

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		N/A
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS
<b>Patient Summary</b>	April 2020 ultrasound normal Under review

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Epididymitis and UTI
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>May 2019 ultrasound suggests epididymitis Resolved on further ultrasounds Urinary tract OK No letters on file</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded, and</i></p>	N/A	

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>VVF after hysterectomy in Bulgaria 2016          March 2019 being considered for ileal conduit          Seen by stoma nurses in May 2019 but no appointment since.          No tumour recurrence</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
2	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>No</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Raised PSA
<b>Patient Summary</b>	MRI February 2020 normal PSA being monitored - stable

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>	N/A	

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	? Right PUJ obstruction Stable renal function – right kidney 44% Mr Haynes letter December 2020 says repeat renogram in 2022

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		<b>Yes</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Ureteric stone
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>CT April 2020 showed obstructing stone Stented Ureteroscopy June 2020 – ureter clear and stent removed</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<p><b>Discharged No follow up necessary</b></p>
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<p><b>Yes</b></p>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<p><b>N/A</b></p>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		<p><b>Yes</b></p>

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	August 2016 incidental finding 2.5cm renal lesion August 2019 lesion ? smaller Ultrasound arranged for summer 2021

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>September 2019 CT for haematuria shows bilateral renal stones</p> <p>No change since 2016</p> <p>Cystoscopy October 2019 normal</p> <p>Discharged from urology</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical</b></p>		<b>Yes – via oncology</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Scrotal abscess
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Abscess drained December 2019 and again in March 2020 Discharged April 2020

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>Discharged</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>July 2019 small left hydrocoele and varicocoele Seen in August and discharged</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>	N/A	
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> (<i>'Secure Clinical Management</i></p>	Discharged	

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Normal ultrasound September 2019 No letters on system

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>('Secure Clinical Management</i>	Yes	

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>LUTS April 2019 normal ultrasound Discharged 2020</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b>  <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>January 2018 Left radical nephrectomy pT2b</p> <p>July 2019 CT clear</p> <p>Ultrasound April 2021 clear</p> <p>CT planned for July 2021</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>January 2018 Partial nephrectomy Liebovich 6 MDM in February recommended repeat CT in May May and October 2018 CT no recurrence October 2019 ? recurrence Reviewed at MDM Now has recurrent nodal disease Under oncology</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
2	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>2017 Left nephroureterectomy pT1 G3</p> <p>CT November 2020 OK Cystoscopy August 2020 clear Further cystoscopy planned August 2021</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Ultrasound December 2019 suggests inflammation. Radiologists recommended repeat scan but has not happened</p> <p>Under general surgeons for rectal tumour – I assume therefore no problem now</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>No follow-up scan</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>MRI July 2019 normal PSA 1.7          Ultrasound August 2019 – thick walled bladder</p> <p>No correspondence in records but probably no action needed</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Exophytic prostate lesion excised - benign Ultrasound June 2019 normal

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		<b>Not required</b>

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>November 2019 – scrotal abscess – drained surgically</p> <p>Elevated PSA but 215ml prostate so OK</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical</b></p>		<b>Not required</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	No urological problem identified (CT February 2020 for abdominal pain)

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Raised PSA
<b>Patient Summary</b>	May 2019 ultrasound – normal bladder PSA fell spontaneously

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Bladder outflow obstruction Ultrasound December 2019 normal PSA normal No further investigations but no concerns

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		<b>No review</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Ultrasound August 2019 normal Listed for TURP in 2019 – PSA stable</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> (<i>'Secure Clinical Management</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Right testis swollen January 2020 ultrasound shows hydrocoele</p> <p>Left renal stones 2019 – treated and stent removed</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical</b></p>		<b>Not needed</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Bladder outlet obstruction Ultrasound July 2020 normal</p> <p>No urology letters No concern</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Bladder outflow obstruction Ultrasound November 2017 normal

