N/A	

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Pyelonephritis
<b>Patient Summary</b>	R pyelonephritis June 2019 – treated with antibiotics CT in August 2019 OK and ultrasound in 2020 normal (not clear why he had that)

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>No need to see again</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Renal cyst
<b>Patient Summary</b>	CT July 2020 Bosniak I cyst in right kidney Discharged October

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>	Not needed	

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Retention / haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>October 2019 Acute retention PSA 6 Monitored October 2020 PSA 2.9 No further action required</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		<b>Not needed</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Ultrasound 2019 – normal bladder – renal cysts No action indicated Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		<b>N/A</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>June 2020 – invasive bladder tumour with hydronephrosis                  Developed metastases                  Nephrostomy</p> <p>Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		<b>N/A</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> (<i>Secure Clinical Management Plan</i> to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> (<i>Appropriate</i> to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>Appropriate</i> to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>Reasonable</i> to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>April 2019 – simple cyst in right kidney Discharged</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>	N/A	
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> (‘Secure Clinical Management</p>	Discharged	

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Possible renal colic Normal CT in June 2019 Discharged in October 2019

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		<b>Discharged</b>

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	I cannot find any urological investigations or letters

#### Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Testicular pain
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Testes ultrasound December 2019 normal No letters – no action needed</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> (<i>'Secure Clinical Management</i></p>		

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Patient 115</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	pTa G2 TCC bladder 2016 Checks OK since
<b>Died</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>

#### Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
2	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
3	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Right nephrectomy 2010          Ultrasound in March 2021 normal          No correspondence but no action required</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b></p>		

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.</i>)</p>		
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> (<i>'Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists</i>)</p>		
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Bladder outflow obstruction Ultrasound February 2020 – large residual On waiting list for TURP

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Loin pain
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Cystic collection in kidney Ureteric stent February 2020 – removed in May 2020 Discharged

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	CT April 2019 1.2cm renal mass Reviewed and discharged No concerns

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Gleason 10 Ca prostate started on hormones in 2017 2018 diagnosed with small cell carcinoma of bladder Chemotherapy Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current</i>	N/A	

	<i>best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b></p> <p><i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Testicular pain Ultrasound March 2020 normal</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> (<i>'Secure Clinical Management</i></p>		<b>Not required</b>

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Urinary infections                  June 2019 bil. Hydronephrosis (mild)                  Normal bladder biopsies                  November 2019 CT – multiple filling defects in kidneys and stone in bladder</p> <p>Catheterised – no abnormality found in urinary tract</p> <p>Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>                  ('Reasonable' to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>

2	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		N/A
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A

6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		
7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>



<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Non visible haematuria and weight loss CT December 2019 normal Normal cystoscopy Discharged

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		<b>Discharged</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Bilateral renal stones Plan in place – no concerns

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Urinary infections
<b>Patient Summary</b>	December 2019 ultrasound normal  No correspondence but OK if asymptomatic

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>No</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>None</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Normal ultrasound April 2019 Discharged

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Right VUJ stone CT 26/02/19 shows it has passed

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		<b>Discharged</b>

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Ultrasound October 2019 normal

#### Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
2	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
3	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current</i>		<b>Not needed if no symptoms</b>

	<i>patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Probably – no letters re history</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Nocturia, rising PSA
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Ultrasound January 2020 normal November 2020 PSA fallen to 4.2

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>No urological letters seen but no issue</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Bilateral renal stones URS – some residual fragments Under review

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>yes</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>pT3 Ca penis – total amputation</p> <p>Undergoing radiotherapy to pelvis</p> <p>No concerns</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>June 2019 CT shows 3mm right renal stone – interpolar Discharged to GP</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b></p>		<b>Discharged</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Raised PSA 8.1
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Renal and pancreatic transplant 2014 PSA fell to normal Ultrasound July 2019 OK Under transplant team

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>	Yes	

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Possible stone but normal CT scans in 2019 and 2020

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		<b>Discharged</b>

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>pTa G3 TCC bladder 2012                  Atypical cells in urine                  CT April 2020 clear. Bladder biopsies no tumour</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		<b>Yes</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS
<b>Patient Summary</b>	June 2019 ultrasound normal Under review

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Patient 63	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	UTI/nocturia
<b>Patient Summary</b>	September 2019 – normal ultrasound Urethrotomy January 2020 Further dilatation in July – on CISC

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		<b>Yes</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Stable renal cyst – under surveillance No concerns

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	February 2020 TURP July 2020 CT – no cause seen

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		<b>No follow up</b>

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>June 2018 CT – left PUJ obstruction                  Renogram 16% function                  Ureter stented                  October 2018 renogram – kidney non-functioning                  Surgery deferred due to comorbidities</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>                      ('Reasonable' to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>                      ('Appropriate' to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small> [Redacted]	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>	[Redacted]	
<b>Date of Birth</b>	[Redacted]	

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Left radical nephrectomy 2013 CT December 2019 – lung nodule 2020 appears stable – to continue review

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	pTaG3 TCC bladder Reresection no tumour BCG but maintenance treatment deferred as he has a lung tumour

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Loin pain
<b>Patient Summary</b>	CT February 2019 no stone

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>Discharged</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Renal tumour diagnosed in 2012 2018 - CT shows enlarging but patient not keen on surgery 2021 CT stable

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Recurrent uTI's
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Ultrasound August 2019 normal Discharged 2021

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Bilateral renal stones
<b>Patient Summary</b>	June 2018 CT left hydronephrosis with ureteric stones URS June 2018 Stones stable in June 2020

