

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 149	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>Chance finding of prostate lesion on PET scan Started on bicalutamide July 2018 before biopsy Biopsy no tumour</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Died Personal Information redacted by the USI</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>	N/A	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<p>Prostate biopsies may not have been necessary</p>
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<p>No</p>
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		<p>Yes</p>

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		No – should have awaited biopsy result before starting bicalutamide (if really necessary)
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	November 2017 Gleason 3+4 Ca prostate Brachytherapy

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2017 ureteric stones URS and ESWL Remans under stone team

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>2012 Gleason 4+3 Ca prostate MDM recommended surveillance Repeat biopsy 2018 Gleason 3+4 Remains under surveillance</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		N/A

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		No
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Seen by Mr O'Donoghue in 2019 for bleeding and mixed incontinence No record from AO'B found

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Discharged

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2018 ureteric injury during hysterectomy – ureter reimplanted. Stent removed No concern

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		DNA'd follow up

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		?
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 111	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>July 2017 placed on W/L for TURP Earlier in year PSA's of 9.1 and 8.7 2021 metastatic prostate cancer (PSA 370)</p> <p>Suggest review this case - should Ca prostate have been diagnosed earlier</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes

2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<p>No – PSA should have been monitored in 2017 and after and investigated when it continued to rise</p>
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A

6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		No
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		Possibly



Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>2015 haematuria and worsening LUTS Had TURP in 2020 (Mr Gray) Discharged</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical</p>		Discharged

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 31	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>Gleason 4+£ Ca prostate 2011 MDM recommended radical treatment Started on bicalutamide 50 – side effects 2014 radiotherapy</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		N/A

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		No
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Should have been referred for radiotherapy in 2011
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Side effects of androgen blockade for ? 2 years No effect on prognosis

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>2017 saw AO'B with detrusor overactivity No follow up letters</p> <p>Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</p>		

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No follow up arranged after 2017
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>Circumcision for BXO 2015 2017 right renal stone. CT showed bilateral small renal stones Calcium normal in 2016</p> <p>2021 renal ultrasound OK</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Discharged
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>TURP 2006 Non visible haematuria and abnormal cytology Small renal stone – no change on further CT Discharged</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Discharged
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2016 placed on list for bladder diverticulectomy Should review rationale before proceeding

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		?
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal information redacted by the USI</small> [REDACTED]	
H&C Number	[REDACTED]	
Date of Birth	[REDACTED]	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>2018 ureteric colic – stone passed</p> <p>Small renal lesion</p> <p>2021 scan ? enlarged – awaiting CT scan</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>	N/A	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes in 2018
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Should have had repeat scan before 2021
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>2016 LUTS – AO'B listed for TURP 2019 symptoms improved</p> <p>Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</p>		

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	2014 TURP and Botox – indication not documented 2020 symptoms recurred – under review

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		No information before 2014

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		?
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	No letters found but seen by AO'B (? when) with UTI's 2021 letter says being followed up in Altnagelvin

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Discharged

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>2014 on list for Botox Not performed. Removed from list in 2019</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</p>		

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Probably
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No 2014 letters
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 24	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Bladder neck stenosis after radical prostatectomy and radiotherapy 2016 UDS show DO and obstruction – oxybutinin Under review by Mr Haynes

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>2017 ureteroscopy Had subsequent surgery at Altnagelvin</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical</p>		Discharged

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Abdominal pain
Patient Summary	<p>Admitted May 2019 CT showed R renal mass Planned nephrectomy but in September admitted with frontal cerebral lesion and subarachnoid haemorrhage. Underwent resection of brain metastasis. Started sunitinib Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>	Yes	
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>	N/A	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		N/A
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		AO’B letter from clinic on 16/08/19 not dictated until 13/09/19 so pt not referred for nephrectomy but this was probably fortuitous
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Iron-deficiency anaemia
Patient Summary	<p>Multifocal bladder tumour April 2019 Resected May 2019 pTa G2 Letter says discussed at MDM on 30/05/19 but no MDM record Intravesical mitomycin course Recurrence February 2020 – still pTa December 2020 cystoscopy delayed due to Covid</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>		

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No (Not clear if he was discussed at MDM in May 2019 but correct treatment given)
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Bladder outflow obstruction
Patient Summary	May 2019 TURP – T1a Gleason 3+3 carcinoma MDM – for PSA surveillance February 2021 PSA 0.8

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		Yes

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	April 2019 TURBT pTa Small recurrence diathermised in December 2020 Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current</i>		N/A

	<i>best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?		Yes

	<i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</p> <p><i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Renal colic
Patient Summary	January 2020 2.5cm right renal lesion (1cm in 2011) MRI August 2020 – 2.2cm tumour On surveillance

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	<p>Haematuria November 2019 Cystoscopy February 2020 showed small lesion Resected April 2020 – benign For CT scan to check on abdominal glands</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 66	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Lower urinary tract symptoms
Patient Summary	PSA 33 Negative bone scan AO'D considering TURP – poor diabetic control therefore started bicalutamide 50mg daily instead

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes (i.e. he is now off bicalutamide)
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		Yes

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		No No indication to start bicalutamide and incorrect dose
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Ca prostate was a reasonable diagnosis There was no strong reason to consider a TURP (and he now does not need one)

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<p>No It was right to improve his diabetic control. Should not have started bicalutamide.</p>
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<p>No</p>
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		<p>No</p>

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Anaemia
Patient Summary	Bladder tumour resected November 2019 pT1G2 (high risk) Reresection February 2020 pTa G2 BCG delayed due to Covid Recurrence December 2020 pTa Planned further BCG

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		Yes

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	June 2011 pT1 G2 bladder tumour November 2013 TURP Gleason 3+3 tumour September 2015 Gleason 3+4 tumour Radiotherapy and ADT September 2017 Bladder remains clear PSA 0.2

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>		Yes

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Testicular swelling
Patient Summary	<p>January 2020 – testicular swelling February 2020 inguinal orchiectomy Adenocarcinoma of rete testis Discussed at MDT 20/02/2020 Referred to oncology</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	<p>February 2019 haematuria. PSA 10.8 November 2019 TURP Gleason 3+4 tumour Clinic 20.12.19 – letter dictated 28.01.20 – MRI to be requested after urodynamic studies April 2020 MRI ?T3a disease July 2020 started ADT and referred for radiotherapy – treatment delayed by Covid</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Y	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>	Y	Yes

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Delay in requesting MRI scan after November 2019 MDM
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	October 2018 haematuria 27 November – bladder biopsies benign

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		Yes

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	2015 – TURBT pT1a G1 December 2018 recurrence also pTa Clear in 2020

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Patient 7</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Renal tumour chance finding on ultrasound
Patient Summary	June 2016 2.5cm lesion Very slow increase in size since 2018 ?No change between 13/11/19 and 19/10/20 Radical nephrectomy November 2020 pT1a tumour

