

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2011 hypersensitive bladder. 2012 cystodistension Also AML embolised- monitored since and now stable

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2008 ureteric reflux. 28% function ?right kidney Prophylactic antibiotics 2016 no change in function – reflux resolved Infections since Under review

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i>		N/A

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	No AO'B letters

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		Yes

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Incontinence c2012 Resolved spontaneously No concern

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Discharged

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		?yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2015 LUTS and ED Treated with oral agents No concern

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Discharged
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Seen in 2016 and 2018 with LUTS attributed to overactivity Started tamsulosin in 2021

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 72	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>2012 Gleason 8 Ca prostate MDM recommended ADT ant TURP before DXR Started on bicalutamide 50 Radical radiotherapy 2014 with goserelin -stopped 2017</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		N/A

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Should have had radiotherapy earlier but no harm done
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2015 Peyronies disease Placed on list for surgical correction

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</p>		Yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2018 right small renal mass Under observation after MDM discussion 2021 – enlarged – under review

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2015 PSA 23.7 Gleason 3+4 Ca prostate 2016 Bicalutamide 150 Never offered radiotherapy as per original MDM plan

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes if followed by radiotherapy
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Failed to offer radiotherapy
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>2016 LUTS and larger right testis Ultrasound - hydrocoele</p> <p>Residual 17ml No further letters</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>	N/A	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2017 enuresis – Desmopressin No concern

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	TURP 2016 – benign 11 grams Post op meatal stenosis dilated

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	August 2017 placed on W/L for circumcision Biopsy 2021 – pT1a SCC Under surveillance

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>2009 haematuria – normal investigations No concern</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</p>		

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2010 swollen foreskin – resolved 2015 under Mr Young for renal calcification

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small> [REDACTED]	
H&C Number	[REDACTED]	
Date of Birth	[REDACTED]	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Vasectomy 2015 ? has not checked semen samples but clearly told so to do

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Haematuria in 2011/2012 – normal investigations No concerns

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Discharged

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>LUTS Haematuria in 2014 – normal investigations LUTS treated with Tamsulosin No concerns</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		N/A

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Discharged
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2016 circumcision and bladder distension (overactivity) Discharged 2021

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
3	Is a secure clinical		

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2007 DXR for Gleason 6 Ca prostate No MDM record 2015 radiation cystitis Colonic tumour 2019

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Probably
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>LUTS Poor bladder emptying. 2017 Combodart ? no follow up</p> <p>Now on list for TURP</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>	N/A	

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No follow up record after 2017
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	MS Incomplete bladder emptying Refused CISC so started on antibiotic prophylaxis

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
3	Is a secure clinical		Discharged

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Recurrent UTI's
Patient Summary	2018 ureteric stone found in bladder Renogram – reduced function left kidney and reflux No further AO'B letters

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No letters after renography
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>2013 – T1a Gleason 6 Ca prostate Annual PSA monitoring</p> <p>Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</p>		N/A

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2018 right pyelonephritis Ultrasound OK

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Discharged

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small> [Redacted]	
H&C Number	[Redacted]	
Date of Birth	[Redacted]	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>BOO and DO 2016 On oral medications. Placed on W/L for TURP in 2017</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical</p>		Still on waiting list

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>Concern about cancer</p> <p>Normal testis ultrasound</p> <p>DNA'd follow up</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical</p>		Discharged

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>2017 urinary infection - preputial adhesion Normal ultrasound No concern</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical</p>		Discharged

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	DNAd appointment in 2019 Testis ultrasound OK

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		No

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2016 visible haematuria – normal investigations Since then LUTS under review No concerns

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2017 mildly hypersensitive bladder No record since

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		No

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>Hypersensitive small bladder Cystodistension in 2014 UTI's 2016 Anticholinergics</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>	N/A	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Discharged 2021
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	March 2018 acute epididymitis Treated with antibiotics Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		

	<p>management plan currently in place? (<i>Secure Clinical Management Plan</i> to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? (<i>Appropriate</i> to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>Appropriate</i> to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>Reasonable</i> to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2018 LUTS but not bothered. AO'B started alfuzosin Under review

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>Chronic retention Painful bladder on Elmiron (reviewed in 2020 and to continue) Hydrodistension 2015 Periods of CISC for incomplete emptying</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		N/A

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>Parkinsons. Main symptoms irritative Botox caused retention 2016 TURP (3 grams) – T1a Ca prostate Gleason 6 Active surveillance On CISC</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		?
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		N/A

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		AO”B arranged UDS but no record of results seen
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		?