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	CT October 2019 – impacted stone at R PUJ Stented as emergency Ureteroscopy December – no stone

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>Discharged</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	2013 Gleason 3+4 tumour on surveillance Ultrasound September 2019 – residual 85mls PSA 2 in March 2021 Under review

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Renal colic
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>CT December 2018 ureteric stones                  Pain improved. CT January 2019 showed ureteric stones had passed                  ESWL for renal stone August 2019                  Ultrasound October 2020 normal</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>                      ('Reasonable' to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>                      ('Appropriate' to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<b>?Discharged</b>
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Patient 113	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Ca kidney
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Renal mass 2016 MDM decided to monitor Left hydronephrosis stented March 2021 pulmonary metastases On list for stent change

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i>	N/A	

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>June 2019 CT normal kidneys (suspected stones)</p> <p>Swollen testis – ultrasound shows right hydrocoele</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
2	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Discharged</b>
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Atrophic testis - longstanding
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Ultrasounds May 2019 – normal testes and urinary tract

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>? Discharged</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Ca prostate retention PSA 20
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Bone scan October 2014 – no metastases                      Gleason 9                      Radiotherapy 2015                      2017 PSA rising                      November 2018 MRI – disease progression</p> <p>Suprapubic catheter November 2018- blocking</p> <p>Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>                      ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>                      ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with</p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>December 2013 ultrasound bladder diverticulum and bilateral varicoceles</p> <p>2020 Difficulty voiding – ultrasound PVR 112ml</p> <p>No further information</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Metastatic Ca prostate
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Bone scan August 2018 – metastases Chemotherapy and hormones but disease progressed rapidly
	Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>

#### Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
2	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
3	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>May 2019 CT few tiny left renal stones          Ultrasound August 2019 small epididymal cyst</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical</b></p>		

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>Slow correspondence</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Ultrasound December 2019 normal

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b></p>		<b>?</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> (<i>'Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists</i>)</p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS
<b>Patient Summary</b>	August 2019 normal ultrasound PSA 10.5 – Gleason 4+3 cancer Radical radiotherapy

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		<b>Yes</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Bladder tumour 2007 Lost to review July 2018 pT1 G3 TCC on biopsy - BCG + maintenance - Under review

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Loin pain
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>February 2018 CT fleck in left kidney          January 2019 CT 7mm left renal stone          February 2019 stone in upper ureter + right stones          URS and stent (removed)          March 2019 CT stone still present          Further URS          Calcium normal</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>('Appropriate' to consider if</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>?Discharged</b>
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>March 2019 ultrasound – bilateral hydrocoeles          Haematuria          Ultrasound October 2019 ? bladder wall thickening          Normal cystoscopy</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Ultrasound April 2018 normal testes September 2019 ultrasound urinary tract normal PSA 1.2 No letter

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	UTI
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Ultrasound February 2019 normal Normal cystoscopy Discharged

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Metastatic prostate cancer 2019 Gleason 5+4 PSA 381 Bicalutamide 150 November 2019 Referred to oncology but failed to attend

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS, TURP 2015
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>March 2019 ultrasound normal LUTS</p> <p>Cystoscopy – narrow bladder neck. High voiding pressure</p> <p>On list for further TURP</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS
<b>Patient Summary</b>	November 2019 ultrasound normal

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Ultrasound and CT February 2019 clot in bladder, right hydronephrosis Cystoscopy and evacuation of clot</p> <p>Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		N/A
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Complex case                  Spina bifida with indwelling SPC                  Duplex right kidney                  2011 ultrasound small parapelvic cysts                  CT April 2014 no stones                  Ultrasound 2016 bilateral hydronephroses                  Ultrasound October 2018 bilateral atrophic kidneys                  CT March 2020 right staghorn calculus – 32% function                  Bladder stones                  Renal function maintained</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>                      ('Reasonable' to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
2	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
3	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous</i>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>



## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>	
<b>Date of Birth</b>	

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>January 2019 ultrasound – atrophic left kidney October 2019 ultrasound epididymal cysts</p> <p>Died <span style="background-color: black; color: white; font-size: 8px; text-align: center;">Personal Information redacted by the USI</span></p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical</b></p>		

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>On CISC</p> <p>December 2014 and October 2019 CT's – normal upper tracts</p> <p>Normal cystoscopies on both occasions</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>February 2018 pTa G2 TCC bladder BCG and maintenance No recurrence since January 2020 – no upper tract lesion</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Renal colic
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>CT November 2018 distal ureteric stone          December 2018 CT ureteric stone passed          February 2019 CT renal but no ureteric stone          Discharged 2021</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Discharged</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Patient 158	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria has MS
<b>Patient Summary</b>	November 2019 ultrasound and CT February 2020 – normal upper tracts Retaining 440ml so had TURP in May 2020 – (?ISC might have been better)

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>	N/A	

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>No ?should have had urodynamics</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>?</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>TURP may not have been indicated</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>Possibly</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>May 2019 CT bilateral renal stones Referred to Mr Young</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical</b></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS PSA 9.5
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Ultrasound December 2019 normal 123ml gland          January 2020 AO'B started medication and placed on list for TURP          PSA stable at c.6 on finasteride</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>No need for prostatectomy</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>T1G3 TCC bladder in 2015          Intravesical MMC + maintenance          Regular cystoscopies          Lower ureteric stricture 2019 – recurrent Cis bladder</p> <p>Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Hepatocellular carcinoma CT August 2019 – normal urinary tract Normal cystoscopy Discharged

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i>	N/A	

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>October 2019 ultrasound normal</p> <p>Brain tumour</p> <p>Treated with medication</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Gleason 3+4 Ca prostate 2016          Under Mr Young          Bone scan normal          Referred for radiotherapy          2019 haematuria. CT scan normal. Cystoscopy post radiotherapy change only</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small> [REDACTED]	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>	[REDACTED]	
<b>Date of Birth</b>	[REDACTED]	

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Left renal colic
<b>Patient Summary</b>	CT February 2019 mid ureteric stone Stented Stone fragmented in May with stent (removed at 2 weeks)

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i>	N/A	

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Renal colic
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>July 2019 CT small stone          August 2019 stone has passed          No letters at the time but seen and discharged in 2020</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS and raised PSA
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Ultrasound June 2013 residual 165 BNI July 2014 PSA 5 April 2019 PSA 17 – Gleason 3+4 October 2019 PSA 11.9 Radiotherapy + ADT

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations /</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
2	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
3	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>No action on raised PSA in 2015</b> <b>No harm done but diagnosis delayed</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from</i>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	April 2019 CT – no upper tract cause Normal cystoscopy

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>April 2017 CT left hydronephrosis with ureteric stone Stented June 2017 ureteroscopic fragmentation Stent removed in July but fragment impacted in upper ureter so URS repeated</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
2	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>July 2019 CT – obstructing right ureteric stone DNA's further scans October 2020 shows stone has passed</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical</b></p>		<b>Discharged</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No letters re 2019 stone</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria after a fall
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Perinephric haematoma CT scan 20 May 2020 showed it has resolved so no further action needed

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>('Secure Clinical Management</i>		<b>Not needed</b>

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Loin pain / haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	July 2018 CT showed indeterminate para-aortic node October 2018 CT – no change No follow up required

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		<b>Discharged</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	? Metastatic kidney cancer Failed to keep all appointments ? has returned to Slovakia

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.</i>)</p>		
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> (<i>'Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists</i>)</p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>November 2019 bladder lesion resection Pta G2 TCC</p> <p>No recurrence in November 2020 – cytology OK</p> <p>Further cystoscopy planned in 2021</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Testicular pain
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Ultrasound March 2020 – epididymal cysts Discharged July 2020

#### Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current</i>		<b>Discharged</b>

	<i>patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

**Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.**

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Testicular torsion 2018</p> <p>Ultrasound 2019 – mild vascular damage to testis</p> <p>No further action needed</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical</b></p>		<b>Discharged</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Night sweats
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Non visible haematuria CT April 2019 normal Normal cystoscopy Discharged

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>Discharged</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Ultrasound February 2019 – normal Cystoscopy normal Ultrasound February 2020 – normal (small renal cyst)

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Bladder outflow obstruction Ultrasound January 2020 normal  PSA OK in 2021

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		<b>Not needed</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>	
<b>Date of Birth</b>	

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	UTI Ultrasound May 2019 – normal urinary tract Liver haemangiomas

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>Not needed</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> (<i>'Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists</i>)</p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	CT March 2019 – impacted VUJ stone Stone passed into bladder and fragmented

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>	Not needed	

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	June 2019 ultrasound normal PSA was raised but now normal

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Right nephroureterectomy 2016 Ultrasound December 2016 OK CT 2021 OK

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Chest XRay February 2019 normal No record of urological problems

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	TURP 2012 Recurrent symptoms Ultrasound June 2019 normal Treated for overactive bladder

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Bladder outlet obstruction
<b>Patient Summary</b>	July 2019 ultrasound normal No other records

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Ultrasound May 2019 residual 110ml PSA 7.34 dropped to 4.7

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		<b>Discharged</b>

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Solitary kidney CT – December 2019 likely bladder tumour pTa G3 TCC and lymphoma Cystoscopy March 2021 clear

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small> [REDACTED]	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>	[REDACTED]	
<b>Date of Birth</b>	[REDACTED]	

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Ultrasound October 2019 residual 191 No correspondence in records No obvious cause for concern

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No correspondence</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>March 2018 Gleason 3+4 ca prostate MDM recommended active treatment but patient opted for surveillance November 2020 MRI no change Stable disease</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>February 2017 obstructing distal ureteric calculus          No letters – stone passed          March 2019 CT small left renal calculus          August 2019 LUTS – normal ultrasound</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical</b></p>		<b>Discharged</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	2014 Bilateral renal stones Under review by stone team No concerns

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Bilateral renal stones            CT November 2017 left hydronephrosis with ureteric stone – treated ureteroscopically            CT's December 2018 and 2020 unchanged</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	June 2019 CT normal Normal cystoscopy

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>	Discharged	

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Patient 55	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Ca prostate 2012 Gleason 3+4 T2          Patient declined immediate radiotherapy          Treated in 2015          PSA started to rise again in 2016          Bone scans 2018-2020 OK but now has lymphadenopathy          Under oncology</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>March 2019 retention – normal ultrasound. Discharged for follow up in Thorndale</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical</b></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Chronic retention
<b>Patient Summary</b>	SPC inserted Ultrasound January 2020 mild upper tract dilatation TURP March 2020 eGFR stable

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Metastatic Ca prostate
<b>Patient Summary</b>	PSA 130 Bone scan extensive metastases Rapid progression despite hormone treatment  Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>MS            CT August 2018 – ureteric stone and left cortical atrophy            November 2019 left ureteric stent changed            July 2020 AO'B to arrange removal of stent and lithotripsy            No evidence that this has happened            (letter 26/07/21 says stent still in for one year but ? for two)</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

2	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		No
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A

6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes but nothing since 2019</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>?</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>Unknown</b>



<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Ca breast Ureters stented July 2018 CT – hydronephroses despite stents – bilateral nephrostomies Stents replaced and regularly changed</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Died <span style="font-size: small; text-align: center;">Personal Information redacted by the USI</span></p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
2	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Gleason 6 Ca prostate 2018 MRI PIRADS 3 Upgraded to 3+4 in 2020 Radical prostatectomy</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Solitary left kidney CT January 2019 no upper tract lesion Cystoscopy normal