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<p>Borderline decision as to whether to perform nephrectomy but was discussed at MDM</p>
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<p>No</p>
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		<p>Now has stage 3 CKD but probably inevitable</p>

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	<p>Clinically malignant prostate 2015. Not fit for radical treatment. PSA observed.</p> <p>Increasing symptoms and skeletal metastases 2020. Started on bicalutamide.</p> <p>MDM discussions in 2015 and 2020</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>	Yes	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 2	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Testicular pain
Patient Summary	<p>June 2019 - ultrasound showed tumour 10.07.19 Left orchiectomy – classical seminoma Staged as pT1</p> <p>Referred to oncology in August 2019</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		Yes
3	<p>Is a secure clinical</p>		Yes

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Ultrasound finding of renal tumour
Patient Summary	<p>3cm lesion left kidney August 2017</p> <p>Learning difficulties</p> <p>Opted for surveillance</p> <p>Initially stable but July 2019 noted increase in size</p> <p>MDM recommended cryoablation – performed in February 2020</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		N/A

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		No Cannot find any follow up pan following cryoablation
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		Further ultrasound or CT scan

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No but needs follow-up

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Acute retention
Patient Summary	TURP July 2019 – Gleason 3+4 carcinoma Active surveillance

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Trauma
Patient Summary	Blunt trauma April 2019 – left renal cyst noted July 2019 – smaller MRI January 2020 – no worrying features Ultrasound 2021 – simple cysts

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Not needed

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		AO’B clinic letter of 20/09/19 not dictated until 12/11/19
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	<p>CT January 2019 showed large left renal tumour into IVC</p> <p>08.04.19 Nephrectomy pT3b</p> <p>Follow-up CT scans clear to date</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical</p>		Yes

	<p>management plan currently in place? (<i>Secure Clinical Management Plan</i> to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? (<i>Appropriate</i> to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>Appropriate</i> to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>Reasonable</i> to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	



UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	<p>October 2018 PSA 8.1 Biopsies January 2019 showed Gleason 4+3 Discussed at MDM Treated with radiotherapy and brachytherapy boost</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		Yes

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Acute retention with AKI
Patient Summary	Retention May 2020 eGFR 28 Cystoscopy – extensive bladder tumour G3 pT1 MDM – not fit for cystectomy, supportive care only

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Loin pain/LUTS
Patient Summary	<p>January 2020 PSA 31 MRI rT3a N0 February 2020 biopsies Gleason 4+3 27 February MDM recommended bone scan and further discussion Bone scan no metastases July 2020 started bicalutamide 150mg Referred for radiotherapy – completed November</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if</i></p>		Yes

	<i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?		Yes

	<i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	<p>January 2020 PSA 19.7 March 2020 biopsies – Gleason 4+4 tumour April – started bicalutamide 150mg Radiotherapy completed September 2020</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</p>		Yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Epidiymitis
Patient Summary	TURBT March 2019 pTa tumour MDM for surveillance Clear in October 2020

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current</i>		Yes

	<i>patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal information redacted by the UST</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>December 2017 pT1G3 TCC bladder MDM February 2018 recommended BCG February 2019 clear August 2019 tumour recurrence November 2019 resected – pT2 + Cis Radiotherapy (not fit for surgery) Cystoscopy October 2020 clear</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	<p>November 2019 haematuria. CT scan showed complex right renal cyst. Biopsies unclear MDM 12/12/19</p> <p>MRI January 2020 showed metastatic disease to spine. Biopsies confirmed renal cell carcinoma. Cyto-reductive nephrectomy February 2020 Under oncologists</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable'</i> to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate'</i> to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>)</p>		Yes

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Bladder heamatoma
Patient Summary	Transferred from gynaecology 30/07/19 TURBT – invasive G3 TCC bladder MDM 15/08/19 – palliative care
	Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		N/A

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	June 2019 haematuria Polypoid bladder lesions resected August 2019 Histology pTa G2 TCC MDM discussion Course of mitomycin given

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	Yes	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Incidental renal tumour
Patient Summary	<p>1.5cm renal tumour Discussed at MDM – for CT surveillance Minimal increase in size on last scan – MDM recommended continuing scans Due next scan August 2021</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	PSA 5.3 TRUS biopsy January 2014 – Gleason 6 Repeat biopsies no tumour Active surveillance June 2016 PSA rise to 8.2 MRI - no tumour progression TURP November 2019 for symptoms. Histology no tumour. PSA 3 Remains on surveillance

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>		

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	TCC bladder
Patient Summary	Ta TCC bladder 1996 Recurrences in 2012 and 2019 managed with intravesical chemotherapy

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	2012 PSA 9.4 TRUS biopsies benign PSA rechecked October 2013 – 10.8 May 2015 PSA 15.2 September 2019 PSA 24.9 MRI PIRADS 3 lesion February 2020 biopsies 13/17 adenocarcinoma Gleason 3+4 Started bicalutamide 150mg MDM 02/07/20 Referred for radiotherapy

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes

6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<p>Yes</p>
7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<p>Patient should not have been discharged from urological follow up in 2015</p>
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<p>Clinic letter of 11/11/19 dictated on 24/12/19</p> <p>5 month delay in MDM discussion after biopsies in February 2020 – treatment started but should have had earlier referral to oncology</p>
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		<p>Probably not</p>

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	PSA 11 Biopsies December 2019 – Gleason 5+4 tumour Started on bicalutamide 150mg Not referred for radiotherapy until March 2020 – then Covid delays Radiotherapy completed January 2021

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>		Yes

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Should have been referred for radiotherapy in December 2019 but Covid would have intervened and delayed treatment. The delayed referral therefore had no effect. Has now had radiotherapy so no harm done
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	CKD3
Patient Summary	Finding of 3cm renal tumour on CT in December 2018 MDT- January 2019 – conservative management Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice)</i>		N/A

	<i>and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		Yes

	<i>clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</p> <p><i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	2011 haematuria – investigations normal 2018 non-visible haematuria – normal investigations No further action (no cancer, no MDM)

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		N/A

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	<p>October 2019 haematuria November 2019 flexible cystoscopy – multifocal tumour Resected December 2019 pTaG2 Discussed at MDM Further resection March 2020 Planned mitomycin delayed by Covid</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes

2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		
3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<p>Not clear. Should have had mitomycin last summer and be booked (in any case) for cystoscopy in October but I cannot see that these have been done</p>
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		<p>Needs check cystoscopy if not done since March 2020</p>

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<p>Yes until March 2020</p>
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		

<p>6</p>	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<p>Yes</p>
<p>7</p>	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<p>Yes</p>
<p>8</p>	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<p>Not until July 2020</p>
<p>9</p>	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		<p>Possibly if she has not been seen since March 2020</p>

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	August 2018 pTa TCC bladder Discussed at MDM Recurrence June 2019 – for MMC

