

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	July 2019 CT scan OK Normal cystoscopy PSA now 0.47

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Discharged
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
		Y Una Dete	
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	Linelanu S	Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information reducted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	Complex renal cyst
	Under surveillance – MRI's show stable appearance MDM review done

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
		Un	
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	
	AML 2012 July 2020 VT – stable appearance Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further on Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	- Uralagu S	Yes



7	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	
	Ultrasound August 2019 normal Under review for elevated PSA (2.6 in February 2021 on finasteride)

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	a Hrainay C	Yes



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further on Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	- Uralagu S	Yes



		<u> </u>
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Spina bifida CT June 2017 – right staghorn Atrophic right kidney Renogram March 2018 no- functioning. eGFR remained >60 Complicated right ureteric stones treated Under review – no concerns

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based		N/A



	practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	, u	Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the		Yes ervices Inquiry



	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	Impossible to say – no record of treatment of staghorn
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	Ultrasound January 2020 - bladder diverticulum otherwise OK

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?	e Urology S	ervices Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Tom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	e Urology S	Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information reducted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	Cystoprostatectomy 2010
	Haematuria
	November 2019 CT – normal upper tracts

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3 eceived fro	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	e Urology S	Yes ervices Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



		1
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 5	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	January 2019 15cm renal tumour into vein Radical nephrectomy March 2019 December 2019 CT – sclerotic metastases – treated for Ca prostate

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
		Un	
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information reducted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Orthotopic bladder March 2019 normal ultrasound On w/l for urethral dilatation

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	n ă	Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a	Line Line Co	Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Swollen testis
Patient Summary	Ultrasound February 2019 – left varicocoele, normal kidneys Coliform UTI Ultrasound March 2019 – residual 20ml Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based		N/A



	practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Discharged
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	, Ur	Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	ne Urology S	Yes Inquiry



	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 125	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Gleason 3+4 Ca prostate PSA 19 M0 MDM February 2020 – for radical treatment Referred for radiotherapy

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
		γ Una Det	
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information reducted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	August 2019 ultrasound normal Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	 Discharged



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)							
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken							

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further on Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	- Uralagu S	Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Pelsonal miormation reducted by the CO	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	SLE/schizophrenia Complex Bosniak 3 renal cyst
	Stable – under review

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	
-	February 2020 pTa G3 TCC multifocal BCG delayed by Covid Recurrence October. Then received BCG

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	March 2019 CT shows stone and right hydronephrosis URS performed Ultrasound June 2019 normal No action required

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2 Received	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from an audicance of the current and the current best evidence and the curr	e Urology S	N/A ervices Inquiry.



	rationale fully noted?)		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes	es
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the		Yes



	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Haematuria
CT November 2019 normal
Cystoscopy normal
Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?	e Urology S	N/A ervices Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		



	1	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Personal Information reducted by the USI Personal Information reducted by the USI

H&C Number Date of Birth

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	No urology notes or XRays found
	Died Personal Information redacted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if		
	diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement		
	for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management of Dr. Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22 Appointed by the complete of the comple	a Hrology S	on (see Inquin)



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	CT August 2020 shows stable left renal stone Further imaging planned in 12/12

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management of Dr. Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22 Appointed by the complete of the comple	a Healagu C	Yes



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Endometrial carcinoma 2016 Haematuria June 2019 CT and cystoscopy normal (radiation cystitis)
	No further action required

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2 Received fr	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from an guidance of the current and the current best evidence and the curren	le Urology S	N/A ervices Inquiry.



	rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	N/A (under oncology)
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the		Yes



	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Ultrasound 2017 OK Rising PSA MRI March 2020 PIRADS1
	For annual PSA checks

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	a livalage: C	Discharged



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	e Urology S	Yes envices Inquiry



		<u> </u>
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Nephrectomy 2019 for RCC
	May 2020 hepatobiliary metastasis
	Died Personal Information reducted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management om Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the control of the contr	



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Testis trauma
Patient Summary	Ultrasound November 2018 – 1.6cm lesion Ultrasound February 2019 DNA February 2021 normal

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
		Un	
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information reducted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	November 2017 left staghorn with pyelonephritis Ureter stented. Stent changed May 2018 June 2018 renogram equal function October 2018 stone fragmentation November restented and further fragmentations in February 2019 and January 2020 and ESWL Ongoing care under stone team