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haemospermia PSA 7
<b>Patient Summary</b>	December 2019 ultrasound – large epididymal cyst Prostate volume 98ml PSA fell to normal

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<b>Discharged</b>
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	<b>Retention</b>
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Ultrasound February 2020 – normal kidneys (cyst) Then voided and discharged

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>2012 Gleason 3+4 carcinoma Treated with ADT (no records) stopped in 2016 3 x TURP (total of 99 grams excised) 2019 bone scan normal</p> <p>PSA now 16 but prostate &gt;150g</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Recurrent UTI's
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>MS on ISC October 2019 ultrasound normal</p> <p>CT small bladder stones Litholapaxy June 2021</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Ultrasounds in 2019 normal Normal cystoscopy

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>	Yes	

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Renal colic
<b>Patient Summary</b>	CT February 2019 no stone Ultrasound March 2019 normal Non visible haematuria Cystoscopy – urethral stricture ? Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
2	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>	
<b>Date of Birth</b>	

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Previous cystectomy for IC          Progressive upper tract dilatation          CT October 2019 distal ureteric stenoses          Haematuria          ? Patient declined further investigation/treatment</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Died <span style="background-color: black; color: white; font-size: 8px; text-align: center;">Personal Information redacted by the USI</span></p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
2	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Suprapubic pain                  2018 ultrasound normal                  2019 ultrasound ? hydronephrosis                  CT March 2019 normal upper tracts                  Discharged</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>                      ('Reasonable' to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
2	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>                      ('Appropriate' to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Dukes C carcinoma, anterior resection          March 2017 CT right hydronephrosis, stone          Fragmented July 2017          Lower ureteric stricture          January 2019 balloon dilatation          February 2020 Boari flap</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
2	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>February 2020 obstructing ureteric stone URS , stone fragmented and stent Stent removed March 2020 – residual renal fragment unchanged n 2021 CT scan No concerns</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
2	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Ultrasound October 2018 renal cyst. Unchanged on 2019 scan Urodynamics 2021 – hypocontractile bladder Discharged

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i>	N/A	

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Renal colic
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>January 2019 left ureteric stone – basket retrieval</p> <p>June 2020 no further stones</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>September 2019 CT para-aortic mass surrounding left ureter.                  Renogram left 32%                  Ureter stented                  Renal function stable                  Stent changed December 2020</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>                      ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>                      ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with</p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>March 2019 CT right renal and ureteric stones ? perforation – ruptured calyceal diverticulum Stent inserted Urteroscopy 12 June (no records) October 2019 CT no collection, no stones</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No operative record June 2019</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Ultrasounds December 2016 and July 2019 normal No letters/plan</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical</b></p>		

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Patient 9	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>June 2020 Ca prostate invading rectum                  Rectourethral fistula. Suprapubic catheter                  Bone scan negative                  Radiotherapy and ADT</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Died <span style="background-color: black; color: white; font-size: x-small; padding: 2px;">Personal information redacted by the USI</span></p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>                      ('Reasonable' to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>                      ('Appropriate' to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>	N/A	

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Ultrasound in April 2019 showed cysts No follow-up required</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>	N/A	
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> (<i>'Secure Clinical Management</i></p>	Discharged	

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Left duplex. Right partial nephrectomy</p> <p>CT October 2016 OK Left partial nephrectomy CT 2018 OK Ultrasound March 2021 OK</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	August 2019 CT – normal upper tracts Cystoscopy normal March 2020 testicular pain (trauma) ultrasound shows right epididymitis

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		<b>Yes</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Pyeloplasty September 2019 Equal function postoperatively No issues

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	CT 2018 showed left renal stone Ultrasound May 2019 – stone not seen No follow-up required

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>Discharged</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Lower ureteric tumour                  Segmental resection 2018 pTa G2                  CT February 2021 – no recurrence - good drainage                  Repeat scan 2022                  Cystoscopies clear</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>                      ('Reasonable' to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>                      ('Appropriate' to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Ultrasound June 2019 residual 150ml November 2019 - pinhole meatus – awaiting dilatation

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Non visible haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Ultrasound November 2019 normal Normal cystoscopy Discharged

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>Discharged</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Right renal colic
<b>Patient Summary</b>	CT June 2020 – two tiny renal stones No follow-up needed if OK

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		<b>?Discharged</b>

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Non visible haematuria Ultrasound February 2019 normal Patient declined cystoscopy

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>Discharged</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> (<i>'Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists</i>)</p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Parkinson's and voiding symptoms Ultrasound May 2019 residual 225 and liver lesions Found to have carcinoid tumour

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>yes</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Ca prostate 2012 Bone scan normal TURP 2012 Gleason 6 tumour on surveillance PSA steady</p> <p>Failed to attend for 2020 ultrasound</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>January 2013 normal urinary tract ultrasound                  On CISC (transverse myelitis)                  2019 haematuria – February 2019 ultrasound normal                  Cystoscopy – no tumour</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>                      ('Reasonable' to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>                      ('Appropriate' to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS and raised PSA 6.5
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Ultrasound November 2019 – residual 257ml MRI February 2020 no target lesion PSAD 0.12 August 2020 PSA stable

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<b>?Discharged</b>
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Ultrasound 2019 cancelled by patient</p> <p>No urology letters</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>March 2020 – CT showed ureteric stone treated by URS</p> <p>Later found to have Ca prostate Gleason 6 – on surveillance</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Raised PSA
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Gleason 3+4 prostate cancer April 2019 ultrasound normal MRI suspicious Radical prostatectomy

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i>	N/A	

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Testicular swelling
<b>Patient Summary</b>	April 2019 right hydrocoele – Jaboulay December Discharged

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria (post exercise) / Testicular pain
<b>Patient Summary</b>	November 2019 ultrasound normal kidneys January 2020 Testis ultrasound normal (small cyst)

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Renal colic
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>CT 9 October 2019 obstructing right ureteric stone and renal stone</p> <p>25 October CT scan showed stone has passed</p> <p>October 2020 CT no stones</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Discharged</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Colovesical fistula
<b>Patient Summary</b>	November 2019 CT – left hydronephrosis Stented Hartmann's Bladder healed Stent removed 2020 Discharged

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with</i>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Discharged</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Flank pain
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>May 2014 CT bilateral small renal stones          Ultrasound March 2015 no change          CT July 2019 – stones larger          Treated by ESWL</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Ultrasound August 2019 normal Raised PSA being monitored

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>	Yes	

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Dysuria/frequency
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>February 2019 ultrasound mild hydronephrosis and renal lesion</p> <p>CT suggests pyelonephritis</p> <p>September 2019 ultrasound normal</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>	N/A	

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>?Discharged</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Orchiectomy 2019 – epidermoid cyst No concerns

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		<b>Yes</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	CT October 2019- obstructing ureteric calculus Left URS and removal CT 2020 clear

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i>	N/A	

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		<b>Discharged</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Group 2 Ca prostate 2016 2019 tumour on trigone – high grade pT1 at least BCG (not fit for cystectomy) 2020 <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p> <p>Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	CT – thickened renal septum Normal cytology / ureteroscopy Discharged

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Gleason 3+4 Ca prostate PSA 7.4 MDM recommended radical treatment Referred for brachytherapy Under review by oncology</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>December 2018 CT normal January 2019 ultrasound normal</p> <p>No letters no cystoscopy</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>	N/A	

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>?</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Spina bifida. Left staghorn Under stone team No concerns

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Right loin pain
<b>Patient Summary</b>	July 2019 CT stone at R VUJ August 2019 stone passed Discharged

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS
<b>Patient Summary</b>	June 2020 ultrasound – renal cyst, otherwise normal No clear plan