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i>		Yes

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	<p>April 2017 PSA 9.4 MRI suggested tumour</p> <p>June 2017 started bicalutamide 50mg</p> <p>August 2019 – TURP , focus of Gleason 4+3 disease</p> <p>MDM – for surveillance. Stop bicalutamide</p> <p>October 2020 PSA 6.3</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>	Yes	
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>	Yes	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		No
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		Should have regular PSA checks (may be in hand but not clear)

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		No Incorrect to start bicalutamide in 2017
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Should have remained on surveillance only
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small> <div style="background-color: black; width: 100%; height: 20px;"></div>	
H&C Number	<div style="background-color: black; width: 100%; height: 20px;"></div>	
Date of Birth	<div style="background-color: black; width: 100%; height: 20px;"></div>	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	<p>2008 haematuria – found to have multifocal TCC TaG2 received mitomycin No MDT discussion until 2010 Several recurrences since – all pTa Several MMC treatments</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		Yes

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	February 2019 PSA 276 Bone scan – superscan Started on degarelix Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice)</i>		N/A

	<i>and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes – notes not clear but probably OK
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		Yes

	<i>clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</p> <p><i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 64	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	<p>2013 PSA 13.3 Treated with finasteride – PSA 7.8 in May 2015 January 2017 PSA 12 August 2018 PSA 27.7 Biopsies (and TURP) November 2018 -Gleason 6 MDM recommended surveillance Post-op PSA 22.7 Bone scan abnormal but not typical of metastases Biopsies April 2019 Gleason 4+3 Started bicalutamide 150mg Radiotherapy completed January 2020</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement</i></p>		Yes

	<i>for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous</i>		Yes

	<i>review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		No – A PSA of 27 on finasteride in August 2018 was not compatible with a diagnosis of Gleason 6 cancer. He had high risk disease at that time
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Should have had biopsies in May 2015 at the latest Should have had surgery or radiotherapy in 2015
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Probably not

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	Bladder lesion at cystoscopy December 2019 Histology benign Check cystoscopy September 2020 clear

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	Bladder TCC 2005 Recurrence October 2018 pTa G2 Similar recurrence March 2019 Clear in July 2019 Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current</i>		N/A

	<i>best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?		

	<i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</p> <p><i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small> [REDACTED]	
H&C Number	[REDACTED]	
Date of Birth	[REDACTED]	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	AKI due to dehydration
Patient Summary	<p>Admitted September 2019 PSA 3.9 but abnormal prostate MRI T2 Biopsies in November showed Gleason 3+4 disease Saw AO'B on 20 December (letter dictated 26/01/20) – agreed surveillance Saw AO'B again 07/02/20 – letter dictated 30/03/20 – continue surveillance PSA October 2020 2.1</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with</i></p>		N/A

	<i>current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Not clear
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		Needs ?GP follow up of PSA

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		Yes

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Letters delayed (see above)
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	May 2019 TURBT pTa G2 On cystoscopic follow up

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		Yes

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Ca prostate
Patient Summary	Prostate cancer 2003 (Dublin) Abiraterone since 2017 TURP July 2019 Disease continues to progress – under oncology

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Reduced renal function and UTI
Patient Summary	<p>CT March 2020 showed mass in left kidney upper pole</p> <p>April 2020 left nephrectomy pT3a Liebovich 5</p> <p>On surveillance</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		
3	<p>Is a secure clinical</p>		Yes

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Non-visible haematuria
Patient Summary	<p>May 2019 – non visible haematuria. Normal ultrasound. Cystoscopy in September – stricture and red area in bladder</p> <p>Planned repeat cystoscopy in November deferred due to hip surgery</p> <p>Admission in March 2020 delayed due to Covid</p> <p>Cystoscopy November 2020 showed red patch</p> <p>Biopsies March 2021 showed no tumour</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if</i></p>		N/A

	<i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes but with significant delays
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?		Yes

	<i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		AO’B clinic letter from 19 November not dictated until 02/02/20 Repeat cystoscopy in 2020 delayed – partly attributable to Covid but unreasonable for it to have taken 18 months from diagnosis of red patch (September 2019) to biopsies in March 2021.
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 102	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Back pain
Patient Summary	<p>August 2019 PSA 80. MRI rT3a Biopsies Gleason 4+3 – high volume MDM 28.11.19 Bicalutamide 150 started in December 2019 – converted to MAB in July 2020 due to PSA rise Referred for radiotherapy – completed in November 2020</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>	Yes	
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>	Yes	

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes (but see below)
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		No – should have started ADT in November 2019 and been referred for radiotherapy then
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Yes – delay in referral to oncology
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Probably not

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Rising PSA
Patient Summary	<p>June 2018 PSA 9.5 Biopsies benign June 2019 PSA 15.8 TURP – Gleason 4+3 tumour 10% PSA fell to 2.6 but in June 2020 rose to 8 Bicalutamide 150mg started June 2020 Radiotherapy planned August 2020 – completed in December 2020</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if</i></p>		N/A

	<i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?		Yes

	<i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	2015 Carcinoma in situ bladder – BCG February 2016 pT1 G3 recurrence Patient not keen on cystectomy 2017 invasive tumour – referred for radiotherapy AKI July 2019 – bilateral nephrostomies Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		N/A

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 112	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Renal mass on CT
Patient Summary	<p>Follicular lymphoma treated with chemotherapy but mass enlarged</p> <p>MDM suggested renal biopsy but decision was for nephrectomy instead. Found to have pT3a renal cell carcinoma</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	pT3b renal cell cancer 2002
Patient Summary	<p>Nephrectomy 2002</p> <p>2017 soft tissue recurrence then ? pancreatic and lung mets</p> <p>Observed and biopsies in 2020 showed metastatic RCC</p> <p>Under observation (declined TKI's)</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>)</p>		Yes

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Renal lesion
Patient Summary	Longstanding renal lesion ? hamartoma ? carcinoma No change in size. For continued surveillance

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>	Yes	

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Right renal lesion on ultrasound
Patient Summary	November 2019 2.2cm right renal lesion MDM review December 2019 Renal biopsy February 2020 – low grade carcinoma Lesion ablated October 2020 Under CT review

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 69	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	<p>CT November 2019 – bladder tumour and right hydronephrosis ? ureteric tumour 27.11.19 TURBT and stent , tumour in right ureter– pTa G2 Renogram shows non-functioning Right kidney 05.03.20 MDM recommends right nephroureterectomy June 2020 -emergency TURBT for bleeding July 2020 nephroureterectomy -pTa 4 tumours Further bladder tumours February 2021</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes

2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes

6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<p>Long delay between diagnosis of right ureteric tumour in November 2019 and eventual nephroureterectomy in July 2020 MDM plan of 6 December 2019 not logical</p>
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		<p>May have avoided June 2020 emergency admission Prognosis of his tumour probably not affected</p>



Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Right renal colic
Patient Summary	<p>May 2017 renal colic. CT showed hydronephrosis with 2x ureteric masses.</p> <p>Biopsy August 2017 + MDM discussion</p> <p>Nephroureterectomy November 2017 (delayed due to cardiac status) two pTaG2 tumours</p> <p>Check cystoscopy – November 2018 clear</p> <p>Multifocal recurrence December 2019</p> <p>September 2020 bladder clear</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>)</p>		