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	CT April 219 – no upper tract anomaly Normal cystoscopy Discharged

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>CT February 2019 left ureteric stone</p> <p>Ultrasound April 2019 mild hydronephrosis</p> <p>URS and stent</p> <p>Stent removed in August</p> <p>Discharged</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>	N/A	

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Abdominal pain
<b>Patient Summary</b>	CT November 2019 small ureteric stones URS and stent Stent removed April 2020

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	2017 Ultrasound – renal cyst – reviewed, hyperdense May 2019 urosepsis secondary to blocked catheter  Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS
<b>Patient Summary</b>	February 2019 ultrasound normal Discharged March 2019

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Storage symptoms + ?non-visible haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	May 2019 ultrasound normal

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>	?Discharged	

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	September 2019 pTa G2 TCC bladder CT also showed 4.5mm distal ureteric stone – not seen on October ultrasound

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		<b>Yes</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Ultrasound May 2019 – normal Cystoscopy normal Discharged

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<b>Discharged</b>
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	No urology records or X-Rays

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b></p>		

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Renal tubular acidosis
<b>Patient Summary</b>	August 2011, June 2014 ultrasounds normal Stones treated in 2020

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Recurrent UTI's
<b>Patient Summary</b>	September 2019 ultrasound normal  No letters

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		<b>?</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		<p><b>No letters</b> <b>No cystoscopy</b></p>

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>Unknown</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Bilateral renal stones
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>September 2010 CT multiple renal stones          March 2015 CT – stone in upper ureter          CT March 2019 left ureteric stone          URS and stent</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>October 2013 Ultrasound – prominent PUJ          March 2014 renogram – partial PUJ. Function OK          Ultrasound follow up but July 2019 scan cancelled by AO'B – not clear why</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>No</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Testicular swelling
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Several ultrasounds in 2019                  December 2019 ultrasound – post vasectomy change                  Discharged</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>                      ('Reasonable' to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>                      ('Appropriate' to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical</b></p>		<b>Discharged</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>June 2019 ultrasound- minimal hydronephrosis PSA 9 Discharged Represented in 2020 with PSA 67.</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>	N/A	

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Left renal colic
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>CT March 2019 – left VUJ stone          April 2019 CT – stone not seen          August 2019 ultrasound – normal kidneys          Discharged</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>	<b>N/A</b>	

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	No urological notes or X-Rays

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Ultrasound May 2019 normal upper tracts</p> <p>Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small> <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b></p>		

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>March 2020 CT small renal lesion MDM – for surveillance MRI 2021 – no significant change. For ultrasound follow up</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Colectomy for UC AO'B requested drainage of postoperative collection but no other urological issues</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Sepsis
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Radiotherapy 2002 for Ca prostate CT December 2018 left hydronephrosis + staghorn Stented Fragmented June 2019 CT September 2019 some stone in left kidney Under nephrologists

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with</i>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	November 2018 CT – VUJ stone DNA'd December CT appointment No letters

### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Testicular pain
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Ultrasound June 2020 normal Explored – normal testis

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Abdominal pain
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>April 2014 CT showed solid renal lesion          Partial nephrectomy – no histology          Follow-up CT's no recurrence          No MDM discussion</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>	N/A	

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Nocturia and bladder outflow obstruction August 2019 ultrasound normal Discharged January 2020

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		<b>Discharged</b>

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Urinary infections
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>July 2019 ? pyelonephritis DMSA scan in December OK</p> <p>No record of any problem since MCUG in May 2020 cancelled</p> <p>If asymptomatic no further investigation required</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Not required</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Unusual case and difficult</p> <p>Has bilateral nephrostomies. Inflammatory bladder condition</p> <p>Planned further investigation in Belfast</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Abdominal pain
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>January 2017 CT normal          June 2019 CT shows VUJ stone – underwent URS and lithotripsy          Stent removed July 2020          Discharged</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		N/A
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Cervical cancer Right ureteric stricture stented Last changed April 2021 Awaiting nephrectomy (non-functioning kidney)

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		<b>Yes</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Patient 107	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Right renal abscess+ stone – improvement on December 2019 CT scan. Subsequent ureteroscopy and stent removal</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>June 2013 partial nephrectomy for tumour MDM 2016 – no recurrence CT October 2017 – stones in lower pole left kidney 10 mm stone in kidney July 2020 Further CT planned in June 2021</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Lesion right kidney since 2014 MDM April 2017- keep under observation Ultrasounds ? getting smaller Discharged March 2019

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		<b>Discharged</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Left renal colic
<b>Patient Summary</b>	October 2019 CT shows obstructing stone URS performed and CT in November normal

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>Discharged</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>February 2014 CT normal          Cystoscopy normal (BCH)          October 2014 ultrasound normal          June 2016 CT normal upper tracts          February 2019 ultrasound normal          TURP July 2019 BPH</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Discharged</b>
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Renal Colic
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>September 2019 CT stone at left PUJ          November 2019 no change          Flexible ureteroscopy          Ultrasound 2020 bilateral stones          Under review</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Ultrasound February 2020 – no upper tract lesion Normal cystoscopy Discharged

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Renal colic
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>CT December 2018 renal and VUJ stone          May 2019 ultrasound normal          September 2019 CT stone in proximal ureter          Further CT's showed stone did not pass          November 2019 ureteroscopy – no record/follow up</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Patient 73	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Right testicular tumour – orchiectomy, benign LUTS – 2020 ultrasound normal -on medication

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yesn</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		<b>No follow up plan but ? not</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<b>needed</b>
<b>4</b>	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