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2 Received fr	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' 203/2000 ider bifut	e Urology S	N/A ervices Inquiry.



	prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by th	ne Urology S	Yes

	('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2017- haematuria Normal CT and cystoscopy Ultrasound in October 2019 – no significant abnormality

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	 No action required



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



7	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	June 2018 PSA 24.9 Biopsies Gleason 3+5 Metastases on bone scan Treated with bicalutamide 50mg then Leuprorelin Good responseunder review

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	Yes
3	Is a secure clinical	Yes



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	e Urology S	Yes Inquiry



		<u>, </u>
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	February 2019 TURBT pTa G2 TCC Radiology normal Cystoscopy April 2021 clear so discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	a Hrainay C	Discharged



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further om Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	- Uralagu S	Yes



		<u> </u>
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	CT September 2019 – small stone in kidney No correspondence seen but doesn't require follow- up unless he develops pain

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	Not required



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further	- Uralagu S	Yes



		<u> </u>
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	2018 – left ureteric and bladder calculi November 2018 litholapaxy and stent Left URS February 2019 – stent removed September 2019 no stone on plain film

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	a Hrainay C	Yes



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)				
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken				

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
		Un	
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further on Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	a Uralagu S	Yes



		<u> </u>
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	September 2020 large solitary testis – no pathology
	seen
	No follow-up required

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?	e Urology S	Yes ervices Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the	Yes
	patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	August 2020 Gleason 8 Ca prostate
	Bone scan September – no metastases
	Started on hormones
	Started on Hormones
	Died Personal Information reducted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?	e Urology S	N/A ervices Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



	1	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

History of stones and TURP
Ultrasound November 2020 normal

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management	 Not needed



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		



	1	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Gleason 9 Ca prostate MRI January 2020 rT3b ?N1 Started on bicalutamide 150 Referred for radiotherapy

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	 Yes



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further on Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	- Uralagu S	Yes



7	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title Professor		
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	CT July 2019 normal Flexible cystoscopy normal

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management)	Discharged



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Retroperitoneal fibrosis
Patient Summary	Previous orchiectomy and radiotherapy 2019 Bilateral hydronephroses – nephrostomies – multiple changes No concerns

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
		Y / Unal Dete	
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	- Uralagy S	Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	
,,	Ultrasound December 2019 normal PSA fell Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	l	



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)				
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken				

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further on Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	- Uralagu S	Yes



		<u> </u>
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Chronic retention – reduced renal function
Patient Summary	Catheterised November 2019 ultrasound normal – listed for TURP Renal function stable to March 2021

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? Annotated by the	e Urology S	Yes ervices Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



		1
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	April / November 2013 ultrasounds normal May 2019 TURP – good result November 2019 ultrasound normal

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Discharged
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
		γ Una Det	
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	March 2018 ultrasound – renal cyst March 2018 CT – small renal stones Cystoscopy normal Chronic retention Cancelled ultrasound in 2020 – does not want to rebook.

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with	N/A



	current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	7	A/
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if	Lirology C	Yes



	diagnasia / diagnasas is	
	diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	July 2019 left urteric stone August 2019 – stone passed No letters

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	?Discharged
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No letters
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	UTI
Patient Summary	Ultrasound July 2019 normal No further plan documented
	Died Personal Information redacted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further om Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	- Uralagu S	Yes



		<u>, </u>
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	Ultrasound September 2017 -residual 248ml March 2020 residual 57ml
	No urology letters

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based		N/A



	practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by th	ne Urology S	Yes ervices Inquiry



	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No letters
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	Bladder augmentation (Clam) 1993 Problems with stones Regular cystoscopies 2019 developed SCC bladder PET scan showed ??metastases
	Cystoscopic surveillance Died Personal Information redacted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with		N/A



	current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if	- Unalass C	Yes



	diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	CT 2017 Small left renal lesion December 2020 ultrasound – increase in size January 2021 CT further increase
	Died Personal Information reducted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
		Y Una Dete	
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	Linelanu C	Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Renal colic
Patient Summary	August 2017 CT upper ureteric stone Fragmented – small fragment remained October 2019 CT small stone in right kidney