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Altered bowel motions
Patient Summary	Chance finding of 1cm renal lesion on CT Annual surveillance – lesion 2cm in August 2020 Unchanged on scan in March 2021

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		Yes

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	<p>April 2017 6cm bladder mass TURBT – high grade pT1 Re-resection pTa Course of BCG given November 2017 further TURBT – pTaG2 Patient opted for further BCG October 2018 extensive recurrence - high grade Chest CT showed no metastases Further recurrence December 2018 March 2019 cystoprostatectomy July 2019 metastatic carcinoma in lung Chemotherapy Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with</i></p>		N/A

	<i>current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		N/A
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		Yes

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	July 2019 TURP T1a Gleason 4+3 carcinoma PSA 1.1 MDM recommended surveillance October 2020 PSA 0.9 Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current</i>		N/A

	<i>best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?		Yes

	<i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</p> <p><i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	<p>10/02/19 Prostate biopsies – Gleason 9 carcinoma Bone and lung metastases 21/02/19 Started decapeptyl Not suitable for chemotherapy Died</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>	Yes	
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>	N/A	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Left renal tumour
Patient Summary	September 2019 - 22cm lesion stable in size for 5 years For continuing surveillance

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Painless jaundice
Patient Summary	January 2020 – acute admission Chance finding of 2cm renal tumour Surveillance – no change in size

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		Yes

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	UTI
Patient Summary	<p>Radiotherapy for Gleason 4+3 tumour in 2011 Biochemical failure 2014 – on Zoladex Rising PSA January 2019 added Casodex 50mg June 2019 – bilateral hydronephrosis. Bilateral stents inserted TURP July 2019 – Gleason 5+4 Enzalutamide December 2020 Last stent change November 2020</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>	Yes	
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with</i></p>	Yes	

	<i>current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		Yes

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	September 2019 TURP T1a Gleason 6 cancer MDM in October recommended surveillance Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		N/A

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Metastatic colon cancer
Patient Summary	2014- 2cm renal lesion 2019 increased in size to 3cm Remains under surveillance

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria / retention
Patient Summary	<p>Cystoscopy November 2019 – inflamed bladder, poor view</p> <p>January 2020 Invasive bladder cancer pT1G3</p> <p>Reresection February – benign</p> <p>Further haematuria and resection – pT2</p> <p>Palliative radiotherapy</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	December 2014 haematuria January 2015 TURBT pTa G2 TCC No recurrence since Abnormal cytology 2019 but investigations normal

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	<p>Referred April 2019 TURBT May 2019 - pTa G2 MDM 30.05.19 Course of mitomycin (stopped due to allergic reaction) No recurrence since</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		N/A

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 37	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Rising PSA
Patient Summary	<p>December 2017 PSA 8.7 Rose to 13.6 in September 2019 and 17.1 in January 2020 Biopsies – Gleason 3+4 adenocarcinoma Saw AO'B 18 March (letter dictated 31 May) 2020 Started on bicalutamide 150mg PSA fall to 1.8 Bone scan clear No follow-up since but PSA being checked</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with</i></p>		Yes

	<i>current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		No but having PSA checks so probably OK
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		Yes

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Delay in letters only
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 120	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	<p>March 2019 indeterminate lesion in kidney MRI – renal cysts Chronic renal failure eGFR 29 Further MRI booked in February 2021</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>	Yes	
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>	N/A	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	<p>April 2015 haematuria 27.05.15 TURBT pTa G2 TCC – no muscle Re-resection in July – no tumour 2020 – red area – no cancer</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	CT showed renal lesion
Patient Summary	Chance finding of 2.5cm renal lesion Has metastatic melanoma MDM discussion – for observation but in November not fit for more scans Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current</i>		N/A

	<i>best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable?		Yes

	<i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc)</p> <p><i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 52	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	<p>June 2018 TURBT – pTa G3 TCC August 2018 – small pTa tumour BCG October 2018 clear January 2020 noted to have right hydronephrosis Nephrostomy inserted June 2020 and changed twice since</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with</i></p>		N/A

	<i>current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		Yes

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Not clear why the right ureter was not stented earlier. Why not suitable for antegrade stent now to save nephrostomy complications
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Possibly yes

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Chronic retention
Patient Summary	<p>TURP July 2018 T1a Gleason 9 carcinoma Bone scan normal Started on bicalutamide 150mg, tamoxifen. Already on Dutasteride PSA rise to 23 by September 2019 Converted to degarelix October 2019 Bone scan - metastases</p> <p>Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i>		N/A

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		N/A
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	<p>Haematuria May 2019 TURBT June 2019 pT1G3 tumour Bladder perforation recognised late - repaired at laparotomy BCG induction No recurrences</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the UST	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Ureteric tumour
Patient Summary	Left nephroureterectomy 2006 pTa G2 Bladder recurrences in 2011 and 2019 – received mitomycin Under surveillance

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Anaemia
Patient Summary	Mass behind right kidney on CT scan Biopsies showed lymphoma Referred to haematology

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		N/A

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	<p>April 2019 haematuria TURBT May 2019 – TaG3 TCC MDM recommended reresection Re-resection 24 July – no invasive disease Course of BCG given Cystoscopy December 2019 showed multiple recurrences Cystoscopy April 2020 showed no tumour in bladder CT scan May 2020 showed soft tissue in bladder AO'B to arrange follow-up – no record thereof September 2020 – metastatic lung cancer Died Personal Information redacted by the USI</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i></p>		

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		N/A
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		In theory yes but CT of May 2020 raises question whether AO’B did not recognise tumour in bladder in April 2020 (but could have been prostate)
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Clinic 23/08/19 letter not dictated until 06/10/19 Significant delay in arranging TURBT after December 2019 cystoscopy
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Chance finding of tumour on CT
Patient Summary	<p>October 2019 TURBT and left ureteric stent Histology pTa November 2019 MDM recommended surveillance Stent removed 8 November No record of check cystoscopy since</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		No
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		Should be under cystoscopic surveillance

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Delay in check cystoscopies
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Not clear

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	<p>2016 prostate biopsies benign January 2020 PSA still rising (9.7) March 2020 MRI PIRADS 4/5 lesion June 2020 biopsies benign Patient failed to answer letters for PSA follow-up so discharged – GP informed</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		N/A

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<p>Patient not responding</p> <p>Q. Is it practical to chase?</p>
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i></p>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No but he us at risk of significant harm if not found

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	<p>During assessment cystoscopy showed bladder tumour</p> <p>15.11.19 TURP benign Bladder tumour pTa</p> <p>Check cystoscopy in March 2020 clear</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
3	Is a secure clinical	<input type="checkbox"/>	Was due further cystoscopy in

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<p>Decemebr 2020 – has not happened yet. Should be done</p>
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<p>Yes</p>
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<p>N/A</p>
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		<p>Yes</p>