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Unclear
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Possibly

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>October 2006 TURP No improvement of symptoms afterwards Urodynamics showed overactive bladder</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Unclear
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<p>No record of flow rate Was TURP really indicated?</p>
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<p>N/A</p>
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<p>Yes</p>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		?
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>2016 irritative bladder symptoms 2018 DNA'd – no letters since</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</p>		No

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		?
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small> [Redacted]	
H&C Number	[Redacted]	
Date of Birth	[Redacted]	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>1999 pT1G2 TCC bladder No recurrences Biopsies in 2015 – no tumour Urethral stricture – self-dilatation</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Discharged
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2017 placed on list for bladder neck resection Should be reviewed before proceeding

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes but may have hypocontractile bladder
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		?Yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		?Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		?
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2018 Retractable testis for orchidopexy Mother cancelled and did not attend follow-up

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Thought to have renal mass but not seen on CT so discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Discharged

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	TURP 2018 – benign No concerns

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Discharged

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 108	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2015 Partial nephrectomy – benign oncocytoma Incisional hernia No concerns

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2016 bladder stones and large prostate -placed on waiting list for litholapaxy and TURP – has not had this yet

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		?

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Retention
Patient Summary	Investigated by AO'B in 2016 and placed on list for TURP

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>2018 ureteric stone passed spontaneously No concern</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</p>		Discharged

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2017 frequency and incontinence improved with medication No problems since

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Discharged

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2019 epididymo-orchitis (No contact with AO'B in records)

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</p>		No

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2017 stable complex renal cyst Small stone unchanged in 2019

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Discharged

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 54	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>Ca prostate 2010. Gleason 3+4. No MDM discussion. M0 disease</p> <p>No radiotherapy due to comorbidities. On Zoladex since 2010</p> <p>Gastric cancer</p> <p>Died Personal Information redacted by the USI</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>		N/A

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Possibly but disease M0
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Possibly did not need LHRH an
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Possibly

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2014 TURP (6 grams) – indication unclear 2016 stricture and DO further TURP (1 gram) 2021 continuing symptoms had further urodynamics in 2017 but no record seen

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		?

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		?
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2017 left varicocoele – listed for surgery but not yet undertaken

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		?

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2018 acute retention Successful TWOC and on Combodart No concern

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Discharged

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		?
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>2017 LUTS urodynamics show hypocontractile bladder Listed for TURP</p> <p>?Should review to ensure that is the right approach</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		N/A

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		?
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>2015 cauda equina syndrome. Reduced bladder emptying and ED NO AO'B correspondence after June 2016 OK in 2021</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>	N/A	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No clinic correspondence after 2016
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2018 small renal lesion Active surveillance by AO'B

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Urethrotomy 2012 2017 flimsy stricture UDS mild DO 2021 well

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Discharged
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>Solitary kidney Detrusor overactivity Under AO'B in 2019 with infection – antibiotics Under review Renal function OK</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		N/A

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 126	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>2012 T2 N0 M0 Gleason 9 Ca prostate November started bicalutamide 50mg. Increased in 2013 Radiotherapy 2015</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>	N/A	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		No Bicaultamide 50mg incorrect
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Radiotherapy delayed by 2 years
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Probably not

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Longstanding LUTS (under Mr Young)

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		Yes

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2017 epididymitis treated with antibiotics No concerns

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Discharged?