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Panproctocolectomy 2014 PSA 5.7 MRI scan normal Biopsies negative TURP 2016 benign 2019 haematuria-normal CT and cystoscopy
2

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based		N/A



	practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	un Un	Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is om Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the		Yes ervices Inquiry



	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Urgency/incontinence
Patient Summary MRI 2019 cancelled as patient went privately	

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3 eceived fro	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	e Urology S	Under review



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



	1	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Acute retention
Patient Summary	Ultrasound March 2020 – bilateral renal cysts MRI May 2020 200ml gland PSA 32 On finasteride
	CT shows left renal lesion – under observation

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2 Received	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from an audications of the current deviations.	e Urology S	N/A ervices Inquiry.



	rationale fully noted?)		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Y	es
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the		Yes



consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		
Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)		Yes
Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)		No
On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?		No
	and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the	and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.) Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists) On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	
	July 2019 PSA 9.8
	Biopsies Gleason 3+3
	MDM -for surveillance
	PSA 5.3 in February 2021 – due further check in May

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	 N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
		Una Det	
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	February 2019 ultrasound showed bilateral epididymal cysts Discharged March 2019

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	e Urology S	Discharged



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Tom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	e Urology S	Yes



	1	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	March 2020 AO'B requested spine MRI – no letters in notes re urological complaints

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management)	



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		



		1	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)		
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)		
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?		No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 124	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	August 2012 CT no stones September 2012 IVU normal 2013 left ureteric stricture - dilated 2016 ultrasound normal November 2018 CT normal 2021 renogram normal

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with	N/A



	current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if		Yes



	diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	November 2019 pT1G2 TCC bladder
	Treated with BCG
	December 2020 small recurrence
	CT February 2020 – no upper tract lesion

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from an audicate of the current described and the current described appropriate?	e Urology S	N/A ervices Inquiry.



	rationale fully noted?)		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the		Yes

	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	UTI
Patient Summary	May 2019 ultrasound normal
	Cystoscopy normal

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)					
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken					

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further on Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	- Uralagu S	Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
Patient Summary	2010 Ultrasounds – right renal cyst, small epididymal cyst and varicocoele 2017 – large right epididymal cyst 2019 – even larger July 2019 CT multiple small renal stones Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with	N/A



	current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Discharged
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if		Yes

	diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria and flank pain August 2018
Patient Summary	
	Previous colectomy CT August 2018 ? stone in bladder
	Normal cystoscopy
	January 2019 further haematuria
	February 2019 CT stone in right ureter – URS and stent. Stent removed in March
	September 2019 ultrasound normal upper tracts

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?) Tom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the		N/A



6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No



Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Bladder outflow obstruction
	Ultrasound March 2020 – residual 151ml
	No letters

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	N / le to mine	Details
		Y / N / Unable to Determine	
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Unknown
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		



	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Non visible haematuria
Patient Summary	
•	Ultrasound December 2019 normal Cystoscopy normal Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Visible haematuria
January 2020 CT normal
Cystoscopy normal – small stones
On medication

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	o Hadam V	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Name H&C Number

Patient Details

Date of Birth

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	No urology notes/X-Rays

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		
	management plan currently		
eceived fro	in place?	e Urology S	ervices Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Incontinence
Patient Summary	
	August 2019 ultrasound normal

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?	e Urology S	Was due review but not urgent



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Tom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	e Urology S	Yes



_		ı	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?		No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	November 2011 ultrasound right hydrocoele I – discharged 2012

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?	e Urology S	ervices Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



	_	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2018 – T1G3 TCC bladder Under Mr Haynes Radiotherapy Ultrasound December 2018 – left hydronephrosis Nephrostomy inserted – stented – regular changes Under review

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with	 N/A



	current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if		Yes



	diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	Failed to attend for two ultrasound exams and 3 clinic appointments – GP informed

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management om Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22, Annotated by the company of the compa	a Hralagu C	Yes



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	Haematuria July 2019 Normal cystoscopy 6mm renal stone treated with ESWL CT in October 2020 shows all fragments have passed No further treatment required