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	<p>November 2018 – solid lesions at cystoscopy</p> <p>January 2019 -TURBT – high grade TCC, pT2, glandular features</p> <p>CT - left lung lesion</p> <p>April 2019 MDM – refer for radiotherapy</p> <p>October 2020 – cystoscopy showed recurrence</p> <p>Offered palliative chemotherapy but declined</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		Yes

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Hip pain
Patient Summary	<p>Found to have metastatic renal cell cancer October 2019 rT3M1</p> <p>Discussed at MDT</p> <p>Biopsy confirmed</p> <p>Supportive care</p> <p>Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? (<i>'Secure Clinical Management Plan' to consider if the current</i></p>		N/A

	<i>patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	<p>History of teratoma and RPLND Haematuria April 2020. TURBT April – pTa tumour For check in 12 months Also has lesion next to duodenum – referred to gastroenterology Bone scan abnormalities OK on CT</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i></p>		N/A

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	<p>August 2018 PSA 68 MRI large tumour TRUS biopsies October – Gleason 3+4 Bone scan negative LHRH an commenced – referred for radiotherapy February 2019 pTa bladder tumour – last check cystoscopy September 2020 clear</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i></p>		Yes

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small> [Redacted]	
H&C Number	[Redacted]	
Date of Birth	[Redacted]	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>Gleason 6 tumour 2010 on surveillance Biopsies 2014 normal August 2015 TURP for symptoms. Gleason 6 pT1a Redo TURP 2018 still pT1a Cystoscopy and biopsies January 2019 – bladder normal, prostate Gleason 6 <10% On surveillance PSA 1.3 in March 2021</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	June 2018 -pTa G3/cis on bladder biopsy MDM 14 June September 2018 – bladder clear Maintenance BCG Bladder neck resection January 2019 Clear since

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i>		Yes

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Chance finding of tumour on scanning
Patient Summary	<p>October 2019- bladder tumour seen on CT scan TURBT 22.11.19 – pTa tumour close to UO so stent placed MDM – for surveillance Saw AO'B 27.12.19 (letter dictated 23.02.20) Stent removed December 2019 October 2020 – 2 small recurrences pTa For mitomycin</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with</i></p>		Yes

	<i>current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		Yes

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Delay in AO’B letter of December 2019
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Chance finding of renal mass
Patient Summary	November 2018 2cm renal mass No change in size MDM recommended surveillance or ablation November 2020 no change ?Patient has dementia – follow up may/may not be appropriate

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i>		N/A

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		No
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		May need a scan in November 2021 but may not be fit

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Abdominal bloating
Patient Summary	June 2019 CT showed 6cm renal tumour MDM recommended surgery Right radical nephrectomy August 2019 – pT1b RCC Liebovich 3. MDM September recommended surveillance

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	<p>May 2019 PSA 7 Biopsies Gleason 4+3 bone scan negative Discussed at MDM Radical prostatectomy October 2019 Post op PSA 0.7 PET - Metastasis in acetabulum Treated with ADT and radiotherapy</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>	Yes	
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>	Yes	

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the UST</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	January 2019 PSA 2.6 MRI PIRADS 3/4 Biopsies Gleason 10 Bone scan normal Started bicalutamide 150mg July 2019 referred for radiotherapy -completed December 2020 March 2021 PSA 0.03

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if</i>		Yes

	<i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?		Yes

	<i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Yes – should have been referred to oncology in March 2019 – 4 month delay
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Prostate cancer 2005
Patient Summary	Gleason 3+5 treated with radiotherapy in 2005 Raised PSA – 10 in 2018 , 1.4 on repeat TURP January 2019 – no tumour

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	Yes	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<p>No record of follow-up after December 2019</p>
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		<p>Possibly occasional PSA check but not urgent (?could be done by GP)</p>

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<p>Yes</p>
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<p>Yes</p>
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		<p>Yes</p>

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Anaemia/weight loss
Patient Summary	<p>October 2019 Ct showed left renal tumour invading vein</p> <p>25 November Left radical nephrectomy - pT3a Liebovich 9</p> <p>January 2020 – Ct showed extensive metastatic disease</p> <p>Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?</p>		N/A

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Storage symptoms
Patient Summary	<p>Cystoscopy September 2014 – carcinoma in situ MDM 4.12.14 – for MMC x 6 (BCG shortage) Recurrent Cis in December 2017 – course of BCG Chance finding of right renal lesion on CT – discussed at MDM and for observation Regular cystoscopies since</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>	Yes	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Chance finding of renal lesion
Patient Summary	January 2019 Bosniak 3/4 lesion 1.2cm MDM - given other comorbidities no action

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management</i>	Not needed	

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Urinary infection
Patient Summary	<p>Had MS</p> <p>Bilateral hydronephroses on CT scan June 2019</p> <p>Cystoscopy and catheter July 2019</p> <p>Repeat CT scan November 2019 shows obstructed left kidney</p> <p>December 2019 bilateral ureteric stents</p> <p>January 2020 Right stent removed and left ureteroscopy - stent replaced</p> <p>Plan was for stent change in 6 months but this never happened</p> <p>Patient died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Diagnosis not clear
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with</i></p>		

	<i>current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		N/A
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes until January 2020
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		Yes

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Stent change planned for July 2020 never happened. Not clear why not
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Difficult to know. Possibly not but need to know cause of death

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	<p>January 2018 PSA 17.8 MRI February 2018 N1 disease Bone scan M1 Biopsies Gleason 4+3 Started degarelix Feb 2018. 6 cycles docetaxel Enzalutamide June 2020 then Carbazitaxel</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		With regard to his cancer the treatment was good. Not clear whether he needed TURP in 2019 but his symptoms did improve slightly afterwards so probably OK
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Penis mass
Patient Summary	<p>Seen in 2016 for BXO – circumcision planned but patient changed his mind</p> <p>August 2019 hard mass in glans penis</p> <p>13.11.19 Circumcision confirmed squamous carcinoma, basaloid, margin positive</p> <p>MDM recommended further surgery</p> <p>Discussed at penile cancer MDT January 2020</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		Yes

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	<p>Cystoscopy December 2012 – Ta G3 Re-resection planned for February 2013 – referred to AO'B at Craigavon for date in March April 2013 patient concerned as no date Re-resection never happened Cystoscopy November 2103 – no recurrence Annual cystoscopies since – no recurrence</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<p>No Re-resection in early 2013 never took place</p>
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes (after 2013)
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Yes AO’B failed to arrange admission after referral in January 2013
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI
H&C Number	
Date of Birth	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	<p>Raised PSA 2018 MRI showed bladder tumour but patient refused treatment September 2019 clot retention. Bladder tumour resected pTaG2 No recurrence in May 2020</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<p>Not clear if he has had check cystoscopy since May 2020. Was due check in November 2020</p>
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		<p>To ensure he has cystoscopy by may 2021</p>