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Urinary frequency Hydrostatic distensions in 2011 and 2016 No improvement Under review now

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No follow up after 2016
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Seen by AO'B in 2014 with LUTS but no letter Discharged in 2017 as OK

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Possibly
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2016 enuresis treated with desmopressin/ anticholinergics

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Bilateral AML's Previous embolization attempt

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		No

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>Haematuria 2018 – urethral caruncle Saw AO'B January 2020 - referred on No concerns</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical</p>		Yes

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>R nephrectomy for reflux 2004 Detrusor overactivity – Botox in 2021 Recurrent UTI's 2021 left renal stone No letters between 2017 and 2021 so difficult to assess</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i></p>		N/A

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Maybe

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		?
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No letters
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small> [REDACTED]	
H&C Number	[REDACTED]	
Date of Birth	[REDACTED]	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2015 detrusor overactivity Treated with Botox OK on 2021 No concerns

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2018 epididymo-orchitis 2021 scan OK No concerns

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Discharged

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2017 visible haematuria Normal cystoscopy and CT Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Discharged

	<p>management plan currently in place? (<i>Secure Clinical Management Plan</i> to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? (<i>Appropriate</i> to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>Appropriate</i> to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>Reasonable</i> to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2018 scrotal swelling. Normal ultrasound Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Discharged

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Spina bifida Ileocystoplasty in 1995 Well in 2021 No concern

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>2012 thought to have urethral stricture No record of any surgery but in 2021 noted to be awaiting further surgery</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical</p>		Yes

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No record of any operations
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>LUTS</p> <p>Apparently investigated in 2012 but no records</p> <p>No concerns</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical</p>		

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2016 LUTS. Combodart 2019 on waiting list for TURP

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		?
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2018 left ureteric stone – passes spontaneously Ultrasound 2019 normal

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Discharged

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2018 left ureteric stone – passes spontaneously Ultrasound 2019 normal

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Discharged

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>On CISC for longstanding back injury Cystoscopies when in difficulty No concern</p>
	<p>Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical</p>		

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Renal stones Awaiting treatment since 2015 and one stone larger in 2021

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Long delay for treatment
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2017 visible haematuria CT very small stones. Normal cystoscopy 2018 CT – stones unchanged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Discharged

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	No urology notes found

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i></p>		

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		?
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	No urological records found

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>2016 coincidental right hydronephrosis. Normal urter on CT</p> <p>Renogram impaired drainage, 37% function on right</p> <p>2017 AO'B recommended ureteroscopy</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Unclel what diagnosis was made
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		N/A

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		No
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		No Should have had repeat renogram to check no deterioration of function
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		?
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Unknown

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>2017 UTI's in pregnancy Some ongoing LUTS – now completely resolved</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</p>		Discharged

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>Left renal mass – slow increase in size since 2015 – not fit for surgery</p> <p>Chronic retention 2015</p> <p>TURP (8 grams) – incontinent after – overactive detrusor</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		N/A

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2018 ureteroscopy for stones. Small residual fragments in kidney 2019 CT small stones 2021 marginal increase in size

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 159	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2016 bladder distension despite normal capacity and 120ml residual

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	No	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		No
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		No

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		?
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		?

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2019 bladder washout (Mr Young) Discharged No AO'B record found

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		? yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2017 Peyronies disease Improved by 2021

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Discharged

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2018 urethral caruncle Oestrogen cream Later treated by gynaecologists

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Possibly
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>Saw AO'B in 2013 for pneumaturia – no cause found 2014 UDS showed ?DO Saw AO'B in 2016 – not on any drugs. Managing No letters since</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>	N/A	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		? discharged
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Few letters but probably OK
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Under AO'B in July 2018 for pyelonephritis Not followed up as planned Saw Mr Haynes in 2021 – awaiting TURP

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No follow up as planned
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	UTI Placed on list for repeat TURP in 2015 Reviewed 2021 – remains on list

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2012 Non visible haematuria – investigations normal except cytology – biopsies normal LUTS No follow up letters after 2013

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2008 Gleason 3+5 Ca prostate Radiotherapy TURP in 2016 – no tumour No concern Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small> [Redacted]	
H&C Number	[Redacted]	
Date of Birth	[Redacted]	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2018 right ureteric stone – laser and stent Stent removed Under review No concern

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2006 LUTS and raised PSA 12.5 Put on Avodart and PSA in 2015 22.6 Not investigated

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		No

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		Needs PSA review

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		No
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		?
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Unknown

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2017 renal lesion on ultrasound not confirmed by CT – probable cyst Discharged although radiologist recommended repeat ultrasound No imaging since

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i>		N/A

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes but ? should have one follow up scan
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>LUTS 2011 TURP (4 grams) benign</p> <p>Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</p>		Discharged