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
		Un	
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Renal colic
Patient Summary	February 2019 CT – left VUJ calculus March 2019 CT – stone passed Ultrasound January 2020 – flecks in both kidneys Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2 Received	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from an audicance of the current and the current best evidence and the curr	e Urology S	N/A ervices Inquiry.



	rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Discharged
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the		Yes

	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Enlarged prostate
Patient Summary	
	Ultrasound July 2019 normal

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current		None required



	patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Retention
Patient Summary	
	Ultrasound January 2019 – upper tracts normal CT December 2019 – exophytic hyperdense cyst left kidney – reviewed at MDT - discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further om Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	- Uralagu S	Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Elevated PSA MRI March 2012 Likert 3 April 2021 PSA stable at 5.3, PSAD 0.07

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	No but having regular PSA's so looks OK
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	July 2015 low volume Gleason 3+4 tumour PSA 7 Active surveillance MRI 2017 no change On PSA review

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	 Yes



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further on Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	a Uralagu S	Yes



		<u> </u>
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2015 Renal mass detected during investigation of bowel cancer Slight increase in size in 2019 but stable in 2020 Review planned – CT due in April 2021

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	 Yes



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further om Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	- Uralagu S	Yes



		<u> </u>
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 35	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Radiotherapy 2015 for Gleason 3+4 tumour Sudden rise in PSA in 2019 Diffuse metastases on bone scan Started on bicalutamide 150 Referred to oncology
	Died Personal information reducted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	N/A
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
		Un	
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title Professor		
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)		
Patient Summary	Left partial nephrectomy 2013	
	CT 2017 and September 2019 no recurrence	
	Further scan booked 2022	

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance of recorded and the current appropriate of the current best evidence and the current best ev	e Urology S	N/A ervices Inquiry.



	rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	e Urology S	ervices Inquiry



	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Non visible Haematuria
Patient Summary	
	Chronic retention on CISC Ultrasound January 2019 normal September 2019 cystoscopy no mucosal lesion

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a	Linelanu C	Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Personal Information redacted by the USI Name H&C Number

Date of Birth

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	No urological records found

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		
	management plan currently		
eceived fro	nin place? Kane on 29/03/22 Annotated by the	e Urology S	ervices Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Tom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	e Urology S	Yes



	1	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information reducted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Bladder ultrasound 2019 – residual 197ml PSA 15.6 Managed conservatively
	Died Personal information redacted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a	Linelanu C	Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	CT May 2020 – bilateral renal stones Repeated October 2020 Plan for ESWL

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?	e Urology S	Yes ervices Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



	_	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Scrotal pain Ultrasound March 2021 – normal testes Pain improved after hernia repair

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3 eceived fro	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	e Urology S	N/A ervices Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Tom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	e Urology S	Yes ervices Inquiry.



	_	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Stone former under Mr Young Saw AO'B in 2006 for stone removal – no contact since

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2 Received from	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance of the current and the consideration of the current best evidence and the current best evide	le Urology S	N/A ervices Inquiry.



	rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the		Yes



	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Non visible haematuria
Patient Summary	January 2019 ultrasound showed cyst behind uterus Referred to gynaecology No urology follow up necessary

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?	e Urology S	N/A ervices Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



	1	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Advanced anal cancer July 2019 ultrasound – moderate left hydronephrosis Stent inserted
	Died Personal Information reducted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm		Yes
2	diagnosis / diagnoses?) Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management of the Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22 Appointed by the complete of the Clinical Management of the Clin	a Healagu C	N/A



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



	T	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Ovarian cancer Right ureter stented October 2018 No concerns
	Died Personal Information redacted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3 eceived fro	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?	le Urology S	N/A ervices Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Tom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	a Uralagu S	Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	10/07/19 Augmentation cystoplasty for small capacity bladder Ultrasound January 2021 – normal upper tracts. 3ml post CISC.

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	 Unclear



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	GP can check renal function – OK n April 2021

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further on Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	- Uralagu S	Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	
	Ultrasound May 2019 – small residual
	August 2019 symptoms not bad. Follow up planned but ? not seen

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?	e Urology S	? Discharged



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Tom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	e Urology S	Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	AKI
Patient Summary	
	CT June 2020 Left PUJ Stent inserted Found to have Ca pancreas
	Died Personal Information reducted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Died
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS and scrotal swelling
Patient Summary	
	July 2019 ultrasounds – normal kidneys, small hydrocoeles
	Presumably discharged but no letter

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance of the current and the current best evidence and the current best evidence based practice, are any deviations	de Urology S	N/A ervices Inquiry.



	rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Discharged
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	2 0	Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	on Urology S	Yes



	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Scrotal pain
Patient Summary	
	Ultrasound April 2019 shows left varicocoele
	Not followed up – no GP letter

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further	- Uralagu S	Yes



		<u> </u>
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	retsonal illumation reduced by the OSI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Metastatic renal cancer
Patient Summary	
	February 2020 large renal tumour with bone metastases Palliative radiotherapy + sunitinib + nivolumab but disease progressed
	Died Personal Information reducted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Recurrent UTI's
Patient Summary	
	June 2019 CT shows right renal scarring – no definite stone

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management of Dr. Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22 Appointed by the complete of the comple	a Healagu C	N/A



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



		I	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?		No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	CT February 2019 obstructing left ureteric stone Left URS
	Died

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis /		Yes
	diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if		
	diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations		
	and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement		
	for further investigations /		
	examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate?		N/A
	('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with		
	current best evidence based		
	practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and		
3	rationale fully noted?)		Diad
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently		Died
	in place?		
and to defe	('Secure Clinical Management	- 1 1 - 2 - 2 - 2	on too Insula



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Elevated PSA
Patient Summary	
,	February 2020 MRI PIRADS 2 PSAD 0.12 2021 MRI – no lesion seen

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	a Hraina: C	Yes



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)				
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken				

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	e Urology S	Yes Inquiry



		<u> </u>
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Loin pain
Patient Summary	Ultrasound April 2019 normal CT May 2019 normal

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Discharged



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)					
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken					

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further on Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	- Uralagu S	Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information reducted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	2009 referred with LUTS TURP November 2010 T1a Gleason 6 tumour Regular PSA checks since
	Now on annual review – Oct 2020 PSA 1.4

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	 Yes



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)				
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken				

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	e Urology S	Yes Inquiry



		<u>, </u>
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Metastatic breast cancer
	Bilateral stents – removed July 2019
	Died Personal Information reducted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?	e Urology S	N/A ervices Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Tom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	e Urology S	Yes



	_	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2004 T3b renal tumour CT January 2021 OK
	No concerns

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	 N/A



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)				
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken				

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	e Urology S	Yes Inquiry



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes	
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No	
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No	

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Haematuria
	SCC bladder April 2019
	Died Personal Information redacted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current		N/A



	patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	UTI
Patient Summary	Ultrasound February 2020 normal
	No follow-up
	No concerns

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information reducted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	August 2019 CT no upper tract cause Normal cystoscopy Referred for AAA

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Discharged
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



		- I	
	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?		No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	CT November 2017 large renal tumour January 2018 radical nephrectomy pT2b. Small lung lesions so referred to oncology Under review

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a	Linelanu C	Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal information reducted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Renal colic
Patient Summary	Long history of stones February 2019 CT left renal and ureteric stones Ureteroscopy April 2019 CT hydronephrosis resolved June 2019 bilateral stones and R ureteric stone June 2020 stone free No issues with management

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based		N/A



	practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by th	ne Urology S	Yes ervices Inquiry.



	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information reducted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting	
Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	TURBT January 2020 – pT2 G3 tumour. Unfit for further investigation or radical treatment
	Died Personal information redacted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management	 Died



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Flank pain
Patient Summary	Left renal colic. CT in October 2018 shows small stone with hydronephrosis
	KUB February 2019 – no stone Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
		Una Det	
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Recurrent UTI's
Patient Summary	
	July 2019 ultrasound normal
	Discharged November

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		
Received fr	management plan currently in place?	ne Urology S	ervices Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



	T	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	Ultrasound March 2020 normal Gleason 4+3 Ca prostate Radiotherapy

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
		γ Una Dete	
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	- Uralagy S	Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	February 2019 ultrasound 267ml residual October 2019 residual 64ml (on finasteride)

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further on Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	- Uralagu S	Yes



		<u> </u>
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No final letter to GP
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Epididymo-orchitis and haematuria
Patient Summary	CT June 2020 no upper tract lesion Normal cystoscopy Infection settled

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



		<u> </u>
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	November 2019 CT ? ureteric stone Stent inserted January 2020 procedure – no record

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	nă	Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a	Line Line (Control of the Control of	Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No record
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	Detrusor overactivity
	2018 cystoscopy and Botox – good result Awaiting further injections

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	 Yes



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further om Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	- Uralagu S	Yes



7	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2018 urgency treated with oxybutinin No review since No concern

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	 No



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further on Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	- Uralagu S	Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Suprapubic discomfort
	2017 urodynamics
	Fibroid uterus – referred to gynaecology

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	 No



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further	- Uralagu S	Yes



		<u>, </u>
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information reducted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Complex renal cyst – under Mr Young No AO'B record seen MRI – no concern

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	 Discharged



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further	- Uralagu S	Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Chronic retention TURP March 2015 – benign Satisfactory result No concern

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	a Hraina: C	Yes



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)				
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken				

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
		L D B	
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further on Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	oo Urology S	Yes



		<u>, </u>
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

2015 bilateral ureteric reimplantation and urethrotomy Started self catheterisation No concerns

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2 Received	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from an audications of the current and the current best evidence based practice, are any deviations of the current best evidence and the	de Urology S	N/A ervices Inquiry.



	rationale fully noted?)		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	on Urology S	Yes



	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
-	Hydrodistension 2017 for hypersensitive bladder No follow up by AO'B but OK at review in 2021

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3 eceived fro	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	e Urology S	Discharged ervices Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No follow up after 2017
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2015 PSA 21 Biopsies showed inflammation. PSA fell to 7.7 and 2.9 in 2016 2021 – LUTS – oral mediction

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Discharged
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
		γ Una Det	
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

2017 recurrent UTI's
Normal cystoscopy ?On CISC
Seen again in 2018 normal ultrasound
Reviewed in 2021 – general advice given
general advice given

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Discharged
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
		Un	
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if	Yes
	clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details	
	Personal Information redacted by the USI
Name	
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	
Patient Details	
Presenting	
Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	No record found

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm		
2	diagnosis / diagnoses?) Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management of Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	e Urology S	ervices inquiry



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		



	T	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Personal Information redacted by the USI Name Hand Name

H&C Number Date of Birth

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	NO letters in notes UTI in 2014- normal ultrasound

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		?
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	e Urology S	No ervices Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Unknown
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		



	T	ı	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)		
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)		
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?		No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	2017 LUTS
	?Chronic retention
	No upper tract dilatation
	OK in 2021. No concern

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	?
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2017 low bladder contractility Managing OK in 2021

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3 eceived fro	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	e Urology S	Discharged ervices Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Tom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	e Urology S	Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line	yes
	with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2019 intermittent haematuria Normal cystoscopy Under Mr Haynes for Ca prostate

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	 Yes



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)								
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken								

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further	- Uralagu S	Yes



7	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2017 RCC pT3b Clear on follow up to 2020 (due further scan in December 2021)

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	 Yes



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further on Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	- Uralagu S	Yes



7	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Saw AO'B in 2015 with incontinence Prescribed oxybutinin No concerns

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	Discharged



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)				
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken				

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further on Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	- Uralagu S	Yes



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	Seen for LUTS in 2011 and 2014 – no concern

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management on Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22 Appointed by the condition of the conditi	a Healagu C	? discharged



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



		1	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?		No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	2010 varicocoele
	2016 haematuria – normal investigations Placed on list for TURP

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	a Hraiaa: · C	



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	e Urology S	Yes Inquiry



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2014 LUTS and PSA 5.2 – large prostate On W/L for TURP since 2017

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	e Urology S	Yes ervices Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6 eceived f	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Tom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	ne Urology S	Yes ervices Inquiry.



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2006 Gleason 6 Ca prostate and lymphoma Radiotherapy 2010 ?Sclerotic bone lesions in 2013 LHRHan stopped in 2013. Well in 2017 PSA<0.01 No record of treatment after 2017 but no concern
	Died Personal Information redacted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance of the current and the current best evidence and the current	e Urology S	N/A ervices Inquiry.



	rationale fully noted?)	
	,,	
3	Is a secure clinical	
	management plan currently	
	in place?	
	('Secure Clinical Management	
	Plan' to consider if the current	
	patient treatment pathway is	
	optimal and in line with current	
	best evidence based practice	
	and guidance)	
	and gardenes,	
4	If there is not a secure	
	clinical management plan in	
	place please document	
	immediate actions required	
	to be taken	
	to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the		Yes



	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2018 TURP – benign
	OK in 2021
	No concern

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	 Discharged



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	ne Urology S	Yes Inquiry



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2014 Post micturition dribble 2017 normal urodynamics Discharged 2021 No concerns

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Discharged
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Referred with raised PSA but DNA'd on several occasions

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		



	T	T	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)		
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)		
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?		

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2013 urinary frequency 2014 Placed on list for cystoscopy but no letters
	2017 Hydrodistension
	2021 mild GSI Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
		Y , Una Dete	
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	Linelanu C	Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No follow up after 2017
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2018 non visible haematuria No AO'B record found

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Ultrasound appropriate
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	ne Urology S	?



		ı	I
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)		?
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)		No records
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?		

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2 X hydrodistensions in 2014, 2017 – mixed pattern incontinence Awaiting further urodynamics

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	 Yes



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further on Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	- Uralagu S	Yes



		<u> </u>
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No follow up after 2017
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2015 put on W/L for ureteroscopy Nothing done until 2021 when CT shows bilateral lower pole stones No treatment required

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Discharged
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
		Un	
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	On waiting list for over 6 years and procedure unnecessary
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	2017 psoas abscess drained
	No further letters until 2020 review – abscess has resolved
	Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Discharged
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
		γ Una Det	
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No follow up letters
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	No AO'B record found

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management)	



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	2011 pTa G2 TCC bladder
	Developed stricture
	Discharged 2017

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	Discharged



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	ne Urology S	Yes Inquiry



		<u>, </u>
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	2007 pTaG2 TCC bladder
	No MDT record
	NO MD1 record
	Discharged from routine follow up in 2017
	Died Personal Information redacted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2017 haematuria Normal bladder – prostate regrowth. Residual 220 Listed for TURP

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	 Yes



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	e Urology S	Yes envices Inquiry



		<u> </u>
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Intermediate risk Ca prostate on surveillance 2018 started bicalutamide 150 Referred for radiotherapy

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	 Yes



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further	- Uralagu S	Yes



		<u> </u>
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Longstanding distal urethral stricture Repeated dilatations until 2015 – nil since

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?	e Urology S	? discharged



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Tom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	e Urology S	Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2009 LUTS
	Doing well in 2016
	NO AO'B letters

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)				
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken				

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further om Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	- Uralagu S	Yes



7	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management	probably
	approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	probably
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No letters from AO'B
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2017 chronic retention ? listed for TURP at some stage Seen in 2021 – does not need surgery

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	 Yes



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)				
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken				

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		?
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further on Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	a Uralagu S	?



	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	?
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	Lack of letters after 2017
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2018 haemospermia Normal investigations Antibiotics

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	Discharged



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)						
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken						

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further on Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	- Uraleau S	Yes



		<u> </u>
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Cystoscopy 2006 for UTI's Several episodes since managed with antibiotics/D-mannose etc No concern

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	n ă	Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a	Line Line (Control of the Control of	Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2016 small stones ?+PUJ 2018 required stent for stone No further records ? has stent been removed

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	No
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		?
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a	Linelanu C	Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	?
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No letters after 2018
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	?No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	No AO'B records found

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management of the Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22 Appointed by the complete of the Clinical Management of the Clin	a Hraingu C	Yes



	Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		



	T	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2011 low volume Gleason 3+4 Ca prostate Started on bicalutamide 50mg PSA rise. MDM in 2014 suggested DXR – no record of this being discussed with patient On decapeptyl?
	No harm done but bicalutamide 50 inappropriate and should have been offered radiotherapy in 2014. Might then have avoided effects of ADT

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2 Received fr	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' 203/2000 ider bifut	e Urology S	N/A ervices Inquiry.



	prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	?
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		No
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by th	ne Urology S	Yes Inquiry

	('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	No
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	September 2016 hydrostatic bladder distension ? for pain but bladder capacity good 2017 no symptoms

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Discharged
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
		Un	
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	May 2016 bilateral hydronephroses Renogram – equal function, impaired drainage No record of any action since 2021 eGFR >60

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	?
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	No
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	γ Un Det	
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a	Line Line (Control of the Control of	



1		
	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	
L		

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 61	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	June 2012 Gleason 8/9 Ca prostate PSA 12 MDM recommends `ADT and DXR later Treated with bicalutamide 150 and later with leuprorelin Never referred for DXR

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based		N/A



	practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	un Un	Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is om Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the		Yes ervices Inquiry



	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Why not referred for radiotherapy?
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	Possibly

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal information reducted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	No AO'B record found
	Under Mr Haynes on 2019

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	o Urology S	Discharged



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Tom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	a Uralagy S	Yes



	1	1	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?		No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	2019 Right exophytic renal mass
	Adrenalectomy - phaeochromocytoma
	No correspondence on file
	·

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	 ?



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)				
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken				

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further		Yes



		<u> </u>
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No letters
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2018 right renal tumour Unfit for intervention
	Died Personal Information redacted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		
Received fr	management plan currently in place?	ne Urology S	ervices Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Tom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	e Urology S	Yes



	1	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Right AML 2018
	No change over 3 years

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	e Urology S	Discharged envices Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	2014 adenomatoid tumour of epididymis – post op haematoma

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	e Urology S	Discharged ervices Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No letter for 5 years!
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	April 2017 bilateral ureteric stents
	AO'B saw her in October 2017 and planned stent
	change in April 2018
	? removed but no record found
	Subsequent ultrasound scans all normal
	·

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance of the current and the current best evidence and the current	e Urology S	N/A



	rationale fully noted?)		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the		Yes



	consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Under Mr Young in 2019 for haematuria. Normal cystoscopy No AO'B record seen

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	 Dsicharged



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)					
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken					

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further	- Uralagu S	Yes



7	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2018 erectile dysfunction
	Normal MRI scan
	No follow up by AO'B but now under review

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	 Yes



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)			
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken			

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further rom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	e Urology S	Yes envices Inquiry



7	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information reducted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2018 cystitis and haematuria Normal cystoscopy No concern

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	 No



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further on Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	- Uralagu S	Yes



		<u> </u>
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2015 haematuria
	Normal investigations
	Treated for LUTS
	No follow up since 2017 but no concern

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A



3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	No
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a		Yes



7	requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor	
Date of Appointment		



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2014 LUTS and raised PSA – PSA normal in 2016 and after

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?	e Urology S	?Discharged



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Tom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	e Urology S	Yes



7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?	No

Name Krishna Sethia	
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	October 2018 raised PSA 7.1 (but variable) Prostate volume 29ml PSA fell to 4 2021- ca prostate Gleason 3+4 No harm

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with	N/A



	current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if		Yes



	diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	?
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	No AO'B letter found
	2019 non-visible haematuria

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?	e Urology S	ervices Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		



	T	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	?
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	?
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2017 haematuria
	Ultrasound, CT and cystoscopy all OK

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3 eceived fro	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	e Urology S	Discharged ervices Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Tom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	- United and	Yes



	_	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



Personal Information reducted by the USI UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	
	Tuberose sclerosis
	Renal AML under surveillance >11 years - stable

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3 eceived fro	Is a secure clinical management plan currently	e Urology S	Yes ervices Inquiry



	('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?) Tom Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	e Urology S	Yes



	T T	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2016 – recurrent UTI's
	Normal cystoscopy and ultrasound
	Frusemide/ amitriptyline

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	 ? discharged



	management plan currently in place? ('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)	
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken	

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? ('Appropriate' to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further om Dr Maria O'Kane on 29/03/22. Annotated by the	- Uralagu S	Yes



		<u> </u>
	investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)	
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)	Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) ('Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)	No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9)?	No

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

2013 LUTS. Normal cystoscopy. Tamsulosin Referred to London re ED

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)		N/A
3 eceived fro	Is a secure clinical management plan currently him place?	e Urology S	ervices Inquiry