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i></p>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the UST</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	Haematuria June 2017 Cystoscopy July 2017 TURBT September 2019 pTa G3 BCG x6 + maintenance Due check cystoscopy October 2020 – no record

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<p>Not clear – should be having check cystoscopy every 6 months</p>
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<p>Yes</p>
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<p>Yes</p>
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		<p>Yes</p>

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Incidental finding of renal mass
Patient Summary	5cm incidental finding of renal tumour Laparoscopic nephrectomy June 2019 – pT3a RCC CT 2020 clear

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	May 2020 bladder tumour 04.06.20 TURBT pTa G3 TCC Re-resection in October 2020 – no tumour Plan for BCG

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
3	Is a secure clinical		Yes

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Delay for re-resection (?due to Covid)
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	General malaise
Patient Summary	October 2019 PSA 184 Started on degarelix November 2019 Skeletal metastases on bone scan PSA fell but rose in February 2020 – bicalutamide 50mg added Died <small>Personal information redacted by the USI</small>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current</i>		N/A

	<i>patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	<p>March 2020 haematuria CT showed small lesion in R kidney Normal cystoscopy MDM July 2020 Under radiological review</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Decreased renal function
Patient Summary	August 2018 Chronic retention- catheterised December 2018 – neuroendocrine colonic tumour - metastatic TURP June 2019 – T1a Gleason 6 tumour MDM for surveillance Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		N/A

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Chronic renal failure
Patient Summary	November 2018 – right hydronephrosis Extensive bladder tumour resected December 2018 Histology pT2 G3 Bilateral ureteric obstruction requiring nephrostomies Femoral and ext. iliac vein thrombosis Option of radiotherapy discussed Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		N/A
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	TURP 2017 T1a Gleason 6 tumour Not discussed at MDM PSA has remained low since

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Discharged to GP

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	<p>April 2019 PSA 11 Fell to 8.4 by July Antibiotics given Prostate biopsies benign Saw AO'B 19 November 2019 – PSA 10.7 (letter typed 02/01/20) – letter dictated 17/01/20 For regular review but no appointment seen since May 2020 PSA 9.0</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with</i></p>		Yes

	<i>current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		No –No PSA since May 2020
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		To check he continues to have regular PSA checks and GP knows to refer him back if it does not normalise.

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		Yes

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Delay in sending 19/11/19 clinic letter by 2 months
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	<p>November 2018 haematuria January 2019 TURBT pT2 G3 TCC, right hydronephrosis MDM - Supportive care only</p> <p>Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical		N/A

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Back pain
Patient Summary	February 2020 – metastatic renal cancer at presentation Palliative radiotherapy Sunitinib and Nivolumab -poor response Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		N/A

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	<p>Haematuria December 2015. CT showed bladder lesion</p> <p>TURBT – pTa G2/3 mp variant TCC</p> <p>Managed by BCG and surveillance</p> <p>Recurrence November 2019</p> <p>Continue as before – cystoscopy overdue</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</p>	Yes	
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with</p>	Yes	

	<i>current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes but cystoscopy is overdue
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		Yes

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Cystoscopy overdue
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	PSA 6.4 in March 2018, 5.0 in November 2018 MRI no target TRUS biopsies benign (June 2019) Discharged

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		Not needed

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		16 August clinic letter not typed until 10 October
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	<p>May 2018 PSA 7.4 MRI rT3a July 2018 biopsies extensive Gleason 4+5 tumour 06/08/19 started on bicalutamide 50mg MDM recommended radical treatment 03/09/19 AO'B continues with bicalutamide 50mg 22/10/19 dose increased to 150mg January 2020 referred to radiotherapists</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>	Yes	
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with</i></p>	Yes	

	<i>current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		No Wrong to start bicalutamide 50 mg in September 2019
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		Yes

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		No Required referral to oncology in September 2019
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Yes – as above
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Probably not

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Testicular swelling
Patient Summary	Noticed swelling March 2020 10 April 2020 orchiectomy – classical seminoma Assessed by Oncology 4 May 2020

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>	Yes	

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		None
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 67	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Storage symptoms ? prostatitis
Patient Summary	December 2017 thought to have bladder tumour. PSA 22 Found to have diverticular abscess. Hartmanns procedure July 2018 Follow up by general surgery PSA rechecked December 2017 – 6.3

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>		

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes (PSA probably should have been rechecked in 2018 but now stable so no harm done)
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Renal tumour
Patient Summary	<p>Radical nephrectomy (London) October 2019 December 2019 liver metastases Under oncologists in Belfast and London Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>	Yes	
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>	N/A	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		N/A
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Chance finding of renal cyst
Patient Summary	<p>January 2018 Left partial nephrectomy Liebovich 6 pT1b Nodal relapse January 2020 Regular MDM discussion Now probably unresectable – under oncologists</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	
Patient Summary	<p>Prostate cancer diagnosed in 2009 – under oncology on LHRH an.</p> <p>August 2014 pTa bladder tumour</p> <p>2019 rising PSA – TURP January 2020 Gleason 4+4</p> <p>MDM recommends bicalutamide 50mg – started February</p> <p>January 2021 PSA 12.6</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>)</p>		Yes

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Not clear
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		Needs monitoring and ? consider second-line treatment

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	2008 – PSA 6.7 Biopsies Gleason 6 carcinoma 2013 Rebiopsy and MR -no progression No review letters until 2017 when PSA 6.5 2018 – rising PSA. Biospies in December showed Gleason 6 disease small volume. Continued surveillance

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Probably not – not clear what was done between 2013 and 2017
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Chance finding of renal lesion
Patient Summary	February 2019 ultrasound finding of 3cm renal mass MDM April 2019 - noted pulmonary nodules Renal biopsy benign CT March 2020 – no change in chest nodules

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<p>No contact with patient after March 2020 MDM – not clear if he should have another scan</p>
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<p>Yes</p>
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<p>N/A</p>
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		<p>Yes</p>

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 113	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Left loin pain
Patient Summary	<p>Loin pain 2016 - left hydronephrosis and 4.5cm tumour. eGFR 50. RRF 45% left</p> <p>Discussed at MDM</p> <p>July 2019 loin pain and increasing hydronephrosis. Ureteric stent inserted. Last change November 2020</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Back pain
Patient Summary	<p>June 2018 large sacral mass – biopsy showed Ca prostate. Prostate biopsies Gleason 7 disease. 31/07/18 started on bicalutamide 150 and tamoxifen. Further biopsies Gleason 10 Referred for chemotherapy</p> <p>Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?</i>)</p>		

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		N/A
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Bladder tumour 2008
Patient Summary	<p>July 2008 pT2 G3 bladder tumour -cystectomy planned</p> <p>October 2008 Abdominal aortic aneurysm -EVAR in November 2008</p> <p>No record of any follow up urology until 2018 – biopsies showed G3 disease ?pT1</p> <p>Not fit for cystectomy</p> <p>BCG given + maintenance</p> <p>December pTa G2 recurrence</p> <p>Now on surveillance - No tumour in October 2020</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes

2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes – next cystoscopy overdue
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes

6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		<p>Yes</p>
7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		<p>Yes</p>
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		<p>Patient was lost to follow up after 2009 – could have been disastrous but as it happens has done very well</p> <p>Cannot see any record of MDM discussion in 2008/9 which should have happened before considering him for a cystectomy</p>
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		<p>No</p>

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	<p>October 2019 PSA 381 with sclerotic metastases Started on bicalutamide 150mg Failed to attend December 2019 March 2020 biopsies showed Gleason 5+4 tumour Referred for ? docetaxel -consistently failed to attend</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</p>		Yes

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes – but patient DNA
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i></p>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 58	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Prostate cancer 2008
Patient Summary	<p>Gleason 3+4 tumour 2008 TURP 2012 June 2016 PSA 3 April 2017 biopsies Gleason 4+3 Started on bicalutamide 50mg – dose increased to 150mg in November 2017 MDM in March 2019 recommended continuing treatment</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>	Yes	
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with</i></p>	Yes	

	<i>current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if</i>		Yes

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes but he should have been offered option of radiotherapy in 2017 – unclear why MDM did not consider this in 2019
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Possibly – statistically 10 year survival better with radiotherapy

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	Right hydronephrosis ? ureteric tumour Unfit for surgery. Therefore no treatment Discussed at MDM February 2020

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		No action planned/needed

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		As far as possible
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	<p>October 2018 PSA 7.5, DRE cT2-3, MRI T3a Biopsies extensive Gleason 4+4 Bone scan normal Started bicalutamide 150mg February 2019 Bone scan January 2020 clear Referred for radiotherapy in January 2020 – completed July 2020 March 2021 PSA undetectable</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i></p>		Yes

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Yes – one year delay in referring to radiotherapy
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Probably not

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	PSA 7. Biopsies showed Gleason 3+4 Discussed at MDM January 2019 Active surveillance On finasteride – PSA OK at last review

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		Yes

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Retention
Patient Summary	April 2019 Retention and delirium July 2019 PSA 40 Skeletal metastases Started LHRH agonist

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>('Secure Clinical Management</i>		Yes

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	TURBT August 2019 pTa G2 Course of mitomycin given Clear in September 2020

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	Yes	
3	Is a secure clinical	Yes	

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yse
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes but could be discharged now
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Metastatic renal cancer
Patient Summary	Nephrectomy in <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small> Offered investigation but returned home instead

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		N/A (see below)
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>		

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Patient declined investigation
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Chance finding of bladder lesion on ultrasound
Patient Summary	Ultrasound December 2018 Cystoscopy July 2019 – polypoid bladder lesion 23/10/19 TURBT pTa tumour MDM November – for cystoscopic follow up Last check October 2020

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Testicular swelling
Patient Summary	Left seminoma Discussed at MDM and referred to Oncology

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?	Yes	

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 3	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Penile lesion
Patient Summary	<p>Presented February 2019 Circumcision and biopsy April 2019 -squamous cell carcinoma 18.04.19 – MDM recommended staging Reviewed by AO'B 24 May. No letter until 08/07/19 but CT in July. Enlarged left inguinal node Ultrasound biopsy – metastatic disease MDM recommended left inguinal node dissection which took place on 9 October – 2/5 nodes contained metastases with extracapsular extension CT -possible further disease February 2020 referred to Altnagelvin PET demonstrated pelvic and para-aortic nodes Died Personal information redacted by the USI</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm</i></p>		Yes

	<i>diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		N/A
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		No Should have had right inguinal node biopsies
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from</i>		

	<i>guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Should have immediately been referred to penile cancer centre (But I don’t know whether there was one in N.Ireland at the time (April 2019))
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Yes – 3 months from MDM to CT scan was too long
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		Probably not



Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Renal failure
Patient Summary	<p>May 2020 – emergency admission Cystoscopy – solid bladder tumour – small cell Bilateral nephrostomies inserted Considered for chemotherapy Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Diagnosis was correct
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		N/A
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	<p>Haematuria December 2018 Seen by AO'B in January 2019 Normal ultrasound Put on waiting list for a TURP as he had some symptoms. No record of flow rate. Ultrasound residual of 155ml described as chronic retention No cystoscopy until TURP in July 2019 Good result from TURP</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? ('Reasonable' to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? ('Appropriate' to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i></p>		

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Not needed now
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		No Significant delay in performing cystoscopy in January 2019
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		He did not have chronic retention Difficult to know whether TURP

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		was indicated but in that result was good no harm done
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		See above
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Delay for cystoscopy in 2019
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Abdominal pain
Patient Summary	<p>March 2019 PSA 582, metastatic prostate cancer 29/03/19 started on bicalutamide 50mg with view to starting decapeptyl. MDM 04/03/19 - Started Zoladex PSA in November 2019 was 1.1 Referred back to GP for monitoring</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Y	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>	Y	Yes

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	November 2019 raised PSA MRI scan PIRADS 3 Biopsies benign For PSA monitoring

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		<p>Yes – not clear if PSA tests have been repeated but there was a plan to</p> <p>No letter to GP after telephone call on 10 March 2020</p>
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		<p>Need to check GP knows what to do</p>

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		<p>Yes</p>
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		<p>Yes</p>

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		?Communication with GP delayed
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	LUTS
Patient Summary	TURP June 2019 T1a Gleason sum 6 carcinoma MDM in July recommends surveillance PSA in December 0.9 , January 2021 1.5

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		Both AO’B letters after operation dictated 6 weeks after clinic
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	November 2017 haematuria CT scan large renal tumour 10.01.18 Nephrectomy pT2b April 2019 – enlarging pulmonary lesions Referred to oncology

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes
3	Is a secure clinical	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	<p>Gleason 6 tumour diagnosed in 2010 Further biopsies 2013 – no change MRI – T2 MDM recommended surveillance April 2016 PSA 9.3 January 2018 saw AO'B – no recent PSA. No symptoms. Started on bicalutamide 150 (PSA later found to be 5.7 so AO'B recommended only one month of treatment) TURP March 2019 - benign</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		Yes

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		No No reason to start bicalutamide in 2018
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Except for above - yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Yes No correspondence between 2013 and 2017
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	November 2019 haematuria January 2020 TURBT invasive bladder tumour Agreed with family that not fit for radical treatment Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current</i>		N/A

	<i>patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	<p>January 2019 PSA 14 (on finasteride) MRI T2 disease TRUS biopsies Gleason 6 MDM June 2019 suggests surveillance Clinic 16/08/19 letter dictated on 3/10/19 January 2020 TURP – benign January 2021 PSA 50 (off combodart)</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes but probably a higher grade tumour
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i></p>		N/A

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No except for letter after 16/08/19
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small> [REDACTED]	
H&C Number	[REDACTED]	
Date of Birth	[REDACTED]	

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Testicular swelling and visible haematuria
Patient Summary	Referred June 2019 Ultrasound and CT urogram done August 2019 Orchiectomy and cystoscopy Histology showed epidermoid cyst

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical		N/A

	<p>management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</i></p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	<small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small>	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA / haematuria
Patient Summary	<p>2016 Gleason 3+4 tumour PSA 11 – discussed at MDM – for observation</p> <p>2019 haematuria – Bladder tumour pT1 managed by resection and BCG</p> <p>Died <small>Personal Information redacted by the USI</small></p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (‘Reasonable’ to consider if <i>diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (‘Appropriate’ to consider if <i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and</i></p>		

	<i>rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		N/A
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	<p>March 2019 PSA 7.4 MRI June 2019 – PIRADS 4 Biopsies August 2019 Gleason 3+4 MDM 28 August Referred for brachytherapy September 2019</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Left nephrectomy
Patient Summary	<p>Left nephrectomy 2008 MDM 16/02/12 recommended 6 monthly CT's for 2 years. Saw AO'B on 17/02/12 – letter not dictated until 29 May. CT in April – no change. Next CT October 2013 2018 – found to have mass in pancreas April 2019 Whipple's August 2020 – no recurrence</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?</i>)</p>	Yes	
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>'Appropriate' to consider if</i></p>		

	<i>prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		CT follow up in 2012/2013 not performed to MDM advice.
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable?		Yes

	<i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Delay in writing to GP in 2012
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Incidental finding of bladder tumour
Patient Summary	Tumour detected t CT colonoscopy Resected – pTa Discussed at MDM – advice followed

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Renal cyst
Patient Summary	Cyst since 2016 Referred January 2019 – complex cyst Bosniak 2 Observed – no change

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? (<i>'Reasonable' to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.</i>)</p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) (<i>'Unreasonable Delays' to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists</i>)</p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 5	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	<p>December 2018 haematuria CT showed large renal tumour invading vein March 2019 radical nephrectomy pT3a Doing well. Has caecal carcinoma</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>	Yes	
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>	Yes	

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 148	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA with irritative symptoms
Patient Summary	Raised PSA and symptoms of overactivity Biospies July 2019 Gleason 3+4 cancer Reviewed at MDM 8 August. Not referred to oncology until December 2019.

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?		Yes

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		4 month delay in referring for radical treatment after MDM
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	
Title	
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Testicular swelling
Patient Summary	<p>March 2020 testicular swelling</p> <p>April 2020 inguinal orchiectomy – classical seminoma</p> <p>Referred to oncolgy</p>

Regarding the patients current care

1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		N/A
3	<p>Is a secure clinical</p>		Yes

	<p>management plan currently in place? (<i>Secure Clinical Management Plan</i> to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</p>		
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? (<i>Appropriate</i> to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? (<i>Appropriate</i> to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</p>		N?A
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? (<i>Reasonable</i> to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further</p>		Yes

	<i>investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	<p>March 2019 PSA 7.3 MRI no lesion TRUS biopsies Gleason 3+3 MDM recommended surveillance 13 March 2020 PSA 11.8 (letter dictated 26 May) Biopsies August 2020 biopsies Gleason 3+4</p> <p>Referred for radiotherapy</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>('Reasonable' to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>('Appropriate' to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based</i>		Yes

	<i>practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		Yes
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is</i>		Yes

	<i>consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		Long delay between March 2019 appointment and rebiopsy
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Patient 125	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Raised PSA
Patient Summary	<p>November 2019 PSA 19 Biopsies Gleason 3+4 high volume Discussed at MDM Bicalutamide 150 started February 2020 and referred for radical radiotherapy</p>

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	<p>Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i></p>		Yes
2	<p>Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes

	<i>requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		
7	Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i>		Yes
8	Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i>		No
9	On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Chance finding of renal lesion
Patient Summary	May 2019 Bosniak III lesion 2.4cm CT May 2020 3cm Further scan planned in 2021

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>	N/A	
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management</i>	Yes	

	<i>Plan' to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		N/A
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Non-visible haematuria and pelvic pain
Patient Summary	TURBT November 2017 pT2a TCC Treated with radiotherapy New lesion September 2020

Regarding the patients current care

1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>	Yes	
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
3	Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place?	Yes - palliative	

	<i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i>		
4	If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes
5	Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		
6	Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes

7	<p>Was the clinical management approach taken reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if clinical management plan if the patient treatment pathway at the time was optimal and in line with best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time.)</i></p>		Yes
8	<p>Were there unreasonable delays within the Consultants control with any aspect of care (reviews, prescribing, diagnostics, dictation etc) <i>(‘Unreasonable Delays’ to consider if diagnosis required more urgent treatment / intervention that was received based on best evidence based practice and guidance available at that time. The Southern Health and Social Care Trust will consider any delays in treatment highlighted to assess if these were within the Consultants control or due to systematic issues e.g. length of waiting lists)</i></p>		No
9	<p>On balance, did the patient suffer any harm or detriment as a result of any of the above questions (4-9) ?</p>		No

Clinical Professional Reviewing Care

Name	Krishna Sethia
Title	Professor
Date of Appointment	

UROLOGY PATIENT REVIEW FORM

This form is to be completed for each patient previously under the care of Mr O'Brien reviewed by the Southern Trust Urology team since Mr O'Brien's departure on 17th July 2020. This form is to be retained in the patient notes and copied to Martina Corrigan, Head of Service.

Patient Details

Name	Personal Information redacted by the USI	
H&C Number		
Date of Birth		

Patient Details

Presenting Condition(s)	Haematuria
Patient Summary	TURBT February 2020 pTa G3 MDM – for BCG – not given due to Covid November 2020 – small recurrence remains pTa MDM recommended BCG – completed February 2021

Regarding the patients current care

	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
1	Is the present diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried out to date, is there a requirement for further investigations / examinations to confirm diagnosis / diagnoses?)</i>		Yes
2	Are the current medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing is consistent with current best evidence based practice, are any deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i>		Yes

3	<p>Is a secure clinical management plan currently in place? <i>(‘Secure Clinical Management Plan’ to consider if the current patient treatment pathway is optimal and in line with current best evidence based practice and guidance)</i></p>		Yes
4	<p>If there is not a secure clinical management plan in place please document immediate actions required to be taken</p>		

Based on the information available at the time of previous reviews, please answer the following to the best of your knowledge. If a determination cannot be made please give reasons why.

No.	Question	Y / N / Unable to Determine	Details
4	<p>Were appropriate and complete investigations carried out for all relevant conditions? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if investigations consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
5	<p>Were the medications prescribed appropriate? <i>(‘Appropriate’ to consider if prescribing was consistent with current best evidence based practice at the time of previous review, are deviations from guidance recorded and rationale fully noted?)</i></p>		Yes
6	<p>Were the diagnosis / diagnoses reasonable? <i>(‘Reasonable’ to consider if diagnosis / diagnoses is consistent with investigations and examinations carried at the time of review, was there a</i></p>		Yes