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Renal colic
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>July 2019 ureteric and bilateral renal stones          August 2019 small ureteric stone passed but 7mm stone migrated to ureter          ESWL and stones all passed</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	LUTS
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Ultrasound February 2016 normal No letters

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>June 2018 CT polycystic kidneys Ca lung</p> <p>Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Right loin pain
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>December 2018 CT small ureteric stone</p> <p>January 2019 CT – no stone</p> <p>Patient DNA'd</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	PSA 9 PIRADS 4 lesion, Gleason 4+3 Ca prostate May 2019 Brachytherapy

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	2008 left nephrectomy for tumour 2018 mass in head of pancreas – Whipples – metastatic Ca kidney CT August 2020 no tumour seen

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
<b>4</b>	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
<b>4</b>	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	July 2019 CT normal Cystoscopy normal Discharged

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Difficulty voiding
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Ultrasound 2020 showed good bladder emptying          Never reviewed but no need if OK now          PSA 0.83 in January 2020</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>	N/A	
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b></p>	No need for follow up	

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Cysts in left kidney. No change on July 2020 ultrasound</p> <p>No further plan but probably does not require follow-up</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical</b></p>		<b>No</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		<b>None required</b>

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Patient 34	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Haematuria Ca prostate post DXR Ultrasound January 2020 small stone CT October ?small lesion in left kidney but repeat scan OK</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>	N/A	

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Ultrasound 2019 showed left varicocele Scan in 2021 shows right hydrocoele and left varicocele and epididymal cyst On waiting list for TURP</p>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Is a secure clinical</b></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Renal colic
<b>Patient Summary</b>	ESWL July 2018 July 2019 CT normal -no stone No action required

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>	N/A	

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>January 2019 bilateral hydronephroses – secondary to outflow obstruction Discharged with catheter</p>
<b>Died</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		<b>Yes</b>
2	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		<b>N/A</b>
3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b></p>		<b>N/A</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Bladder outflow obstruction June 2020 ultrasound normal Raised PSA but OK for age

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	<p>Gleason 3+3 cancer October 2019</p> <p>Seen by oncologists - veering towards surgery</p> <p>February 2021 PSA &lt;0.03 so must have had surgery</p>

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<p><b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b>  <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b>  <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>

3	<p><b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	2019 testis ultrasound cancelled (no record to say indication – had operation July 2019 but no record) 2020 haematuria – fully investigated

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>Discharged</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	Indeterminate small lesion right kidney – no change on 2021 CT

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>	Yes	

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

### Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	Haematuria
<b>Patient Summary</b>	September 2018 T3/4 Ca bladder with eGFR 21 Nephrostomies Chemotherapy caused arterial clot February 2019 ultrasound liver lesion  Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>

#### Regarding the patients current care

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</b>		<b>Died</b>

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	<b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>

7	<p><b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<p><b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<b>No</b>
9	<p><b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b></p>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	

## UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

### Patient Details

<b>Name</b>	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
<b>H&amp;C Number</b>		
<b>Date of Birth</b>		

### Patient Details

<b>Presenting Condition(s)</b>	
<b>Patient Summary</b>	2008/2016 pTa G2 TCC bladder April 2018 and February 2021 ultrasounds normal Patient declined further cystoscopies

**Regarding the patients current care**

<b>1</b>	<b>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		<b>N/A</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Is a secure clinical</b>		<b>Discharged</b>

	<p><b>management plan currently in place?</b> <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p><b>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</b></p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p><b>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>
5	<p><b>Were the medications prescribed appropriate?</b> <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<b>N/A</b>
6	<p><b>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<b>Yes</b>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	<b>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?</b> <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		<b>Yes</b>
8	<b>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</b> <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		<b>No</b>
9	<b>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</b>		<b>No</b>

## Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

<b>Name</b>	Krishna Sethia
<b>Title</b>	Professor
<b>Date of Appointment</b>	