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		?Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		?yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>2011 Gleason 9 Ca prostate T3 N0 M0 Decapeptyl and radiotherapy 2018 metastases</p> <p>TURP 2018 – did not void well after Chemotherapy 2020/2021</p> <p>Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		N/A

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>2012 T3 N0 Gleason 8 Ca prostate Radical radiotherapy 2014 – long delay after starting bicalutamide but no harm done</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		? delayed referral for radiotherapy but no harm
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2018 saw AO'B with LUTS. Treated with Tamsulosin No follow up record until 2021 when he was happy to continue medication

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Discharged

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 152	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>May 2018 Gleason 9 Ca prostate ?T3 MDM recommended radiotherapy September 2018 AO'B deferred radiotherapy because of hot flushes – referred in October Under review</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		N/A

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 152	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>May 2018 Gleason 9 Ca prostate ?T3 MDM recommended radiotherapy September 2018 AO'B deferred radiotherapy because of hot flushes – referred in October Under review</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		N/A

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Bladder outflow obstruction and overactivity 2016 urethral dilatation No review since

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		No

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Left megaureter and left renal stone 2017 recurrent UTI's – put on list for ureteroscopy No imaging since

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		?

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Should have had stone treated by now
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Yes
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		?

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2012 pTa G2 TCC bladder No recurrences Discharged ? 2017

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Discharged

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		?
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2007 ?urethral lesion but no biopsy Had TURP in 2008 – no record seen but OK in 2021

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Discharged

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		?
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2016 Erectile dysfunction Managed with PDE5 inhibitors No concern

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Discharged

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>2017 LUTS oral agents On W/L for circumcision</p> <p>Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical</p>		

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small> [REDACTED]	
H&C Number	[REDACTED]	
Date of Birth	[REDACTED]	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2018 nocturia - oxybutinin

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		No follow up

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2019 under Mr Glackin with haematuria Normal Ct, US and cystoscopy Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2018 visible haematuria Normal CT AO'B decided not to perform cystoscopy Further CT in 2020 on account of haematuria

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<p>No Should have had cystoscopy</p>
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<p>N/A</p>
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		?
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Possibly not

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2013 cystoscopy and biopsy – benign 2018 haematuria – normal investigations

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Discharged

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2015 urinary infection. Normal ultrasound Discharged on antibiotic prophylaxis Reviewed in 2021 ? being referred to children's hospital (persistent non visible haematuria)

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		?
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>Raised PSA – negative biopsies Placed on list for TURP in 2017 but on review in 2020 operation deferred Under review</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		N/A

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2016 dilated right renal pelvis CT - ? PUJ obstruction 48% function in 2013 and 51% in 2016 Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2015 T3 Gleason 4+3 Ca prostate MDM – for radical treatment- radiotherapy Under Mr Haynes

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	Personal Information redacted by the USI
Date of Birth	Personal Information redacted by the USI

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>Saw AO'B in 2018 – treated with anticholinergics for incontinence</p> <p>No review letters but OK in 2021</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical</p>		Discharged

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 29	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	BNI 2014 for DO and BOO – further investigation planned but no letters until reviewed in 2021 and referred for CISC

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		?
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Yes - no letters
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	No urology records found
	Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the UST</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2017 Retention. Failed TWOC x2 On list for TURP but then able to void Under investigation for ongoing symptoms

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2013 PT2a RCC Follow up CT scans OK

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.</i>)</p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) (<i>'Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists</i>)</p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Ureteric reflux 2015 2018 cystogram no reflux No concern

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Lost to F/U?

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	Presented in 2008 – managed with medications No concerns

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2018 haematuria under Mr Haynes and Mr Glackin

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		Discharged

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>November 2017 Gleason 3+4 Ca prostate December 2017 bone scan normal but MRI suggested M1 disease (later thought to be OK) August 2018 started bicalutamide 150 Radical radiotherapy No concern</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>		N/A

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>LUTS Urodynamics show detrusor overactivity AO'B placed on list for TURP in 2016</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	DO – yes	Unclear if he has obstruction
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>	N/A	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Unclear

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		? does he really need a TURP
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	LUTS Managed with alfuzosin – now stopped

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2006 LUTS and DO. Hydrodistension On mirabegron 2021 – symptoms well managed Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Discharged
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2017 non visible haematuria Small renal stone Follow-up ultrasounds OK

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		yes

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>MS on CISC since 2012 Referred for fertility treatment No concerns</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical</p>		Yes

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	December 2017 LUTS Ultrasound 48ml gland PSA stable in 2018

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Stress incontinence
Patient Summary	? Never saw AO'B – under JOD

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management</i>	Yes	

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2015 left ureteric stone extraction (AO'B) Left ureter clear in 2018 No concerns

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Discharged 2021

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 20	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2014 Gleason 4+3 T2b Ca prostate MDM recommended radical treatment May 2014 started on bicalutamide 150 No radiotherapy offered

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	No	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		No – should have been referred for radiotherapy
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		yes
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Possibly

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2018 – PSA 21 Biopsies – high volume Gleason 3+4 Treated with radiotherapy and ADT December 2020 PSA 0.2 Due review in December 2021

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small> [REDACTED]	
H&C Number	[REDACTED]	
Date of Birth	[REDACTED]	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Chronic cystitis 2016 cystodistension Off medication in 2021

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Discharged

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Thickened anterior bladder wall Cystoscopy and CT's OK Now awaiting repeat cystoscopy

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2016 AO'B diagnosed hypersensitive bladder Capacity 267ml Hydrodistension May 2016 – symptoms improved

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		?Discharged

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Hydrostatic distensions of bladder in 2014 and 2017 Not followed up but symptoms improved

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Discharged

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Hypersensitive bladder Botox 2016 Reviewed by Mr Glackin in 2021 No concerns

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2012 Renal stone passed spontaneously

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>	Discharged	

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Referred to AO'B in 2018 by oncology Diagnosis DO Not followed up

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		No

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	November 2018 LUTS, normal cystoscopy On medications

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Discharged

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2010 DXR for Ca prostate Relapse in 2017 – hormone treatment Ca bladder under Mr Glackin

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	May 2017 LUTS – for TURP No records since

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		?

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Saw AO'B in 2016 with bilateral hydrocoeles No record of any intervention

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Discharged

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2018 – haematuria – normal investigations No concern Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Discharged

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2019 under Mr Young for renal calcification No record of seeing AO'B

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>2019 LUTS – oral medication No concern</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>	N/A	
3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</p>		

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small> [REDACTED]	
H&C Number	[REDACTED]	
Date of Birth	[REDACTED]	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2018 non visible haematuria and urethral pain Normal investigations No concern

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Discharged

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2015 detrusor overactivity + GSI – on medication Awaiting Botox

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		No

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2018 mild phimosis – no action

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		Yes

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>MS with suprapubic catheter Botox in 2016 Several blockages 2012 CT mild left hydronephrosis with VUJ stone Kidney became atrophic by 2013 – unclear whether seen by urologist in 2012/2103 First AO'B record in 2015</p> <p>Under review now</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes

2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		No
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		No (in 2012)
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A

6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		Possibly



Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2011 LUTS 2012 epididymal cysts No concerns

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Discharged

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small> [REDACTED]	
H&C Number	[REDACTED]	
Date of Birth	[REDACTED]	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Urethral strictures Self catheterising in 2013 DNA'd later in year Recurrent UTI's Ultrasound 2017 OK No plan

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>		N/A

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		No
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No letters from AO’B after 2013
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	BNI 2007 Retention 2017 – successful TWOC No concerns Died Personal Information redacted by the USI

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2019 penile pain. Resolved and normal genitalia on examination Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Discharged

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2016 haematuria. Normal cystoscopy AO"B recommended TURP Now under BCH with stones

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small> [Redacted]	
H&C Number	[Redacted]	
Date of Birth	[Redacted]	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>June 2017 haematuria – normal ultrasound 6/40 pregnant No evidence of cystoscopy</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>	N/A	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Discharged
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2017 haematuria – normal investigations apart from small renal stone

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Discharged

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>2007-2009 UTI's. previous ureterolysis. On CISC No problems since</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical</p>		Discharged

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>2017 ultrasound showed complex renal cyst. Confirmed as simple cysts by CT No action needed</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical</p>		Discharged

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes